Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong



Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

1/17

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

We have seen how to analyze the running time of recursive algorithms by recurrence. Thus, it is important to sharpen our skills in solving recurrences. Today, we will learn two techniques for this purpose: the master theorem and the substitution method.

2/17

Image: A = A = A

Master Theorem

Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

3/17

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

The Master Theorem

Let f(n) be a function that returns a positive value for every integer n > 0. We know:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) &= O(1) \\ f(n) &\leq \alpha \cdot f(\lceil n/\beta \rceil) + O(n^{\gamma}) \qquad (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \geq 1$, $\beta > 1$, and $\gamma \geq 0$ are constants. Then:

• If
$$\log_{\beta} \alpha < \gamma$$
, then $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma})$.

• If
$$\log_{\beta} \alpha = \gamma$$
, then $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \log n)$.

• If
$$\log_{\beta} \alpha > \gamma$$
, then $f(n) = O(n^{\log_{\beta} \alpha})$.

The theorem can be proved by carefully applying the "expansion method" we saw earlier (recall that the method writes f(n) into terms with increasingly smaller values of n). The details are tedious and omitted from this course.

-

4/17

Consider the recurrence of binary search:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) &\leq & c_1 \\ f(n) &\leq & f(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 \end{array} & (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$, and $\gamma = 0$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \gamma$, we know that $f(n) = O(n^0 \cdot \log n) = O(\log n)$.

э

5/17

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Consider the recurrence of merge sort:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) & \leq & c_1 \\ f(n) & \leq & 2 \cdot f(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \qquad (\mathrm{for} \ n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 2$, and $\gamma = 1$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \gamma$, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \cdot \log n) = O(n \log n)$.

э

6/17

(日)

Consider the recurrence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) & \leq & c_1 \\ f(n) & \leq & 2 \cdot f(\lceil n/4 \rceil) + c_2 \sqrt{n} \end{array} \quad (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 4$, and $\gamma = 1/2$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha = \gamma$, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma} \cdot \log n) = O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$.

э

7/17

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Consider the recurrence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) & \leq & c_1 \\ f(n) & \leq & 2 \cdot f(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 \sqrt{n} \end{array} \quad (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 2$, and $\gamma = 1/2$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha > \gamma$, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\log_{\beta} \alpha}) = O(n)$.

э

8/17

(日)

Consider the recurrence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) & \leq & c_1 \\ f(n) & \leq & 13 \cdot f(\lceil n/7 \rceil) + c_2 n^2 & (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha = 13$, $\beta = 7$, and $\gamma = 2$. Since $\log_{\beta} \alpha < \gamma$, we know that $f(n) = O(n^{\gamma}) = O(n^{2})$.

Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

э

9/17

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

The Substitution Method



10/17



Consider the recurrence:

$$f(1) = 1$$

 $f(n) \leq f(n-1) + 3n$ (for $n \geq 2$)

We suspect that $f(n) = O(n^2)$; the problem is how to prove it. The substitution method provides a way of proving it by mathematical induction.

Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

-

11/17

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let us reason as follows. Assume $f(n) \le c \cdot n^2$ for some constant c. For the base case of n = 1, this holds as long as $c \ge 1$.

Suppose that this is correct for all $n \le k - 1$. Now let us see what conditions *c* needs to satisfy to ensure correctness for n = k. We have:

$$egin{array}{rll} f(k) &\leq & f(k-1)+3k \ &\leq & c(k-1)^2+3k \ &= & ck^2-2ck+c+3k \end{array}$$

To make the above at most ck^2 , it suffices to guarantee

$$c+3k \leq 2ck$$

As $k \ge 2$, we can set *c* to any value at least 2.

Combining all the above, we conclude that $f(n) \leq 2n^2 = O(n^2)$.

12/17

医周节 医原子 医原子

Remark: It is important to have a good guess about f(n). If the guess is wrong, you will not be able to make the argument work. To see this, try "proving" $f(n) \leq cn$.

13/17

< 回 > < 回 > <



Consider the recurrence:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(1) &=& 1 \\ f(n) &\leq& 5f(\lfloor n/5 \rfloor) + 3n & (\text{for } n \geq 2) \end{array}$$

We will prove that $f(n) = O(n \log n)$ with the substitution method.

Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

э

14/17

イロト イヨト イヨト

Assume $f(n) \le 1 + c \cdot n \log_5 n$ for some constant c. For the base case of n = 1, this holds as long as $c \ge 1$.

Suppose that this is correct for all integers $n \le k - 1$. Now let us see what conditions *c* needs to satisfy to ensure correctness for n = k. We have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(k) &\leq & 5f(\lfloor k/5 \rfloor) + 3k \\ &\leq & 5(1 + c \lfloor k/5 \rfloor \log_5 \lfloor k/5 \rfloor) + 3k \\ &\leq & 5c(k/5) \log_5(k/5) + 3k + 5 \\ &= & ck(\log_5 k - 1) + 3k + 5 \\ &= & ck \log_5 k - ck + 3k + 5 \end{array}$$

To make the above at most $1 + ck \log_5 k$, it suffices to make sure

$$3k+4 \leq ck$$

for all $k \ge 2$. Setting $c \ge 5$ achieves the purpose. This concludes the proof that $f(n) \le 1 + 5n \log_5 n = O(n \log n)$.

15/17

• # • • = • • = •



Consider the recurrence:

$$f(1) = f(2) = f(3) = 1$$

$$f(n) \le f(\lceil n/5 \rceil) + f\left(\left\lceil \frac{7n}{10} \right\rceil \right) + n \qquad \text{(for } n \ge 4\text{)}$$

This is really a non-trivial recurrence (the master theorem is not applicable here). We will prove that f(n) = O(n) using the substitution method.

Two Methods for Solving Recurrences

16/17

(日本)

Goal: To prove $f(n) \leq \alpha n$ for some constant $\alpha > 0$.

Base case $(n \le \beta)$: We need $\alpha \cdot n \ge f(n)$ for all $n \le \beta$. Induction: Assuming correctness for $n \le k - 1$ for any $k \ge \beta + 1$, we aim to show $f(k) \le \alpha k$. We have:

$$f(k) \leq \alpha(\lceil k/5 \rceil) + \alpha(\lceil (7/10)k \rceil) + k$$

$$\leq \alpha(k/5+1) + \alpha((7/10)k+1) + k$$

$$= \alpha(9/10)k + 2\alpha + k$$

We need:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha(9/10)k + 2\alpha + k &\leq & \alpha k \\ \Leftrightarrow (\alpha/10 - 1)k &\geq & 2\alpha \\ \Leftarrow (\alpha/10 - 1)\beta &\geq & 2\alpha \end{array}$$

Choose $\beta = 40$. The above becomes $\alpha \geq 20$.

Hence, $\alpha = \max\{20, f(40)/40, f(39)/39, \dots, f(1)/1\}$ and $\beta = 40$ give a working inductive argument.

17/17

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > 、