love diagond Definition: Consider the system  $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ . Let  $A = L + D + U^{c}$ If the eigenvalues of :  $\Delta D^{-1}L + \frac{1}{\Delta} D^{-1}U (\alpha \neq 0)$  are independent of X. Then, the matrix A is said to be consistently ordered. Example of consistently ordered matrices 1. Tridiagonal matrix:  $\int \frac{\lambda_1}{x} \frac{x}{\lambda_2} = 0$ 0- \* 25 2. Block tridiagnal matrix. where = diagond 23 matrix

Theorem: [D. Young] Assume:  
1. 
$$0 < w < 2$$
  
2.  $M_J = N_J^2 P_J$  has only real eigenvalues  
3.  $\beta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(M_J) < 1$   
4. A is consistently ordered.  
Then:  $p(M_{SOR}) < 1$   
Also, the optimal parameter  $W_{OPH}$  for fastest convergence  
 $W_{OPH} = \frac{2}{1 + \int 1 - \beta^2}$  and  
 $p(M_{SOR}, W_{OPH}) = W_{OPH} - 1$ 

Note that A is tridiagonal. Hence, it is consistently ordered.  
(Condition 4 of Young's Theorem is satisfied)  
Now, 
$$M_{J} = -D^{-1}L - D^{-1}U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} T_{1}$$
  
 $\therefore$  Eigenvalues of  $M_{J}$  are given by:  
 $\lambda_{j} = \cos(j \frac{\pi}{m_{1}})$  where  $j = 1, 2, ..., n$   
 $\therefore$  All eigenvalues of  $M_{J}$  are real.  
(Condition 2 of Young's Theorem is satisfied)  
Also,  $p(M_{J}) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{n+1}) < 1$   
(Condition 3 of Young's Theorem is satisfied)

: the optimal 
$$w$$
 is:  
 $W_{opt} = \frac{2}{\left[1 + \int I - p(M_{d})^{2}\right]} = \frac{2}{\left[1 + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right]}$ 

z

.

-

Proof: Consider 
$$M=N^{-1}P = N^{-1}(N-A) = I - N^{-1}A$$
.  
Suffice to show that all eigenvalues of  $M$  satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$   
( $\lambda$  can be complex). Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue associated to  
the eigenvector  $\vec{x}$ . Then:  
 $M\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{X} \Rightarrow (I - N^{-1}A)\vec{X} = \lambda \vec{X} \Rightarrow (N-A)\vec{x} = \lambda N \vec{X}$   
 $\Rightarrow (1-\lambda)N\vec{x} = A\vec{X}$   
Note that  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Otherwise  $O$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .  
Contradiction to the fact that  $A$  is positive definite.  
 $Multiply \vec{x}^*$  on both sides:  
 $(1-\lambda)\vec{x}^*N\vec{x} = \vec{x}^*A\vec{x} \Rightarrow \vec{x}^*N\vec{x} = (1-\lambda)\vec{x}^*A\vec{x} - (1)$ 

a.

Take conjugate transpose on both sides:  

$$(1-\overline{\lambda}) \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{N} \overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A}^* \overrightarrow{x} = \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{N} \overrightarrow{x} = \frac{1}{(1-\overline{\lambda})} \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x} - (2)$$

$$(1) + (2) - \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x} \text{ on both sides:}$$

$$\overrightarrow{x}^* (N + N^* - \overrightarrow{A}) \overrightarrow{x} = \left(\frac{1}{1-\overline{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{(-\overline{\lambda}} - 1\right) \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x}$$

$$= \frac{1 - 1\overline{\lambda}|^2}{(1-\overline{\lambda}|^2} \overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x}$$
By assumption,  $\overrightarrow{A}$  and  $N + N^* - \overrightarrow{A}$  are both positive definite.  
We have:  $\overrightarrow{x}^* \overrightarrow{A} \overrightarrow{x} > 0$  and  $\overrightarrow{x}^* (N + N^* - \overrightarrow{A}) \overrightarrow{x} > 0$   
Hence,  $1 - 1\overline{\lambda}|^2 = 0$  and  $1\overline{\lambda}| < 1$ .  
 $\overrightarrow{A} \text{ pcm} < 1$  and the iterative Scheme converges.

Example: Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & B_1 \\ P_1 & P_2 & P_2 \\ P_1 & P_2 & P_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 be symmetric tridiagonal  
matrix. Suppose A is positive - definite. Prove that the Gauss-Seddel  
method converges.  
Solution: For Gauss-Seidel method,  
 $N = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ P_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & B_{n-1} & d_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $N^* + N - A = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{n-1} \\ 0 & d_n \end{pmatrix}$   
Then,  $N_{e1}^* + N - A$  is symmetric.  
Also,  $(0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) A \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = jth = d_1 = d_1 = 0$ . (N+N\*-A is  
positive definite  
 $ith = d_1 = d_1 = 0$ .

Eigenvalue Problem  
Recall: Convergence of iterative scheme:  
NX<sup>kt1</sup> = PX<sup>k</sup> + f depends on the spectral  
radius 
$$p(N^TP)$$
.  
Need: numerical method to compute eigenvalues.  
Computation of Spectral radius  
Goal: Find eigenvalues with largest magnitude  $\leftarrow$  Spectral radius  
Two methods = 1. Power method  
2. QR method

1. Power method  
Let 
$$A \in Mnxn(C)$$
 with  $n$  eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  with  
eigenvectors  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, ..., \vec{x}_n$ .  
Let  $X = \left(\begin{array}{c} X_1 & X_2 & ... & X_n \end{array}\right) \in Mnxn(C)$ . Then, we know:  
 $A = \left(\begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & ... & \lambda_n \end{array}\right)$ .  
Assuming :  $1\lambda_{11} > 1\lambda_{21} \ge 1\lambda_{31} \ge ... \ge 1\lambda_n$ .  
We'll use Power method to compute  $1\lambda_{11}$ .

Observation: Start with an initial vector 
$$\vec{x}^{(n)}$$
.  
Consider the iterative scheme:  $\vec{x}^{(k+1)} = \frac{A \vec{x}^{(k)}}{\|A \vec{x}^{(k)}\|_{\infty}}$  for  $k=0,1,...$   
Suppose A is diagonalizable. That's, we can assume  
 $\vec{x}_{1}, \vec{x}_{2}, ..., \vec{x}_{n}$  form a basis for  $C^{n}$ .  
Take  $\vec{x}^{(n)} = a_{1}\vec{x}_{1} + a_{2}\vec{x}_{2} + ... + a_{n}\vec{x}_{n}$  (assuming  $a_{1} \neq 0$ )  
Note that  $A^{k}\vec{x}^{(n)} = a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\left[\vec{x}_{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{a_{j}}{a_{1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{A_{1}}\right)^{k}\vec{x}_{j}\right]$ .  
Hence,  $\vec{x}^{(k)} = \frac{A\vec{x}^{(k-1)}}{\|A\vec{x}^{(k-1)}\|_{\infty}} = \frac{A\left(A\vec{x}^{(k-2)}/\|A\vec{x}^{(k-2)}\|_{\infty}\right)}{\|A(A\vec{x}^{(k-2)})\|_{\infty}}$ 

$$\vec{X}^{(k)} = \frac{a_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} \left[\vec{X}_{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{a_{j}}{a_{1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} \vec{X}_{j}\right]}{|| a_{1} \lambda_{1}^{k} \left[\vec{X}_{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{a_{i}}{a_{1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} \vec{X}_{j}\right]||_{\infty}} \approx \frac{a_{i}}{|a_{i}|} \frac{\vec{X}_{i}}{||\vec{X}_{i}||_{\infty}} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{k}}{||\vec{X}_{i}||_{\infty}}$$
Note:  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda_{1}$ 

$$(\therefore |\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}| < 1 \text{ for })$$

$$\ln fact,$$

$$|| A \vec{X}^{(k)}||_{\infty} \rightarrow || A \left(\frac{a_{1}}{||\vec{X}_{1}||_{\infty}}\right)||_{\infty} = || \frac{a_{1}}{||\vec{x}_{1}||_{\infty}} \lambda_{1} \frac{\vec{X}_{1}}{||\vec{X}_{1}||_{\infty}}||_{\infty} = |\lambda_{1}|$$

$$\frac{\lambda_{1}^{k}}{|\lambda_{1}|^{k}} \text{ can be removed}$$

$$under || \cdot ||_{\infty}$$