

MATH 3030 ALGEBRA I

Lecture 5

Cauchy's Theorem and p-groups

Prop* Let G be a group of order p^n and let X be a finite G -set. Then $|X| \equiv |X_G| \pmod{p}$

Pf : Suppose that $G \cdot x_1, \dots, G \cdot x_r$ are all orbits in X with more than one element. Then

$$|X| = |X_G| + \sum_{i=1}^r [G : G_{x_i}]$$

But $|G| = p^n \Rightarrow [G : G_{x_i}] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

The result follows. #

|| Thm (Cauchy) Let p be a prime. If G is a finite group s.t. $p \mid |G|$,
Then $\exists g \in G$ with $|g| = p$.

Pf : Let $X = \{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p) \mid g_i \in G \text{ and } g_1 g_2 \dots g_p = e\}$.

Since $g_1 g_2 \dots g_p = e \Leftrightarrow g_p = (g_1 g_2 \dots g_{p-1})^{-1}$, we have $|X| = |G|^{p-1}$.

In particular, $p \mid |X|$.

Consider $\langle \sigma \rangle < S_p$ where $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, p)$.

$\langle \sigma \rangle$ acts on X by

$$\sigma \cdot (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p) := (g_2, g_3, \dots, g_p, g_1)$$

This is well-defined since $g_1 g_2 \dots g_p = e \Rightarrow g_2 g_3 \dots g_p g_1 = e$.

By Prop*, we have

$$|X_{\langle \sigma \rangle}| = |X| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

i.e. $p \mid |X_{\langle \sigma \rangle}|$; in particular, $|X_{\langle \sigma \rangle}| > 1$

Now, $X_{\langle \sigma \rangle} = X_\sigma = \{(g, g, \dots, g) \mid g \in G, g^p = e\}$.

So $\exists g \neq e$ s.t. $g^p = e$.

Since p is a prime, $|g| = p$. #

Sylow Theorems

Def Let p be a prime. A group G is called a p -group if every element in G has order a power of p . A subgroup of a group G is called a p -subgroup if the subgroup itself is a p -group.

Cor A finite group G is a p -group iff $|G|$ is a power of p .

Pf : (\Leftarrow) trivial.

(\Rightarrow) If $q \neq p$ is another prime dividing $|G|$, then by

Cauchy's Thm, $\exists a \in G$ s.t. $|a| = q$. So G is not a p -group. #

Def Let $H < G$. The set

$$N_G(H) := \{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}$$

is a subgroup of G (prove this!), called the normalizer of H in G .

Rmks • $N_G(H) = G$ iff $H \triangleleft G$.

• $N_G(H)$ is the largest subgroup of G in which H is normal.

Lemma If H is a p -subgroup of a finite group G , then
 $[N_G(H) : H] \equiv [G : H] \pmod{p}$

Pf: Let $X = \{ah \mid a \in G\}$. Then $|X| = [G : H]$.

Consider the action of H on X by left multiplication.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } ah \in X_H &\iff hah^{-1} = ah \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\iff (\bar{a}^{-1}h)a = H \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\iff \bar{a}^{-1}ha \in H \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\iff \bar{a}^{-1}Ha = H \\ &\iff a \in N_G(H) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $|X_H| = [N_G(H) : H]$. So the lemma follows from Prop*. #

Cor If H is a p -subgroup of a finite group G s.t. $p \mid [G:H]$
then $N_G(H) \neq H$.

Pf: By above lemma, $[N_G(H):H] \equiv [G:H] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

In particular, $[N_G(H):H] > 1$. Therefore, $N_G(H) \neq H$. #

Thm (First Sylow Thm) Let G be a group of order $p^n m$ with $n \geq 1$, p a prime, and $\gcd(p, m) = 1$. Then

- (1) G contains a subgroup of order p^i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and
- (2) every subgroup of G of order p^i ($i < n$) is normal in some subgroup of order p^{i+1} .

Pf: (1) By Cauchy's Thm, G contains a subgroup of order p .

We proceed by induction and assume that $H < G$ is a subgroup of order p^i ($1 \leq i < n$). Then $p \mid [G : H]$, so by above we have

$$H \trianglelefteq N_G(H) \text{ and } 1 < |N_G(H)/H| = [N_G(H) : H] \equiv [G : H] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

Hence $p \mid |N_G(H)/H|$ and $N_G(H)/H$ contains a subgroup K of order p by Cauchy's Thm again.

Now, $H < \pi^{-1}(K) < N_G(H) < G$ and $|\pi^{-1}(K)| = |K| \cdot |H| = p^{i+1}$,

where $\pi: N_G(H) \rightarrow N_G(H)/H$ is the canonical map.

(2) By (1) and note that $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H) \Rightarrow H \trianglelefteq \pi^{-1}(K)$. #

|| Cor Any p-group is solvable.

Pf : Applying the 1st Sylow Thm to a p-group G gives a sequence

$$\{e\} = H_0 < H_1 < \dots < H_{n-1} < H_n = G \quad (\text{say } |G| = p^n)$$

s.t. $H_{i-1} \triangleleft H_i$ and $H_i/H_{i-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

So G is solvable. #

|| Def A subgroup $P \leq G$ is called a Sylow p-subgroup if P is a maximal p-subgroup of G.

|| Thm (Second Sylow Thm) If H is a p-subgroup of a finite group G, and P is any Sylow p-subgroup of G, then $\exists g \in G$ s.t. $H \leq gPg^{-1}$.

In particular, any two Sylow p-subgroups of G are conjugate.

Pf: Let $X = \{aP \mid a \in G\}$.

Consider the action of H on X by left multiplication.

So $|X_H| \equiv |X| = [G:P] \pmod{p}$ by Prop*.

Now $p \nmid [G:P] \Rightarrow X_H \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\begin{aligned} aP \in X_H &\Leftrightarrow haP = aP \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\Leftrightarrow a^{-1}haP = P \quad \forall h \in H \\ &\Leftrightarrow a^{-1}Ha \subset P \\ &\Leftrightarrow H \subset aPa^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\exists a \in G$ s.t. $H \subset aPa^{-1}$.

When H is a Sylow p -subgroup, then $H = aPa^{-1}$. #

|| Thm (Third Sylow Thm) Let G be a finite group and p a prime s.t. $p \mid |G|$.
 Denote by n_p the number of Sylow p -subgroups of G
 Then $n_p \mid |G|$ and is of the form $k p + 1$ for some $k \geq 0$.

Pf : By the 2nd Sylow Thm,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \# \text{ of Sylow } p\text{-subgroups} &= \# \text{ of conjugates of } P && (\text{where } P \triangleleft G \text{ is} \\
 &= [G : N_G(P)] \mid |G|
 \end{aligned}$$

The second equality is given by $|G \cdot P| = [G : G_P]$,

where G acts on $\{ \text{all subgroups of } G \}$ by conjugation,

and noting that $G_P = N_G(P)$.

Now let $X = \{ \text{Sylow } p\text{-subgroups} \text{ of } G \}$.

Consider the action of P on X by conjugation.

Then $Q \in X_P \Leftrightarrow xQx^{-1} = Q \quad \forall x \in P \Leftrightarrow P < N_G(Q)$.

Since P, Q are Sylow p -subgroups of G and hence of $N_G(Q)$,
they are conjugate in $N_G(Q)$. But $Q < N_G(Q) \Rightarrow P = Q$.

We conclude that $X_P = \{P\}$.

By above proposition, $|X| \equiv |X_P| = 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence $|X| = kp + 1$. #

|| Cor $n_p = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ the Sylow p -subgroup is normal.

Pf : By the 2nd Sylow Thm, $n_p = 1 \Leftrightarrow gPg^{-1} = P \quad \forall g \in G \Leftrightarrow P < G$. #

Sylow p -subgp of G

- e.g. • Consider the dihedral group D_n where n is odd.

Then a Sylow 2-subgroup is given by $\langle \tau \rangle = \{\text{id}, \tau\}$ where $\tau \in D_n$ is a reflection. Note that $n_2 = n$ in this case.

- Consider the symmetric group S_p where p is a prime.

Then a Sylow p -subgroup is given by $\langle \sigma \rangle$ where $\sigma \in S_p$ is a p -cycle. So $n_p = (p-2)!$, and Sylow III implies

$$(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

This is called Wilson's Theorem.

Applications of Sylow Theorems

Here are some simple applications :

Examples ① Suppose $|G|=15$. By 1st Sylow Thm, G has a subgroup P of order 5.

$$\text{By the 3rd Sylow Thm, } n_5 = 5k+1 \mid 15 \Rightarrow n_5 = 1$$

So $P \triangleleft G$. Hence G is solvable and cannot be simple.

② Suppose $|G|=20=2^2 \cdot 5$. By the 1st Sylow Thm, G has a subgroup P of order 5.

$$\text{By the 3rd Sylow Thm, } n_5 = 5k+1 \mid 20 \Rightarrow n_5 = 1$$

So $P \triangleleft G$. Hence G is solvable and cannot be simple.

To apply to more sophisticated cases, we may use some "counting" techniques :

Observation: If $H_1, H_2 < G$ are distinct subgroups of order a prime p , then $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{e\}$.

③ Suppose $|G| = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$. Then Sylow I + III $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} n_2 = 1 \text{ or } 3 \\ n_3 = 1 \text{ or } 4 \end{cases}$

If $n_3 = 4$ then the above lemma $\Rightarrow G$ has $4 \cdot 2 = 8$ elts of order 3

In this case, the remaining $12 - 8 = 4$ elts must form a Sylow 2-subgroup.

This implies $n_2 = 1$. Hence G is solvable and cannot be simple.

④ Suppose $|G| = 30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. Then Sylow I + II $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} n_3 = 1 \text{ or } 10 \\ n_5 = 1 \text{ or } 6 \end{cases}$

If $n_3 = 10$, then the above lemma $\Rightarrow G$ has $10 \cdot 2 = 20$ elts of order 3

If $n_5 = 6$, then the above lemma $\Rightarrow G$ has $6 \cdot 4 = 24$ elts of order 5

So we have either $n_3 = 1$ or $n_5 = 1$.

Hence G is solvable and cannot be simple.

Lemma Let $p \neq q$ be two prime factors of $|G|$.

If $n_p = n_q = 1$, then elements of the Sylow p -subgroup
commute with elements of the Sylow q -subgroup.

Pf : Let P and Q be the Sylow p - and q -subgroup of G .

Then $P, Q \triangleleft G$. Also $P \cap Q = \{e\}$ by the Thm of Lagrange
since $p \neq q$. So for $a \in P$ and $b \in Q$,

$$aba^{-1}b^{-1} = (aba^{-1})b^{-1} = a(ba^{-1}b^{-1}) \in P \cap Q = \{e\}.$$

and hence $ab = ba$. #

Prop All Sylow subgroups of a finite group G are normal iff
 G is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

Pf : (\Leftarrow) Since a factor in a direct product is always a normal subgroup of the product, this direction is true.

(\Rightarrow) Write $|G| = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_e^{n_e}$. Since all Sylow subgroups are normal, $n_{p_i} = 1 \forall i$. Let P_i be the Sylow p_i -subgp in G .

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: P_1 \times \cdots \times P_e &\longrightarrow G \\ (a_1, \dots, a_e) &\mapsto a_1 \cdots a_e\end{aligned}$$

Lemma $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is a homomorphism.

Note that $|a_i|$ is a power of p_i , so $|a_1|, \dots, |a_e|$ are rel. prime.
 $\Rightarrow |a_1 \cdots a_e| = |a_1| \cdots |a_e|$.

Therefore φ is injective, and hence bijective since it's a map between two groups of equal size. #

Rmk : This also shows that $G = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_e$.

Thm Let p and q be primes such that $q > p$, and let G be a group of order pq . Then

(1) G is solvable and hence not simple.

(2) If $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

Pf : By the 3rd Sylow Thm, $n_q | |G| = pq$ and $n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$
This forces $n_q = 1$ since $p < q$. So the Sylow q -subgroup Q is normal in $G \Rightarrow \{e\} < Q \triangleleft G$ is a solvable series for G .

Now suppose that $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then the 3rd Sylow Thm also implies that $n_p = 1$.

Let P and Q be the Sylow p - and q -subgroup of G .

Then P and Q are cyclic of order p and q respectively.

The previous proposition $\Rightarrow G \cong P \times Q \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$. #

Rmk If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ then it can be shown that there are exactly two distinct groups of order pq : the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_{pq} and a nonabelian group K generated by two elements c and d s.t. $|c|=q$, $|d|=p$, $dc=c^sd$

where $s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $s^q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$

In particular, if q is an odd prime, then every group of order $2q$ is isomorphic either to \mathbb{Z}_{2q} or the dihedral group D_q .

Rmk Similar arguments can show that groups of order p^2q and p^2q^2 are solvable. More generally, we have Burnside's p^aq^b Theorem: any finite group of order p^aq^b is solvable, but its proof is beyond our scope.

⑤ Suppose $|G| = 255 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$. Sylow III $\Rightarrow n_{17} = 1 \Rightarrow \exists! \text{ Sylow } 17\text{-subgp } H \triangleleft G$.
By above, $|G/H| = 15 \Rightarrow G/H \text{ is cyclic. So } [G,G] \triangleleft H \Rightarrow |[G,G]| = 1 \text{ or } 17$.

By Sylow III again $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} n_3 = 1 \text{ or } 85 \\ n_5 = 1 \text{ or } 51 \end{cases}$

If $n_3 = 85$ and $n_5 = 51$, then G has $85 \cdot 2 + 51 \cdot 4 = 374$ elts, which is impossible.

So either $n_3 = 1 \Rightarrow |[G,G]| = 1 \text{ or } 3$,

or $n_5 = 1 \Rightarrow |[G,G]| = 1 \text{ or } 5$

We conclude that $[G,G]$ is trivial.

This implies that G is abelian and hence cyclic.

$ G $	isom. classes
1	$\langle e \rangle$
2	\mathbb{Z}_2
3	\mathbb{Z}_3
4	$\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$
5	\mathbb{Z}_5
6	\mathbb{Z}_6, D_3
7	\mathbb{Z}_7
8	$\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, D_4, Q$

$ G $	isom. classes
9	$\mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$
10	\mathbb{Z}_{10}, D_5
11	\mathbb{Z}_{11}
12	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6, A_4, D_6, T$
13	\mathbb{Z}_{13}
14	\mathbb{Z}_{14}, D_7
15	\mathbb{Z}_{15}