

# MATH2048: Honours Linear Algebra II

## 2024/25 Term 1

### Homework 6

#### Problems

Please give reasons for your solutions to the following homework problems.

Submit your solution in PDF via the Blackboard system before 2024-10-25 (Friday) 23:59.

1. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(P_2(\mathbb{R}))$  be defined by  $T(f(x)) = af(0) + f(-1)(x + x^2)$ . Prove that  $T$  is not diagonalizable for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* For any real  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c_3$ ,  $T(c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3) = ac_3 + (c_1 - c_2 + c_3)(x + x^2)$ . The characteristic polynomial is then  $x^2(a - x)$ . By some computation of the dimension of eigenspaces, we see  $T$  is not diagonalizable for any  $a$ .

2. Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ .

- (a) Show that  $A$  and  $A^T$  have the same characteristic polynomials and eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Notice that  $p_A(t) = \det(A - tI) = \det((A - tI)^T) = \det(A^T - tI) = p_{A^T}(t)$ .

- (b) Give an example that  $A$  and  $A^T$  could have different eigenspaces for a given common eigenvalue.

*Proof.*  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (c) Prove that for any common eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ,  $\gamma_A(\lambda) = \gamma_{A^T}(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the rank-nullity theorem and the fact that  $A - \lambda I$  and  $A^T - \lambda I$  share the same rank.

- (d) Prove that if  $A$  is diagonalizable, then  $A^T$  is also diagonalizable.

*Proof.* By (a),  $A$  and  $A^T$  have the same eigenvalues. Further, (c) implies that for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , the dimension of the corresponding eigenspaces of  $A$  and  $A^T$  also coincide. The result then follows.

3. Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix that is similar to an upper triangular matrix and has the distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  with corresponding multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ . Prove the following statements.

- (a)  $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \lambda_i$

*Proof.* Write  $A = P^{-1}UP$ , where  $P$  is invertible and  $U$  is upper triangular with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  with corresponding multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ . We will use a basic property of trace. That is, for any square matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we have  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ . So,  $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(P^{-1}UP) = \text{tr}(UP^{-1}P) = \text{tr}(U) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \lambda_i$ .

$$(b) \det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{m_i}$$

*Proof.*  $\det(A) = \det(P^{-1}UP) = \det(P^{-1})\det(U)\det(P) = \det(U) = \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{m_i}$ , where we have used  $\det(P^{-1}) = \det(P)^{-1}$ .

4. Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , and suppose that the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ .

(a) Prove that  $\text{span}(\{x \in V : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } T\}) = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$ .

*Proof.* The left hand side is definitely the Minkowski sum of all the eigenspaces. It remains to prove that it is actually a direct sum, which can be guaranteed if  $E_{\lambda_1} \cap E_{\lambda_2} = \{0\}$  for any  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Suppose  $v \in E_{\lambda_1}$  and also  $v \in E_{\lambda_2}$ , then  $\lambda_1 v = T(v) = \lambda_2 v$ . So we must have  $v = 0$ .

(b) Hence, prove that  $V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$  if  $T$  is diagonalizable.

*Proof.* If  $T$  is diagonalizable, the dimension of the left hand side of (a) coincides with the dimension of  $V$ . We immediately get the desired result.

5. Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$ , and suppose there exist linearly independent non-zero vectors  $u, v \in V$  such that  $T(u) = 2v$  and  $T(v) = 2u$ . Prove that 2 and -2 are eigenvalues of  $T$ .

Hint: Construct eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Notice that  $T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v) = 2(T(u) + T(v)) = 2T(u + v)$ , and similarly  $T(u - v) = -2T(u - v)$ . So, 2 and -2 are eigenvalues of  $T$ . The condition that  $u$  and  $v$  are linearly independent is used implicitly to ensure  $u + v$  and  $u - v$  are not zero vectors.