Chapter 1. Integration on measure spaces.

Let $X \neq \emptyset$. Let P_X be the power set of X, i.e.

$$P_{X} = \{ Y : Y \subset X \}$$

Def. (o-algebra) M = Px is called a o-algebra on X if

(ii) If $A \in M$, then $A^{c} \in M$ $(A^{c} := X \setminus A)$

(iii) If A_R∈M, R≥1, then UA_{R=1}

Remark: (i)-(iii) imply that

If A_R∈M, R≥1, then A_R∈M.

(using
$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^c\right)^c$$
)

Hence a 5-algebra is closed under countable union, intersection and complement.

We call M(S) the smallest 5-alsebra containing s (or the 5-algebra generated by S) Example: Let X be a topological space, Let β_X be the σ -algebra on X generated by the class of open sets in X. We call Bx the Borel 5-algebra on X. if f'(G) & M, U open G = R.

Example: Px

Remark: Equivalently f is measurable if $f'(a,b) \in M$, $\forall a,b \in \mathbb{R}$, a < b. (Using the fact that every open set in IR is the Countable union of finite open intervals) Prop 1.1. f: X→R is measurable iff one of following proporties holds: $f^{-1}(a,b) \in M$, $\forall a,b \in \mathbb{R}$, a < b. ② f-1(a, ∞) ∈ M, \ a ∈ R. 3 f [a, ∞) ∈M, & a∈ R $\bigoplus f'(-\infty, a) \in M, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ (5) f (-∞, a] ∈ M, y a∈ R. Pf. WLOG, we prove f is measurable 👄 ② Rolds. Clearly > " holds.

Now to prove "=", suppose @ holds.

We want to prove 1 Rolds.

$$f^{-1}[\alpha,\infty) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(\alpha-\frac{1}{n},\infty) \in M$$

So
$$f^{-1}(\alpha,b) = f^{-1}(\alpha,\omega) / f^{-1}[b,\omega) \in M$$

Prop 2. Let
$$\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be continuous.

Let
$$f: X \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be measurable.

Then
$$\Phi \circ f : X \to IR$$
 is measurable.

So
$$(\Phi \circ f)^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(\Phi^{-1}(G)) \in M$$
.

Remark: The assumption $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ can be relaxed to

$$\Phi: V \rightarrow IR$$
 where V is open and $V \supset range(f)$

Prop 1.3 (i) All measurable functions on X
form a vector space.

(ii) If f is measurable, then so are

$$f^{2}, |f|, f^{+}, f^{-},$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases}
f(x) & \text{if } f(x) > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -f(x) & \text{if } f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
The figure measurable that figure for its measurable that

(iii) If
$$f$$
, g are measurable then f - g is measurable.

(iv) If f , g are measurable and $g \neq 0$ then

Pf. (i) It suffices to prove that if f, g are measurable, then so is f+g.

$$(f+g)^{-1}(\alpha,\infty) = \bigcup_{\substack{s,t\in\Omega\\s+t>\alpha}} (f^{-1}(s,\infty) \cap g^{-1}(t,\infty))$$

(ii) Let
$$\Phi(x) = x^2$$
. By Prop 1.2, $\Phi \circ f$ is measurable But $\Phi \circ f = f^2$, and we are done.

(iii)
$$fg = \frac{1}{4} (f+g)^2 - (f-g)^2$$

Set $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, which is cts So by Prop 1.2, $\Phi \circ g = \frac{1}{2}$ is measurable.

Then by (iii), $\frac{f}{g} = f \cdot \frac{1}{g}$ is measurable.

Then the following are also measurable:

$$g^{-1}(a, \infty) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k^{-1}(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{M}$$

$$(g(x)>a \Leftrightarrow \exists k \text{ such that } f_k(x)>a)$$

The proof for the measurability of inffr is similar.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k = \inf_{k \ge 1} \left(\sup_{j \ge k} f_j \right)$$

$$\frac{\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k}{\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k} = \sup_{k \ge 1} \left(\frac{\inf_{j \ge k} f_j}{\int_{j \ge k}} \right)$$

\$1.2 Extended real numbers.

Set
$$\overline{R} = R \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$$
, and call it the extended real number system.

 $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ can be viewed as the image of the function $\phi(x) = \tan x$, $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$

set $\tan\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = -\omega$, $\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = +\infty$.

177

Topological structure of R:

$$E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$
 is open iff $\phi(E)$ is open in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

By the above def, ECR is open iff Eis the countable union of intervals of the form $[-\infty, \alpha)$, (α, b) , $(\alpha, +\infty]$

Def: A function
$$f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$
 is said to be measurable if $f'(G) \in M$ for any open G in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Facts:
$$f: X \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is measurable

iff $f'(a, b)$, $f'(f\omega)$, $f'(f\omega)$ $\in M$.

Prop 1:3, Prop 1:4 also hold for \mathbb{R} -Valued functions.

Let (X, M) be a measurable space.

Def. A measure
$$\mu$$
 on (X, M) is a function

from M to [0, + so I such that

(ii) (countable additivity)
$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \quad \text{provided}$$

An EM are mutually disjoint Simple facts.

• (finite additivity)
$$\mu(\beta \Delta) = \sum_{k} \mu(A_{k}) \text{ if } A_{k} \in M \text{ are}$$

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \mu(A_n)$$
 if $A_n \in M$ are disjoint

• If
$$A \subseteq B$$
, then $\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$.
($B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$)

Hence
$$\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$$
.

· (Countable sub-additivity)

Let An∈M, n≥1, then

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)\leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu(A_n)$$

Justification: Let B1 = A1,

Bz = Az \A, Bn = An (AIU ··· U Ana)

Then B, B, ... are mutually disjoint, Bn & M

Bn = An, moreover

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Hence
$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)$$

Hence
$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}B_n)$$

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(B_n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

Def. The triple (X, M, H) is called a measure space

Prop 1.5. Let (X, M, µ) be a measure space.

(i) If AREM, R≥1, is increasing in the sense

A, CAzc...

then $\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(A_k)$.

(ii) If $A_k \in M$, $k \ge 1$, is observed sign in the sense $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots \supset A_n \supset \cdots$

and assume $\mu(A_1) < \infty$, then

pf. Let us first prove (i).

Write
$$B_1 = A_1$$

 $B_n = A_n \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1})$

Then B1, B2, ..., are mutually disjoint, Moreover $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n =$ U An = $\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} A_n$ (check it) Hence $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)=\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}B_n)$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n)$ $= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k}{n=1} \mu(B_n)$

 $=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^kB_n)$ $=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^kA_n)$ $=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu(A_k)$ $=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mu(A_k)$ is

Notice that

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots$$

So
 $A_1 \setminus A_1 \subset A_1 \setminus A_2 \subset \cdots \subset A_1 \setminus A_n \subset \cdots$

Hence by (1)

 $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_1 \setminus A_n) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_1 \setminus A_n)$

So
 $\mu(A_1 \setminus (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_1 \setminus A_n)$

Since $\mu(A_1) < \omega$,

So
 $\mu(A_1) = \mu(A_1 \setminus (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) + \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$

Hence $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$

Now we prove (2).

Similarly

$$\mu(A_n) = \mu(A_i) - \mu(A_i \backslash A_n)$$

Plugging the above two equations into (*), we obtain the desired identity,

Remark: The assumption $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ can not be dropped in Prop 1.5.

Here is a counterexample:

Let $\mu = L^2$ on R.

Let $A_n = (n, +\infty), n=1, 2, \cdots$

Then $A_n \vee A_n = \emptyset$ However $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$

But $0 = \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = +\infty$.

§1.4. Integration on measure spaces.

Basically we define integration in 3 steps.

(1) Integration of non-negative simple function

(2) Integration of non-negative measurable

(2) Integration of non-negative measurable function,
(3) Integration of measurable functions.

Let (X, M, µ) be a measure space.

Def. (Simple functions)

A simple function on X is a measurable real function which only take finite many values.

It has a standard form
$$S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j \; \mathcal{X}_{E_j}(x),$$
where $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_N$ and
$$E_j = \left\{ x : S(x) = \lambda_j \right\} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{E}(x) := \left\{ 1 \text{ if } x \in E \right\}$$
o otherwise.

Remark: In general, any function
$$S(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \beta_j \chi_{E_j}(x)$$

Thm 1.6. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative \mathbb{R} -valued measurable function. Then \exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative Simple functions such that $(1) \quad S_n \leqslant S_{n+1}, \quad \forall \quad n \geqslant 1,$ $(2) \quad f = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n.$

Then $Q_{R}(x) / x$, for any $x \ge 0$.

Take $S_{R}^{(x)} = Q_{R}(f^{(x)})$, $g = 1, 2, \cdots$

It is readily checked that S_{K} are simple and $S_{K}(x)$ f(x).