PHIL 3000 Ethics 倫理學

Course Outline

Time: H 1:30pm-3:15pm Location: NAH 11
Tut: TBA Location: TBA

Course overview (as shown on CUSIS)

This course is designed for students to learn how to think about fundamental ethical problems, and how to articulate their thoughts. It also introduces them to some key texts in moral philosophy and helps them to analyze and evaluate the arguments presented therein. Topics to be discussed may include the good life, conceptions of happiness, rationality and morality, duties to others, duties to self.

Advisory to Majors: to be taken in year 2 or above.

Learning outcomes (as shown on CUSIS)

- 1. Be familiar with some of the central issues in ethics, which might be issues in history of ethics or in contemporary ethics.
- 2. Be able to read analytically, such that they can identify distinct parts of an argument and grasp each part's role in the overall structure.
- 3. Be able to read critically, such that they can raise their own questions and form their own objections to the material.
- 4. Be able to discuss arguments critically and constructively.
- 5. Be able to defend their own position by giving reasons and explaining the force of those reasons.

Additional learning outcomes

- 6. Be familiar with major ethical theories (and some of the major historical texts developing these theories) and be able to evaluate them critically.
- 7. Be able to think through contemporary ethical issues and to draw on the resources of ethical theories in doing so.

Topics

This course will consist mostly of the following two subjects:

- **I. Ethical theories.** We shall explore and evaluate three major ethical theories consequentialism, deontology, and virtue theory through the lens of three of their most historically important proponents Mill, Kant, and Aristotle, respectively.
- **II. Ethical problems.** We shall explore some specific ethical questions. What obligations do we have towards individuals suffering from hunger across the world? Is deceiving someone into sex always, in every circumstance, *nonconsensual* sex and, as such, *seriously* morally wrong? Is abortion morally wrong and, if so, why (and how, if at all, does this relate to why, in general, it's wrong to kill people)? In exploring these questions, we'll turn to the resources provided by the above-discussed ethical theories. At the same time, we'll reevaluate these theories in light of how they address these questions.

In addition, we will consider a couple *metaethical* issues. We will consider whether moral imperatives are *categorical* or *hypothetical*, and along with this we'll discuss what reasons – if any – we have to be moral. We'll also discuss whether, all things considered, it would be good to be a *moral saint*.

Learning activities

- 1. Lecture: one 2-hour session every week
- 2. Tutorials: one 2-hour session six times over the term (active participation will be expected)
- 3. Reading: about 30 pages per week on average
- 4. Assignments: a term paper, a reading response, a presentation, and active participation

Assessment scheme

Task nature	Description	Weight
Overall participation	in person (tutorial and lecture)	10%
Tutorial presentation	in person (tutorial, weeks 6, 8, 9, & 12)	20%
Reading response	at home (700-1000 words in English; due 24 October before lecture, by email)	20%
Term paper	at home (1800-2300 words in English; due 5 December by 5 pm, by email)	50%

Remarks on Assessment Scheme and Assignments

Participation and attendance (10%). It is important to be a regular contributor to class discussion, especially in the tutorial sessions but also in the lecture. Bear in mind that participation will not be judged solely on quantity. Moreover, good participation can take many forms – asking clarificatory questions, asking questions that probe deeply into difficult issues, providing inciteful ideas, helping classmates to think through issues, contributing support or clarification to a classmate's idea, providing thoughtful (and respectful) critique, etc. (Each unexcused absence in the tutorial will result in a three-percentage-point deduction to one's overall course score.)

Tutorial presentation (20%). Each student is expected to give (as part of a group) a presentation on the reading material in either the week 6, week 8, week 9, or week 12 tutorial session. The details of the presentation (e.g., group size) will be based on the total number of students in the tutorials and, accordingly, will be determined after drop-add.

Reading response (20%). Each student is expected to write an original reading response in which they accomplish two main tasks. The first task is to present a single argument, objection, problem, or proposed solution to a problem discussed in one of the weeks 1-8 readings. This should not be a summary of the reading as a whole. (Note that the chosen reading can be either a lecture reading or a tutorial reading, as long as it is not the tutorial reading on which you present.) The second task is to provide a response to that argument, objection, etc. This should take the form of a single critical claim (a claim in which the writer states whether they agree or disagree, poses an objection or major worry, observes a surprising implication, etc.) followed by a short defense of that critical claim (an argument). The claim in question should not be sociological or exegetical. (The reading response should also begin with a short introductory paragraph in which the writer says what they'll focus on and what their critical claim will be.) The reading response should be 700 - 1000 words in English (inclusive of footnotes), and it should be emailed (as a Word document, with English-language font) before lecture time on 24 October. (Please title the subject of your email "PHIL3000 reading response", and please title the attached term paper file "[YOUR SURNAME, your given name] PHIL3000 reading response".) Please adhere to the deadline and to the word count requirement. Note that the penalties for lateness and for failing to meet the word count requirement are the same as for the term paper (as written below). Please note that any use of AI software is strictly prohibited and will be considered plagiarism.

Term paper (50%). Each student is expected to write an original paper in which they state and defend a substantial philosophical thesis on a topic related to the issues discussed in this course. (The claim should be either a first-order normative ethical claim or a metaethical claim. Accordingly, it should not be sociological or exegetical.) The term paper topic proposal should be submitted over email before the week 9 (31 October) lecture. (You are encouraged to submit the proposal earlier, in case it is not immediately approved.) The paper should be 1800 – 2300 words in English (inclusive of footnotes but not the bibliography), and it should be emailed (as a Word document, with English-language font) by 5 p.m. on 5 December. (Please title the subject of your email "PHIL3000 term paper", and please title the attached term paper file "[YOUR SURNAME, your given name] PHIL3000 term paper".) Please adhere to the deadline and to the word count requirement. If the paper is late, an initial penalty of 1/3rd of a letter grade will then be applied for each

additional 24-hour period before the paper is submitted. Similarly, for every 100 words over or under the word count, a penalty of $1/3^{rd}$ of a letter grade will be applied. Please note that any use of AI software is strictly prohibited and will be considered plagiarism.

Grade Descriptor

Please refer to: http://phil.arts.cuhk.edu.hk/~phidept/UG/Grade_descriptors.pdf

Classroom Policies and Related Notes

Come prepared. Active reading and engagement with the text must be done prior to meetings (lectures and tutorials). You should come to each meeting either with a good understanding of the material presented in the assigned reading or with questions that will help you to gain such an understanding. You should also bring both the current week's and the previous week's reading material (as well as any handouts) to the meeting, either in hard copy or on a tablet/e-reader (or, in special circumstances, on a laptop computer – see below).

Appropriate screen presence. I am committed to creating an environment in our classroom that is conducive to good discussion. To this end, please use technology in the classroom only for purposes specifically related to the current class activity (e.g., consulting the text, taking notes). I ask that you use a laptop *only if* (a) its use is critical to your optimizing your learning experience and (b) you are willing to sit somewhere that will ensure that the laptop isn't distracting to others (i.e., to the side or in the back). **Please do not use cell phones (or leave them out on your desk) in class.** If you have a special reason why you need to use a cell phone in class, please discuss this with me individually beforehand.

Maintain a welcoming and supportive environment. It is important that we maintain a welcoming and supportive environment. Consequently, abrasive comments, derogatory language, etc., will not be tolerated.

Accommodations. I have designed this course with the goal that everyone in it can succeed. I also appreciate that there are multiple ways to learn. I would welcome students to discuss with me their learning styles and how we can try to accommodate them in this course.

My email and meeting policy. I am happy to answer short questions over email, and I am also happy to discuss more involved questions in person. But please email me at least two business days in advance of when you would like an email response, and if you wish to meet with me in person please email me at least four business days in advance of when, at the latest, you'd like to meet.

Required Reading

All required reading (as indicated in the course schedule below) will be posted to Blackboard.

Recommended learning resources

Shafer-Landau, Russ (2003). Whatever Happened to Good and Evil? Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Shafer-Landau, Russ (2017). The Fundamentals of Ethics, 4th Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy (https://iep.utm.edu/)

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (https://plato.stanford.edu/)

Additional recommended readings (as indicated in the course schedule below) will be made available on Blackboard.

Course schedule

Week	Topics	Required reading	Tutorials
1:	General Introduction	Mill, Utilitarianism, ch. 1	
5 Sep	and: Introduction to Consequentialism	Recommended: Shafer-Landau, <i>Fundamentals</i> , ch. 9	
2: 12 Sep	Consequentialism: Elaboration and Challenges	Mill, Utilitarianism, ch. 2	
3: 19 Sep	Consequentialism: More Challenges	Selection from Williams, "A Critique of Utilitarianism"	
<u>r</u>		Le Guin, "The Ones Who Walk away from Omelas"	
		Nozick, "The Experience Machine"	
		Recommended: Shafer-Landau, <i>Fundamentals</i> , ch. 10	
4: 26 Sep	Deontology: Introduction	Kant, Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals, §1	Tutorial 1
		Recommended: Shafer-Landau, Fundamentals, chapters 11-12	
5: 3 Oct	Deontology: Elaboration and Challenges	Kant, Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals, §2 (4: 406-4:430 only)	
6: 10 Oct	Virtue Ethics: Introduction	Aristotle, <i>Nicomachean Ethics</i> , Book I, chapters 1, 4, 5, & 7	Tutorial 2: Singer, "Equality for Animals?" (from <i>Practical</i>
		Recommended: Shafer-Landau, <i>Fundamentals</i> , ch. 17 (pp. 256-265 only)	Ethics)
7: 17 Oct	Virtue Ethics: Elaboration and	Aristotle, <i>Nicomachean Ethics</i> , Book II, chapters 1 & 4-9	
17 300	Challenges	Recommended: Shafer-Landau, <i>Fundamentals</i> , ch. 17 (pp. 266-275 only)	
8: 24 Oct	Why Be Moral? Part 1	Foot, "Morality as a System of Hypothetical Imperatives"	Tutorial 3: Wolf, "Moral Saints"
2 4 001	Reading response due by email before lecture.	Recommended: Shafer-Landau, <i>Fundamentals</i> , ch. 19, and Williams, "Internal and External Reasons"	
9:	World Hunger	Singer, "Famine, Affluence, and	Tutorial 4: Street, "A
31 Oct	Term paper topics due by email before lecture.	Morality" Recommended: O'Neill, "Ending World Hunger"	Darwinian Dilemma for Realist Theories of Value" (sections 1-6)
10:	Deception and	Dougherty, "Sex, Lies, and Consent"	Tutorial 5: Term paper
7 Nov	Consent	Recommended: McGregor, "Force, Consent, and the Reasonable Woman"	workshop
11:	No class		

14 Nov			
12: 21 Nov	Abortion Ethics	Warren, "On the Moral and Legal Status of Abortion"	Tutorial 6: Thomson, "A Defense of Abortion"
13: 28 Nov	Abortion Ethics (cont.)	Marquis, "Why Abortion Is Immoral"	

5 December: Term paper due by email by 5 p.m.

Details of course website

Reading materials, assignments, and lecture notes will be posted to Blackboard.

Contact details for teacher(s) or TA(s)

Teacher	
Name:	Rimell, Nicholas
Office location:	Room 416, Fung King Hey Building
Telephone:	3943 7139
Email:	nicholaskrimell@cuhk.edu.hk

TA	
Name:	Wu, Junyi
Email:	1072328289@qq.com

TA	
Name:	Zhang, Qian
Email:	Qian-Zhang@link.cuhk.edu.hk

Academic honesty and plagiarism

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/

With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed <u>declaration</u> that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures. For group projects, all students of the same group should be asked to sign the declaration.

For assignments in the form of a computer-generated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment. Assignments without the receipt will not be graded by teachers. Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide.