# The Chinese University of Hong Kong 2024/25 1<sup>st</sup> semester PHIL 4443 Seminar on Philosophical Issues: Consequentialism and its critics Course Outline

Instructor: Dr. Kwok Pak Nin, Samson

Time: Thursday 10:30-13:15

Venue: LSK 514

### **Course overview:**

This course aims to be a comprehensive introduction to Consequentialism. It will introduce and critically examine the major theories in Consequentialism, such as Act and rule Consequentialism, Motive and Virtue Consequentialism, and Kantian Consequentialism. The second part of the course will focus on the current critics on Consequentialism, some of the following topics will be discussed: Aggregation, Agent-relativity and Agent-neutrality, Demandingness, and Integrity.

### **Learning outcomes:**

Upon completion of the course, students will be able to:

- Understand the basic concepts and major theories in Consequentialism.
- Understand the strengths and weakness of Consequentialism.
- Demonstrate familiarity with major arguments in the current philosophical debates over Consequentialism and its critics.
- Acquire skills in argumentative discussion and in writing about the debate on Consequentialism and normative ethics in general.
- Enhance the ability of logical reasoning and argumentation by discussing ethical issues related to Consequentialism

## **Learning activities and workload:**

- 1. Lecture: 1.25 hours each week.
- **2.** <u>In Class Discussion and Presentation</u>: 1.25 hours each week, Students are required to discuss and present reading material assigned.

### **Assessment:**

Task nature	Description	Weight
Discussion and Presentation	In class Discussion and Presentation	30%
Reading Assignment	Two Evaluating Articles	30%
Essay	Term-end Research Paper	40%

# **Grade Descriptors:**

http://phil.arts.cuhk.edu.hk/~phidept/UG/Grade descriptors.pdf

#### Late submission policy:

Assignments submitted after the due date will incur a 10% grade reduction per day. Extensions will only be granted in cases of unexpected or exceptional circumstances beyond the student's control.

### **Details of course website:**

Lecture notes and information on assignments will be posted on the Blackboard Learn website.

**Topics & schedule:** 

Week Date Discussion Lecture Remark				
		Discussion		Kemark
1	Sept 5		Introduction:	
			From Consequentialism to	
		7 7 7 7 1 1 1 1	Utilitarianism	
2	Sept 12	J.S.Mill, <i>Utilitarianism</i> , ch.2	Consequentialism and	
			Deontology	
3	Sept 19	Philip Pettit, "Consequentialism	Act and Rule Consequentialism	
		and Respect for Persons"		
4	Sept 26	J. J. C. Smart, "Extreme and	Motive and Virtue	
	-	Restricted Utilitarianism", &	Consequentialism	
		Brad Hooker, Ideal code, Real	-	
		World, ch.4 (selection)		
5	Oct 3	Robert. M. Adams, "Motive	Subjective and Objective	
		Utilitarianism"	Consequentialism	
6	Oct 10	Frank Jackson, "Decision-	Hedonistic and Pluralistic	
	3 30 10	theoretic Consequentialism and	Consequentialism	
		the Nearest and Dearest		
		Objection."		
7	Oct 17	Amartya Sen, "Plural Utility"	Kantian Consequentialism	
,	00017	rimartya son, Traiar Strinty	Traintian Consequentiansin	
8	Oct 24	David Cummiskey, "Kantian	Critics: Aggregation	Submission
	00121	Consequentialism" (selection)	Cities. Higgiegation	<u>Deadline</u>
		Consequentiansin (selection)		<u>forEvaluating</u>
				<u>Article #1</u>
9	Oct 31	Alastair Norcross, "Comparing	Critics: Agent-relativity and	
		Harms: Headaches and Human	Agent-neutrality	
		Lives." (selection)		
10	Nov 7	Thomas Nagel, The view from	Critics: Options and Constraints	
		nowhere, ch.9		
11	<i>Nov 14*</i>	Samuel Scheffler, "Prerogatives	Critics: Demandingness	<u>Make-up class</u>
		without Restrictions"	_	<u>(TBA)</u>
12	Nov 21	Shelly Kagan, "Does	Critics: Moral Alienation and	
		Consequentialism demand too	Integrity	
		much?"		
13	Nov 28	Bernard Williams, "A Critique	Consequentialization	<u>Submission</u>
		of Utilitarianism" (selection)	1	<u>Deadline for</u>
		( :::=)		<u>Evaluating</u>
				Article #2

# **Recommended learning resources:**

Brandt, Richard. (1979). A Theory of the Good and the Right, New York: Oxford University Press.

Broome, John. (1991). Weighing Goods, Oxford: Basil Blackwell

Cummiskey, David (1996). Kantian Consequentialism. New York: Oxford University Press.

Darwall, Stephen. (ed.). (2003). Consequentialism, Oxford: Blackwell.

Driver, Julia. (2012). Consequentialism, Routledge Press.

Frankena, W. K. (1963). Ethics. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.

Frey, R.G. (Eds.) (1984). Utility and Rights. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Hare, R. M. (1981). Moral Thinking: Its Levels, Method, and Point. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hooker, Brad. (2000). *Ideal code, Real World: A Rule-consequentialist Theory of Morality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Kagan, Shelly. (1989). The Limits of Morality. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Kagan, Shelly. (1998). Normative Ethics. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.

Kant, Immanuel. (1953). *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*. (Paton, H. J. Trans.). London: Hutchison. (Original work published 1785).

Lyons, David. (1965). Forms and Limits of Utilitarianism, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Mackie, J. L. (1977). Ethics: Inventing Right and Wrong. Penguin: Harmondsworth.

Mill, J. S. (1998). *Utilitarianism*. (ed. by Crisp, Roger.). New York: Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1861).

Moore, G. E. (1903). *Principia Ethica*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mulgan, Tim. (2001). The Demands of Consequentialism. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Nagel, Thomas (1986). The View from Nowhere. New York: Oxford University Press.

Nozick, Robert. (1974). Anarchy, state, and utopia. New York: Basic Books.

Parfit, Derek. (1984). Reasons and Persons. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Parfit, Derek. (2011). On What Matters Vol.1. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Rawls, John. (1999). A Theory of Justice: Revised Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Scanlon, T. M (1998). What We Owe to Each Other. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Sidgwick, Henry. (1907). The Methods of Ethics (7th ed.). Macmillan: London.

Scheffler, Samuel (1994). The Rejection of Consequentialism: A Philosophical Investigation of the Considerations Underlying Rival Moral Conceptions: Revised Edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Smart, J. J. C &. Williams, B. (1973). *Utilitarianism: For and Against*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Williams, Bernard. (1985). Ethics and the Limits of Philosophy. Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Wood, Allen. (2008). Kantian Ethics. New York: Cambridge University Press.

#### Reading list:

Topic-specific lists of references, required and suggested readings will be given in lecture notes and bibliographical notes (separate) for each topic.

#### **Feedback for evaluation:**

- 1. Students are strongly encouraged to provide feedback on the course via email or meetings with lecturer.
- 2. Students evaluate the course through a survey and written comments at the end of the term as well as via regular feedback between teacher and students. This information is highly valued and is used to revise teaching methods, tasks, and content.

#### **Contact:**

Lecturer		
Name:	Dr. Kwok Pak Nin, Samson	
Office Location:	KHB 414	
Consultation Hour:	Mon 11:00am-12:30pm	
Telephone:	3943-1519	
Email:	samsonkpn@cuhk.edu.hk	
Tutor		
Name:	TBA	
Office Location:		
Consultation Hours		
Email:		

## Academic honesty and plagiarism:

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/.

With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed declaration that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures. For group projects, all students of the same group should be asked to sign the declaration.

For assignments in the form of a computer-generated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment. Assignments without the receipt will not be graded by teachers. Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide.