Approximation Algorithms 3: Set Cover and Hitting Set

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong

Set Cover and Hitting Set

1/20



We are given a collection \$ where each member of \$ comes from a certain domain (which is not important).

Define the **universe** $U = \bigcup_{S \in S} S$.

A sub-collection $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S$ is a set cover (of U) if every element of U appears in at least one set in \mathcal{C} .

The set cover problem: Find a set cover with the smallest size.

Example: $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and $S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_5\}$ where $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ $S_2 = \{2, 5, 7\}$ $S_3 = \{6, 7\}$ $S_4 = \{1, 8\}$ $S_5 = \{1, 2, 3, 8\}.$ An optimal solution is $C = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}.$

3/20

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● ● ● ● ●

The input size of the set cover problem is $n = \sum_{S \in S} |S|$.

The problem is NP-hard.

- No one has found an algorithm solving the problem in time polynomial in *n*.
- Such algorithms cannot exist if $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$.

4/20

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

 \mathcal{A} = an algorithm that, given any legal input \mathcal{S} with universe \mathcal{U} , returns a set cover \mathcal{C} .

Denote by $OPT_{\mathcal{S}}$ the smallest size of all set covers when the input collection is \mathcal{S} .

 \mathcal{A} is a ρ -approximate algorithm for the set cover problem if, for any legal input \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{A} can return a set cover with size at most $\rho \cdot OPT_{\mathcal{S}}$.

The value ρ is the **approximation ratio**. We say that A achieves an approximation ratio of ρ .

5/20

▲□ ► ▲ □ ► ▲

Consider the following algorithm.

Input: A collection S

1. $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$

- 2. while U still has elements not covered by any set in $\mathcal C$
- 3. $F \leftarrow$ the set of elements in U not covered by any set in C/* for each set $S \in S$, define its **benefit** to be $|S \cap F|$ */
- 4. add to \mathcal{C} a set in \mathcal{S} with the largest benefit

5. **return** C

It is easy to show:

- The C returned is a set cover;
- The algorithm runs in time polynomial to *n*.

We will prove later that the algorithm is $(1 + \ln |U|)$ -approximate.

6/20

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Example: $S_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $S_2 = \{2, 5, 7\}$, $S_3 = \{6, 7\}$, $S_4 = \{1, 8\}$, $S_5 = \{1, 2, 3, 8\}$

- In the beginning, $C = \emptyset$ and $F = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$.
- Next, we can add S₁ or S₅ to C (benefit 4). The choice is arbitrary; suppose we add S₁. Now, F = {5,6,7,8}.
- Next, we can add S₂ or S₃ (benefit 2). The choice is arbitrary; suppose we add S₂. Now, F = {6,8}.
- Next, we can add S₃, S₄, or S₅ (benefit 1). The choice is arbitrary; suppose we add S₃. Now, F = {8}.
- Next, we cab add S₄ or S₅ (benefit 1). The choice is arbitrary; suppose we add S₄. Now, F = Ø.

The algorithm terminates with $C = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\}$.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Theorem 1: The algorithm returns a set cover with size at most $1 + (\ln |U|) \cdot OPT_{S} \leq (1 + \ln |U|) \cdot OPT_{S}$.

э

8/20

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

C = the set cover returned. t = |C|.

Denote the sets in C as $S_1, S_2, ..., S_t$, picked in the order shown.

For each $i \in [1, t]$, define z_i as the size of F after S_i is picked. Specially, define $z_0 = |U|$.

 $z_t = 0$ and $z_{t-1} \ge 1$. Think: why?

Yufei Tao

Denote by \mathcal{C}^* an optimal set cover, namely, $OPT_{\mathcal{S}} = |\mathcal{C}^*|$.

-

9/20

イロト イポト イラト イラト

We will prove later:

Lemma 1: For $i \in [1, t]$, it holds that

$$z_i \leq z_{i-1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_S}\right)$$

э

10/20

From Lemma 1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{t-1} &\leq z_{t-2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}}\right) \\ &\leq z_{t-3} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}}\right)^2 \\ &\cdots \\ &\leq z_0 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}}\right)^{t-1} = |U| \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}}\right)^{t-1} \\ &\leq |U| \cdot e^{-\frac{t-1}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality used the fact $1 + x \le e^x$ for any real value x.

As $z_{t-1} \geq 1$, we have

$$1 \le |U| \cdot e^{-\frac{t-1}{OPT_{\mathfrak{S}}}} \tag{1}$$

11/20

which resolves to $t \leq 1 + (\ln |U|) \cdot OPT_{\mathcal{S}}$. This proves Theorem 1.

Yufei Tao	Set Cover and Hitting Set
-----------	---------------------------

Proof of Lemma 1

Before S_i is chosen, F has z_{i-1} elements.

At this moment, at least one set $S^* \in \mathbb{C}^*$ has a benefit at least

$$\frac{z_{i-1}}{|\mathcal{C}^*|} = \frac{z_{i-1}}{OPT_{\mathcal{S}}} > 0$$

(every element of F must appear in some set in C^*).

The set S^* cannot have been chosen (every chosen set has benefit 0) and is thus a candidate for S_i . It thus follows that S_i must have a benefit at least $\frac{z_{i-1}}{OPT_s}$ (greedy). Therefore:

$$z_{i} = |F \setminus S_{i}| = |F| - |F \cap S_{i}|$$

$$\leq z_{i-1} - \frac{z_{i-1}}{OPT_{s}}$$

$$= z_{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{OPT_{s}}\right)$$

Yufei Tao

Set Cover and Hitting Set

SOA

12/20

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Next, we will introduce a closely related problem called the **hitting set problem**.



13/20

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・



Let U be a finite set called the **universe**.

We are given a collection \$ where each member of \$ is a set $S \subseteq U$.

A subset $H \subseteq U$ hits a set $S \in S$ if $H \cap S \neq \emptyset$. A subset $H \subseteq U$ is a hitting set (of S) if it hits all the sets in S.

The hitting set problem: Find a hitting set *H* of the minimize size.

Example: $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_8\}$ where $S_1 = \{1, 4, 5\}$ $S_2 = \{1, 2, 5\}$ $S_3 = \{1, 5\}$ $S_4 = \{1\}$ $S_5 = \{2\}$ $S_6 = \{3\}$ $S_7 = \{2,3\}$ $S_8 = \{4, 5\}$ An optimal solution is $H = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

15/20

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ()

The input size of the set cover problem is $n = \sum_{S \in S} |S|$.

The problem is NP-hard.

- No one has found an algorithm solving the problem in time polynomial in *n*.
- Such algorithms cannot exist if $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$.

16/20

化口下 化同下 化原下 化原下

 \mathcal{A} = an algorithm that, given any legal input S with universe U, returns a hitting set.

Denote by OPT_{S} the smallest size of all hitting sets.

 \mathcal{A} is a ρ -approximate algorithm for the hitting set problem if, for any legal input \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{A} can return a hitting set with size at most $\rho \cdot OPT_{\mathcal{S}}$.

The value ρ is the **approximation ratio**. We say that A achieves an approximation ratio of ρ .

Hitting set and set cover are essentially the same problem.

Let \$ be the input to the hitting set problem (recall that \$ is a collection of sets). By converting the problem to an instance of set cover, we can obtain a polynomial-time hitting-set algorithm that guarantees an approximation ratio of

 $1+\ln|{\mathbb S}|.$

The proof is left as a regular exercise, but the next slide illustrates the key idea behind the conversion.

Consider the hitting set example on Slide 15. Let us create a bipartite graph G:



Each set $S \in S$ corresponds to a vertex on the left of G. Each element $e \in U$ corresponds to a vertex on the right of G. An edge exists between vertex S and vertex e if and only if $e \in S$.

Set Cover and Hitting Set



Solving the hitting set problem is equivalent to finding a smallest set R of **right** vertices such that every left vertex is adjacent to at least one vertex in R.

This gives rise to the set cover example on Slide 3.

Set Cover and Hitting Set