# Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

### Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

1/28

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

We will continue our discussion on the single source shortest path (SSSP) problem, but this time we will allow the edges to take **negative** weights.

Dijkstra's algorithm no longer works. We will learn another algorithm — called **the Bellman-Ford algorithm** — to solve the problem.

2/28

소 曰 ▶ (本) ▶ (本) ● ▶ (本) ● ▶ (\*)

Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph. Let w be a function that maps each edge in  $e \in E$  to an integer w(e), which can be positive, 0, or negative.



3/28

Shortest Path

Consider a path in  $G: (v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), ..., (v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+1})$ , for some integer  $\ell \geq 1$ . We define the path's **length** as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(v_i, v_{i+1}).$$

A **shortest path** from u to v has the minimum length among all the paths from u to v. Denote by spdist(u, v) the length of a shortest path from u to v.

If v is unreachable from u,  $spdist(u, v) = \infty$ .

New: The length of a path can be negative!

-

4/28

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト





The path  $c \rightarrow d \rightarrow g$  has length -5.

Can you find a shortest path from *a* to *c*? Counter-intuitively, it has an infinite number of edges such that  $spdist(a, c) = -\infty!$ 

• This is due to the **negative cycle**  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow a$ .

5/28

## Negative cycle

A path  $(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), ..., (v_{\ell}, v_{\ell+1})$  is a cycle if  $v_{\ell+1} = v_1$ .

It is a **negative cycle** if its length is negative, namely:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(v_i, v_{i+1}) < 0$$

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

э.

6/28

**SSSP Problem:** Let G = (V, E) be a directed simple graph, where function w maps every edge of E to an arbitrary integer. It is guaranteed that G has no negative cycles. Given a source vertex s in V, we want to find a shortest path from s to t for every vertex  $t \in V$  reachable from s.

The output is a **shortest path tree** *T*:

- The vertex set of T is V.
- The root of T is s.
- For each node *u* ∈ *V*, the root-to-*u* path of *T* is a shortest path from *s* to *u* in *G*.

7/28

(4 同) (4 回) (4 \Pi) (4 \Pi)

We will learn an algorithm called **the Bellman-Ford algorithm** that solves both problems in O(|V||E|) time.

We will focus on **computing** spdist(s, v), namely, the shortest path distance from the source vertex s to every vertex  $v \in V$ .

Constructing the shortest paths is easy and will be left to you.

8/28





This graph has no negative cycles.

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

æ

9/28

< ロ > < 部 > < き > < き >

**Lemma:** For every vertex  $v \in V$ , at least one shortest path from *s* to *v* is **simple path**, namely, a path where no vertex appears twice.

The proof is left to you — note that you must use the condition that no negative cycles are present.

**Corollary:** For every vertex  $v \in V$ , there is a shortest path from *s* to *v* having at most |V| - 1 edges.

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

10/28

(日本) (日本) (日本)



For every vertex  $v \in V$ , we will — at all times — maintain a value dist(v) equal to the shortest path length from s to v found so far.

**Relaxing** an edge (u, v) means:

- If  $dist(v) \leq dist(u) + w(u, v)$ , do nothing;
- Otherwise, reduce dist(v) to dist(u) + w(u, v).

11/28

A > < 3 > < 5 >

#### The Bellman-Ford algorithm

- **1** Set  $dist(s) \leftarrow 0$ , and  $dist(v) \leftarrow \infty$  for all other vertices  $v \in V$ .
- 2 Repeat the following |V| 1 times
  - Relax all edges in E (the relaxation order does not matter)

ъ.

12/28

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ・ヨト



Suppose that the source vertex is a.



For illustration purposes, we will relax the edges in alphabetic order: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (a, b):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (a, d):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (b, c):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (c, d):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (c, e):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (d,g):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (e, d):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (f, e):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



Here is what happens after relaxing (g, f):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



In the same fashion, relax all edges for a second time.

Here is the content of the table at the end of this relaxation round:



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights



In the same fashion, relax all edges for a third time.

Here is the content of the table at the end of this relaxation round (no changes from the previous round):



Alphabetic order of the edges in the graph: (a, b), (a, d), (b, c), (c, d), (c, e), (d, g), (e, d), (f, e), (g, f).

Single Source Shortest Paths with Arbitrary Weights

Example

In the same fashion, relax all edges for a **fourth time**, **fifth time**, and then a **sixth time**. No more changes to the table:



The algorithm then terminates here with the above values as the final shortest path distances.

**Remark:** We did 6 rounds only to follow the algorithm description faithfully. As a heuristic, we can stop as soon as no changes are made to the table after some round.

## Time

The running time is clearly O(|V||E|).



#### Correctness

**Theorem:** Consider any vertex v; suppose that there is a shortest path from s to v that has  $\ell$  edges. Then, after  $\ell$  rounds of edge relaxations, it must hold that dist(v) = spdist(v).

#### **Proof:**

We will prove the theorem by induction on  $\ell$ . If  $\ell = 0$ , then v = s, in which case the theorem is obviously correct. Next, assuming the statement's correctness for  $\ell < i$  where i is an integer at least 1, we will prove it holds for  $\ell = i$  as well.

27/28

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Denote by  $\pi$  the shortest path from s to v, namely,  $\pi$  has i edges. Let p be the vertex right before v on  $\pi$ .

By the inductive assumption, we know that dist(p) was already equal to spdist(p) after the (i - 1)-th round of edge relaxations.

In the *i*-th round, by relaxing edge (p, v), we make sure:

$$\begin{aligned} dist(v) &\leq dist(p) + w(p,v) \\ &= spdist(p) + w(p,v) \\ &= spdist(v). \end{aligned}$$

28/28

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト