Detecting Multi-Layer Layout Hotspots with Adaptive Squish Patterns

> Haoyu Yang<sup>1</sup>, Piyush Pathak<sup>2</sup>, Frank Gennari<sup>2</sup>, Ya-Chieh Lai<sup>2</sup>, Bei Yu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Chinese University of Hong Kong <sup>2</sup>Cadence Design Systems Inc.



cādence

#### Outline

Introduction

The Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



#### Outline

Introduction

The Algorithm

Results

Conclusion

## Moore's Law to Extreme Scaling



# Lithography Proximity Effect



- What you see  $\neq$  what you get
- Diffraction information loss

- ▶ RET: OPC, SRAF, MPL
- Worse on designs under 10nm or beyond



## Machine Learning based Hotspot Detection





# Machine Learning based Hotspot Detection



Predict new patterns

- Decision-tree, ANN, SVM, Boosting, Deep Neural Networks
- [Drmanac+,DAC'09] [Ding+,TCAD'12] [Yu+,JM3'15] [Matsunawa+,SPIE'15] [Yu+,TCAD'15][Zhang+,ICCAD'16][Yang+,DAC'17][Yang+,TCAD]



## Multi-Layer Hotspots



- More complicated patterns
- More failure types (e.g. Metal-to-Via failure)



# Layout Representations

Density-based features [Matsunawa+,SPIE'15]



Concentric circle sampling [Zhang+,ICCAD'16]



Feature tensor extraction [Yang+,TCAD]





# **Squish Patterns**



A simple multilayer pattern example with scan lines.

#### Lossless

- Storage-friendly
- Incompatible with most machine learning engines.

Squish representation does not guarantee a fixed tensor dimensionality for a given clip size.

$$\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$\vec{\delta}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.072 & 0.06 & 0.048 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$\vec{\delta}_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0.013 & 0.06 & 0.017 & 0.137 & 0.09 \end{bmatrix}.$$





Introduction

The Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



# An Alternative of Padding

 Legacy padding induces large fraction of zeros that are not informative to CNNs.



- Instead of padding, we repeat certain rows or columns of squish topologies.
- $\vec{\delta}$ s are adjusted accordingly to make the pattern unchanged.

▶ < 글 > < 글 >

## Which Rows/Columns Are to Be Duplicated/Repeated?

- In machine learning, if some entries of the input are too large/small, there will be bias related to those entries.
- Subtract RGB means in conventional image classification tasks.
- Duplicate rows/columns with larger deltas.

Adaptive Squish Problem:

$$\min_{\vec{s}} ||\vec{\delta}'||_{\infty} \tag{1a}$$

s.t. 
$$\delta'_i = \delta_i / s_i, \forall i,$$
 (1b)

$$s_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall i,$$
 (1c)

$$\sum_{i} s_i = d. \tag{1d}$$

#### **Repeat Elements**

**RepeatElements:**  $\vec{M}' = \text{RepeatElements}(\vec{M}, \vec{s}, a)$ , which duplicates the columns (a = 0) or rows (a = 1) of a matrix  $\vec{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{a_1 \times a_2}$  by certain times such that the shape of the new matrix  $\vec{M}'$  will be increased to a desired value.

 $\vec{m}'_k = \vec{m}_j, \forall \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} s_i < k \le \sum_{i=1}^j s_i.$  (2)

► *a* = 1 :

 $\blacktriangleright a = 0$ :

RepeatElements $(\vec{M}, \vec{s}, 1) =$  RepeatElements $(\vec{M}^{\top}, \vec{s}, 0)^{\top}$ . (3)



#### **Repeat Elements**

For example, if we let  $\vec{s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$  and a = 0, then the RepeatElements operation on the topology matrix  $\vec{T}$  will result in

$$\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{T}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(4)



# Adaptive Squish: Solution 1

**Algorithm 1** Obtaining adaptive squish patterns with a greedy procedure.

Extend a  $3 \times 3$  squish topology to shape  $3 \times 6$ .

$$\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$\vec{\delta}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 28 & 18 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\delta}_y = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$
  
$$\vec{T}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
$$\vec{\delta}'_x = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 7 & 14 & 9 & 9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\delta}'_y = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Adaptive Squish: Solution 2

Algorithm 2 Deriving an approximate solution of Formula (8) that will be used for generating adaptive squish patterns.

Input:  $\delta$ ,  $d_0$ , d; Output: s; 1:  $l \leftarrow \sum_i \delta_i$ ; 2:  $t \leftarrow l/(d-1)$ ; 3:  $s_i \leftarrow \max\{1, \operatorname{int}(\delta_i/t)\}, \forall i$ ; 4: while  $\sum_i s_i < d-1$  do 5:  $\delta'_i \leftarrow \delta_i/s_i, \forall i$ ; 6:  $i \leftarrow \arg\max_i \{\delta_i | i = 1, 2, ..., d_0 - 1\}$ ; 7:  $s_i \leftarrow s_i + 1$ ; 8: end while 9:  $\delta_i \leftarrow \delta_i/s_i, \forall i$ ; 10:  $\delta \leftarrow \operatorname{RepeatElements}(\delta, s, 1)$ ; 11:  $T \leftarrow \operatorname{RepeatElements}(T, s, a)$ ; Extend a  $3 \times 3$  squish topology to shape  $3 \times 6$ .

$$\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\vec{\delta}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 28 & 18 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\delta}_y = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$
$$\vec{T}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\vec{\delta}_x' = \begin{bmatrix} 9.33 & 9.33 & 9.33 & 9 & 9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\delta}_y' = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 16 & 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$



## Adaptive Squish: Data Preparation

The squish topology and  $\vec{\delta}$ s will be stacked together into a 3D tensor  $[\vec{T}'; \vec{\delta}'_X; \vec{\delta}'_Y]$  that will be fed into neural networks for training and inference, where,

• • = • • = •

#### **ResNet Block**



- Gradient vanishing problem.
- Allows gradient to be easily backpropagated to early layers.
- Feature reuse.



# The Neural Network Architecture

	JN	13 [Yang+,J	IM3'17]				Ours		
Layer	Filter	Stride	Output	Parameter	Layer	Filter	Stride	Output	Parameter
conv1-1	3×3×4	2	160×160×4	36	conv1-1	5×5×128	2	32×32×128	9600
conv1-2	3×3×4	2	80×80×4	144	conv1-2	5×5×128	1	32×32×128	409600
conv2-1	3×3×8	1	80×80×8	288	conv1-3	5×5×128	1	32×32×128	409600
conv2-2	3×3×8	1	80×80×8	576	conv1-4	5×5×128	1	32×32×128	409600
conv2-3	3×3×8	1	80×80×8	576	conv2-1	$5 \times 5 \times 256$	2	$16 \times 16 \times 256$	819200
pool2	2×2	2	40×40×8		conv2-2	$5 \times 5 \times 256$	1	$16 \times 16 \times 256$	1638400
conv3-1	3×3×16	1	40×40×16	1152	conv2-3	5×5×256	1	$16 \times 16 \times 256$	1638400
conv3-2	3×3×16	1	40×40×16	2304	conv2-4	$5 \times 5 \times 256$	1	$16 \times 16 \times 256$	1638400
conv3-3	3×3×16	1	$40 \times 40 \times 16$	2304	conv3-1	5×5×512	2	8×8×512	3276800
pool3	2×2	2	20×20×16		conv3-2	5×5×512	1	8×8×512	6553600
conv4-1	3×3×32	1	20×20×32	4608	conv3-3	5×5×512	1	8×8×512	6553600
conv4-2	3×3×32	1	$20 \times 20 \times 32$	9216	conv3-4	5×5×512	1	8×8×512	6553600
conv4-3	3×3×32	1	20×20×32	9216	conv4-1	5×5×1024	2	4×4×1024	13107200
pool4	2×2	2	$10 \times 10 \times 32$						
conv5-1	3×3×32	1	$10 \times 10 \times 32$	9216					
conv5-2	3×3×32	1	10×10×32	9216					
conv5-3	3×3×32	1	$10 \times 10 \times 32$	9216					
pool5	2×2	2	$5 \times 5 \times 32$						
fc1			2048	1638400	fc1			1024	16777216
fc2			512	1048576	fc2			2	2048
fc3			2	1024					
Summary				2746068					59796864



Introduction

The Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



18/21

## The Dataset & Configurations

14nm metal layer, M3, V3, V4

	Train	Test	Image	Squish	
Hotspot	3073	6015	200~200	64×64×3	
Nonhotspot	973197	1457830	320×320		

- Initial learning rate: 0.001
- Decay: 0.7 per 2000 steps
- Weight normalization: 0.001
- Xavier, Adam

#### Results

Hit : # of hotspot patterns that are predicted as hotspots

False Alarm : # of good patterns that are predicted as hotspots

ltem	JM3 [Yang+,JM3'17]	Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2
Accuracy (%)	98.87	97.51	99.24
False Alarm Rate (%)	4.81	5.05	4.52
Hit	5947	5865	5969
False Alarm	70193	73645	65926
Precision (%)	7.81	7.38	8.30

# **Receiver Operating Characteristics**



- JM3 behaves even better than Algorithm 2 in terms of area under curve.
- AUC advantages of JM3 comes from the region where the decision threshold is above 0.9.
- Higher confidence on hotspot patterns that can be correctly predicted by classifiers is not necessary.

#### Outline

Introduction

The Algorithm

Results

Conclusion



#### Conclusion

#### Adaptive Squish Pattern.

Attains good properties of squish patterns and compatible with most learning machines.

# Multilayer Hotspot Detection. First time consider metal-to-via failure.

#### ResNet.

Allow better convergence and model generality.

