

CSCI2100: Midterm

Problem 1 (10%). Prove: if $f(n) = O(n \log n)$ and $g(n) = O(\sqrt{n})$, then there are constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $f(n) + g(n) \leq \alpha \cdot n \log_2 n$ for all $n \geq \beta$. Part of the proof has been written for you. You need to fill in the three blanks.

Proof. Since $f(n) = O(n \log n)$, there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that, for all $n \geq c_2$, we have

$$f(n) \leq c_1 n \log_2 n.$$

Since $g(n) = O(\sqrt{n})$ there exist constants c'_1, c'_2 such that, for all $n \geq c'_2$, we have

$$g(n) \leq c'_1 \sqrt{n} \leq c'_1 n \log_2 n.$$

Thus, for n satisfying _____, it holds that

$$f(n) + g(n) \leq (c_1 + c'_1) \cdot n \log_2 n.$$

Hence, setting $\alpha =$ _____ and $\beta =$ _____ completes the proof. \square

Write your answers in the answer book in this format: “Blank 1: ...”, “Blank 2: ...”, and “Blank 3: ...”.

Solution. Blank 1: $n \geq \max\{c_2, c'_2\}$. Blank 2: $\alpha = c_1 + c'_1$. Blank 3: $\beta = \max\{c_2, c'_2\}$.

Problem 2 (5%). Give a counterexample to disprove the following statement: if functions $f(n) = O(n \log n)$ and $g(n) = O(\sqrt{n})$, then $f(n) + g(n) = \Omega(n \log n)$.

Solution. $f(n) = g(n) = 1$.

Problem 3 (10%). Let S be a set of n integers, and k_1, k_2 arbitrary integers satisfying $1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq n$. Suppose that S is given in an array. Give an $O(n)$ expected time algorithm to report *all* the integers whose ranks in S are in the range $[k_1, k_2]$. Recall that the rank of an integer v in S equals the number of integers in S that are at most v .

Solution. Apply the k -selection algorithm to find the integer $p_1 \in S$ whose rank is k_1 , and then apply the algorithm again to find the integer $p_2 \in S$ whose rank is k_2 . Finally, scan S to report every integer that falls in $[p_1, p_2]$.

Problem 4 (10%). Let S_1 and S_2 be two sets of integers (they may not be disjoint) with $|S_1| = |S_2| = n$. We know that S_1 and S_2 have been sorted, i.e., each set is given in an array where its elements are in ascending order. Give an algorithm to compute $S_1 \cup S_2$ in $O(n)$ time.

Solution. Let A_1 (resp., A_2) be the array storing S_1 (resp., S_2). Create an array A of size $2n$ to contain the output. Set $i = j = 1$. Repeat the following until $i > n$ or $j > n$:

- If $A_1[i] > A_2[j]$, append $A_1[i]$ to A and increase i by 1.
- If $A_1[i] < A_2[j]$, append $A_2[j]$ to A and increase j by 1.
- Otherwise, append $A_1[i]$ to A and increase both i and j by 1.

Finally, if $i < n$ (resp., $j < n$), append the remaining elements of A_1 (resp., A_2) to A .

Problem 5 (6%). Suppose that we use quick sort to sort the array $A = (35, 12, 5, 55, 43, 78, 90, 82)$. Remember that the algorithm first randomly picks a pivot element from A and then solves two subproblems recursively. Let us assume that the pivot is 35. What are the input arrays of those two subproblems, respectively?

Solution. $(12, 5), (55, 43, 78, 90, 82)$.

Problem 6 (6%). Let A be the following array of 10 integers: $(8, 5, 6, 2, 12, 1, 10, 17, 11, 9)$. Suppose that we use counting sort to sort the array, knowing that all the integers are in the domain from 1 to 20. Recall that the algorithm (as described in the class) generates an array B where each element is either 0 or 1. Give the content of B .

Solution. $(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$.

Problem 7 (10%). Let S be a set of n integers that have been sorted in an array. Give an algorithm that, given any integers x and y with $x \leq y$, finds the *number* of integers in S covered by the interval $[x, y]$. Your algorithm must finish in $O(\log n)$ time. For example, if $S = \{5, 12, 35, 43, 55, 78, 82, 90\}$, your algorithm should output 2 if $x = 30$ and $y = 45$.

Solution. Perform binary search to find the successor of x in A (which is the smallest element in A larger than or equal to x). Let i be the successor's position index (i.e., $A[i]$ is the successor). Perform binary search to find the predecessor of y in A (which is the largest element in A smaller than or equal to x). Let j be the predecessor's position index. Return $j - i + 1$.

Problem 8 (30%). Let S_1 be a set of n integers that have been sorted in an array. Let S_2 be another set of m integers that have *not* been sorted. Answer the following questions.

1. (8%) Give an algorithm to find $S_1 \cap S_2$ in $O(m \log n)$ time.
2. (10%) Give an algorithm to find $S_1 \cap S_2$ in $O(n + m \log m)$ time.
3. (12%) Suppose that all the integers in S_1 are in the domain from 1 to $100n$ (whereas the domain for S_2 is arbitrary). Give an algorithm to find $S_1 \cap S_2$ in $O(n + m)$ time.

Solution.

1. Let A_1 be the array storing S_1 . For each integer $e \in S_2$, check whether $e \in S_1$ with binary search and, if so, output e . Each binary search costs $O(\log n)$ time. Thus, the total cost is $O(m \log n)$.
2. Sort S_2 in $O(m \log m)$ time; let A_2 be the sorted array A_2 . Then, we perform a synchronous scan over A_1 and A_2 to output $S_1 \cap S_2$ as follows. First, set $i = 1$ and $j = 1$. Then, repeat the following until $i > |A_1|$ or $j > |A_2|$: if $A_1[i] = A_2[j]$, output $A_1[i]$ and increase both i and j by one. If $A_1[i] > A_2[j]$, increase j by one; if $A_1[i] < A_2[j]$, increase i by one. The synchronous scan takes $O(m + n)$. So the overall cost is $O(n + m \log m)$.
3. Discard from S_2 all the integers that are outside the range $[1, 100n]$. Use counting sort to sort (the remaining elements of) S_2 in $O(m + 100n) = O(m + n)$ time. Then, perform a synchronous scan as described for Problem 8(2) to report $S_1 \cap S_2$. The total cost is $O(m + n)$.

Problem 9 (13%). Let A be an array of n distinct integers (not necessarily sorted). We denote the i -th number in A as $A[i]$, for $i \in [1, n]$. We call $A[i]$ a *local maximum* in any of the following scenarios:

- $i = 1$ and $A[1] > A[2]$;
- $i = n$ and $A[n] > A[n - 1]$;
- $i \in [2, n - 1]$, $A[i] > A[i + 1]$, and $A[i] > A[i - 1]$.

For example, if $A = (35, 12, 5, 55, 43, 78, 90, 82)$, then 35, 55, and 90 are all the local maxima. Design an algorithm to find an *arbitrary* local maximum in $O(\log n)$ time.

Solution. Set $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. In $O(1)$ time, check if $A[k]$ is a local maximum. If not, then there are three possibilities:

1. $A[k - 1] < A[k] < A[k + 1]$;
2. $A[k - 1] > A[k] > A[k + 1]$;
3. $A[k] < A[k - 1]$ and $A[k] < A[k + 1]$.

In the first case, recursively look for a local maximum in the subarray $A[k + 1 : n]$ (i.e., everything from $A[k + 1]$ to $A[n]$). In the second case, recurse in the subarray $A[1 : k - 1]$. In the third case, you can recurse either in $A[1 : k - 1]$ or $A[k + 1 : n]$. If $f(n)$ is the running time on an input of size n , we have $f(n) \leq O(1) + f(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$, which yields $f(n) = O(\log n)$.