

# Greedy 1: Activity Selection

Yufei Tao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
Chinese University of Hong Kong

In this lecture, we will commence our discussion of **greedy** algorithms, which enforce a simple strategy: make the **locally optimal** decision at each step. Although this strategy does not always guarantee finding a **globally optimal** solution, sometimes it does. The nontrivial part is to prove (or disprove) the global optimality.

## Activity Selection

**Input:** A set  $S$  of  $n$  intervals of the form  $[s, f]$  where  $s$  and  $f$  are integers.

**Output:** A subset  $T$  of disjoint intervals in  $S$  with the largest size  $|T|$ .

**Remark:** You can think of  $[s, f]$  as the duration of an activity, and consider the problem as picking the largest number of activities that do not have time conflicts.

## Activity Selection

**Example:** Suppose

$$S = \{[1, 9], [3, 7], [6, 20], [12, 19], [15, 17], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}.$$

$T = \{[3, 7], [15, 17], [18, 22]\}$  is an optimal solution, and so is  $T = \{[1, 9], [12, 19], [21, 24]\}$ .

## Activity Selection

### Algorithm

Repeat until  $S$  becomes empty:

- Add to  $T$  the interval  $\mathcal{I} \in S$  with the smallest finish time.
- Remove from  $S$  all the intervals intersecting  $\mathcal{I}$  (including  $\mathcal{I}$  itself)

## Activity Selection

**Example:** Suppose  $S = \{[1, 9], [3, 7], [6, 20], [12, 19], [15, 17], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ .

For convenience, let us rearrange the intervals in  $S$  in ascending order of finish time:

$$S = \{[3, 7], [1, 9], [15, 17], [12, 19], [6, 20], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}.$$

We first add  $[3, 7]$  to  $T$ , after which intervals  $[3, 7]$ ,  $[1, 9]$  and  $[6, 20]$  are removed. Now  $S$  becomes  $\{[15, 17], [12, 19], [18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ . The next interval added to  $T$  is  $[15, 17]$ , which shrinks  $S$  further to  $\{[18, 22], [21, 24]\}$ . After  $[18, 22]$  is added to  $T$ ,  $S$  becomes empty and the algorithm terminates.

Next, we will prove that the algorithm returns an optimal solution. Let us start with a crucial claim.

**Claim 1:** Let  $\mathcal{I}_1$  be the first interval picked by our algorithm. There must be an optimal solution containing  $\mathcal{I}_1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $T^*$  be an arbitrary optimal solution. If  $\mathcal{I}_1 \in T^*$ , Claim 1 is true and we are done. Next, we assume  $\mathcal{I}_1 \notin T^*$ .

We will turn  $T^*$  into another optimal solution  $T$  containing  $\mathcal{I}$ . For this purpose, first identify the interval  $\mathcal{I}'_1$  in  $T^*$  with the **smallest** finish time. Construct  $T$  as follows: add all the intervals in  $T^*$  to  $T$  **except**  $\mathcal{I}'_1$ , and finally add  $\mathcal{I}$  to  $T$ .

We will prove that all the intervals in  $T$  are disjoint. This indicates that  $T$  is also an optimal solution, and hence, will complete the proof.

It suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{I}_1$  cannot intersect with any other interval in  $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{T}$ . This is true because

- the start time of  $\mathcal{J}$  is after the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}'_1$ ;
- the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}_k$  is less than or equal to the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}'_1$ .





**Claim 2:** Let  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \dots, \mathcal{I}_k$  be the first  $k \geq 2$  intervals picked by our algorithm (in the order shown). Assume that there is an optimal solution containing  $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}$ . Then, there must exist an optimal solution containing  $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}, \mathcal{I}_k$ .

**Proof:** Let  $T^*$  be an optimal solution containing  $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}$ . Observe:

All the intervals in  $T^* \setminus \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}\}$  must start strictly after the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}_{k-1}$ .

**Think:** Why is the observation true?

If  $\mathcal{I}_k \in T^*$ , Claim 2 is true and we are done. Next, we consider the case where  $\mathcal{I}_k \notin T^*$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}'_k$  be the interval in  $T^* \setminus \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}\}$  that has the smallest finish time. Construct a set  $T$  of intervals as follows: add all the intervals of  $T^*$  to  $T$  **except**  $\mathcal{I}'_k$ , and finally add  $\mathcal{I}_k$  to  $T$ .

To prove that  $T$  is an optimal solution, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{I}_k$  is disjoint with every interval  $\mathcal{J} \in T^* \setminus \{\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{k-1}, \mathcal{I}'_k\}$ . This is true because

- the start time of  $\mathcal{J}$  is after the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}'_k$ ;
- the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}_k$  is less than or equal to the finish time of  $\mathcal{I}'_k$ .



## Activity Selection

**Think:** How to implement the algorithm in  $O(n \log n)$  time?