

1. *This is a review question on the framework of proofs of statements starting with there exists.*

Prove each of the ‘existence statements’ below. (The proofs are easy: conceive the candidates and verify the candidacy. Do not think too hard.)

- (a) *There exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n, n+2, n+4$ are prime numbers.*
- (b) *There exists some $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x^2 - 2 = 0$.*
- (c) *There exists some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z^4 = -1$.*
- (d) *There exists some $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $(\log_2(-2x))^2 = -\log_2(4x^2)$.*

2. Fill in the blanks in the block below, all labelled by capital-letter Roman numerals, with appropriate words so that it gives a dis-proof against the statement (A), a dis-proof against the statement (B), a dis-proof against the statement (C) and a dis-proof against the statement (D). (*The ‘underline’ for each blank bears no definite relation with the length of the answer for that blank.*)

- (a) We dis-prove the statement (A):

(A) *Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose each of xy, xz is divisible by 4. Then xyz is divisible by 8.*

[The negation of the statement (A) is given by:

($\sim A$) _____ (I)]

- We verify the negation of the statement (A) below:

Take $x = 4$, _____ (II) . We have $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $xy =$ _____ (III) , and $xz =$ _____ (IV) .

Note that _____ (V) . Then xy is divisible by 4.

By a similar argument, we also deduce that xz is divisible by 4.

Note that $xyz =$ _____ (VI) , which is not divisible by 8.

Below is the justification of this claim:

* Suppose it were true that _____ (VII) .

Then there would exist some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that _____ (VIII) .

For the same k , we would have $k =$ _____ (IX) , which is not an integer. Contradiction arises.

- (b) We dis-prove the statement (B):

(B) *Let A, B, C be sets. Suppose $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap B \subset C$. Then $A \subset C$ or $B \subset C$.*

[The negation of the statement (B) is given by:

($\sim B$) _____ (I)]

We verify the negation of the statement (B) below:

- Take $A = \{1, 3\}$, $B = \{2, 3\}$ and _____ (II) .

We have $A \cap B = \{3\}$. Then $A \cap B \neq$ _____ (III) .

Moreover $A \cap B = C$. Then _____ (IV) .

We verify $A \not\subset C$ and $B \not\subset C$:

* We have $1 \in A$ _____ (V) . Then _____ (VI) .

We have _____ (VII) . Then _____ (VIII) .

Hence $A \not\subset C$ and $B \not\subset C$ (simultaneously).

(c) We dis-prove the statement (C):

(C) Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $x > 0$ and $y > 0$ and $|x^2 - 2x| < |y^2 - 2y|$. Then $x^2 \leq y^2$.

[The negation of the statement (C) is given by:

($\sim C$) _____ (I)]

We verify the negation of the statement (C) below:

- Take $x = 2$, _____ (II) . We have $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, and _____ (III) .

Note that $|x^2 - 2x| =$ _____ (IV) and _____ (V) . Then _____ (VI) < _____ (VII) .

We have _____ (VIII) and $y^2 = 1$. Then _____ (IX) .

(d) We dis-prove the statement (D):

(D) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\zeta, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $m \neq n$, $\zeta \neq \omega$, ζ is an m -th root of unity and ω is an n -th root of unity. Then $\zeta\omega$ is an $(m+n)$ -th root of unity.

[The negation of the statement (D) is given by:

($\sim D$) _____ (I)]

We verify the negation of the statement (D) below:

- _____ (II) $m = 4$, $n = 8$, $\zeta = i$ and _____ (III) .

We have $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\zeta, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, _____ (IV) .

Note that $\zeta^m = i^4 = 1$. Then _____ (V) .

Note that _____ (VI) . Then ω is an n -th root of unity.

Now note that $m + n =$ _____ (VII) and $\zeta\omega = \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$.

We have _____ (VIII) . Then $(\zeta\omega)^{m+n}$ _____ (IX) 1.

Therefore _____ (X) .

3. (a) Prove the statement (\sharp):

(\sharp) For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $(\operatorname{Re}(z) \neq 0 \text{ or } \operatorname{Im}(z) \neq 0)$.

(b) Dis-prove the statement (\flat):

(\flat) (For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) \neq 0$) or (for any $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\operatorname{Im}(w) \neq 0$).

Remark. It can happen that $(\forall x)[H(x) \rightarrow (P(x) \vee Q(x))]$ is true and $[(\forall x)(H(x) \rightarrow P(x))] \vee [(\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow Q(y))]$ is false. In general, $(\forall x)[H(x) \rightarrow (P(x) \vee Q(x))]$ does not imply $[(\forall x)(H(x) \rightarrow P(x))] \vee [(\forall y)(H(y) \rightarrow Q(y))]$.

4. (a) Prove each of the statements below:

- There exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x + 1 < 0$.
- There exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x - 1 > 0$.

(b) Dis-prove the statement (\sharp):

(\sharp) There exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x + 1 < 0 \text{ and } x - 1 > 0)$.

Remark. It can happen that $[(\exists x)P(x)] \wedge [(\exists y)Q(y)]$ is true while $(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is false. In general, $[(\exists x)P(x)] \wedge [(\exists y)Q(y)]$ does not imply $(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$.

5. Fill in the blanks in the block below, all labelled by capital-letter Roman numerals, with appropriate words so that it gives a dis-proof against the statement (D) and a dis-proof for the statement (E). (The 'underline' for each blank bears no definite relation with the length of the answer for that blank.)

(a) We dis-prove the statement (D):

(D) There exist some $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^2 + v^2 \leq 2u^4$ and $u^6 + v^6 \leq 2v^4$.

[We dis-prove the statement (D) by obtaining a contradiction from it.]

(I) there existed some (II), $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^2 + v^2 \leq 2u^4$ and (III).

For the same u, v , we would have $u^2 + v^2 - 2u^4 \leq 0$ and $u^6 + v^6 - 2v^4 \leq 0$.

Then $u^2(u^2 - 1)^2 + v^2(v^2 - 1)^2 =$ (IV).

Since u, v are real, $u^2(u^2 - 1)^2 \geq 0$ and $v^2(v^2 - 1)^2 \geq 0$. Then $u^2(u^2 - 1)^2 = 0$ and $v^2(v^2 - 1)^2 = 0$ respectively.

In particular, (V). Then $u = 0$ or $u = -1$ or $u = 1$. But (VI).

Contradiction arises.

(b) We dis-prove the statement (E):

(E) There exist some $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ such that ζ is both an 89-th root of unity and a 55-th root of unity.

[We dis-prove the statement (E) by obtaining a contradiction from it.]

(I)

For the same ζ , we would have $\zeta^{55} =$ (II) and (III) by the definition of root of unity.

(Note that $\zeta \neq 0$.) Then we would have $\zeta^{34} = \zeta^{89-55} = \zeta^{89}/\zeta^{55} = 1$.

Repeating the above argument, we would have:

(IV)

Recall that by assumption, $\zeta \in$ (V). Then $\zeta \neq 1$.

Now $\zeta = 1$ (VI) $\zeta \neq 1$.

Contradiction arises.

6. Consider each of the subsets of \mathbb{R} below.

- Determine whether it has any least element. If *yes*, name it as well. If it has no least element, determine whether it has a lower bound in \mathbb{R} .
- Determine whether it has any greatest element. If *yes*, name it as well. If it has no greatest element, determine whether it has an upper bound in \mathbb{R} .

There is no need to justify your answers. (Drawing appropriate pictures, on the real line or on the coordinate plane, may help you find the answers.)

- (a) $[-1, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ (d) $\diamond \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} + (-1)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ (g) $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2x + 3 > 0\}$ (k) $\diamond \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m} + \frac{1}{3^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$
(b) $[-1, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ (h) $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x + 2 \geq x^2\}$
(c) $\left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ (e) $(1, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ (i) $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < x^{-1}\}$
(f) $(1, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ (j) $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 - 2x - 3 < 0\}$ (l) $\clubsuit \left\{ \frac{1}{2^m} - \frac{1}{3^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

7. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = a + b\sqrt{2} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, $B = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2} \right)$ and $C = A \cap B$.

Fill in the blanks in the blocks below, all labelled by capital-letter Roman numerals, with appropriate words so that they give a proof for the statement (P) and a dis-proof against the statement (Q).

(a) Here we prove the statement (P):

(P) C has a least element.

Take $\lambda =$ (I).

- We have (II), and $0, \frac{1}{2} \in$ (III). Then by the definition of A , we have $\lambda \in A$.

Note that (IV). Then by the definition of B , we have (V).

Now we have $\lambda \in A$ (VI) $\lambda \in B$. Therefore, by the definition of C , we have $\lambda \in C$.

- (VII). Then by the definition of C , we have $x \in A$ (VIII). Therefore $x \in B$ in particular.

Then by the definition of B , we have (IX).

Recall that $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Therefore (X).

It follows that λ is a least element of C .

(b) Here we dis-prove the statement (Q) :

(Q) C has a greatest element.

(I) it were true that C had (II) , which we denote by μ .
 Then, by definition, $\mu \in C$. Therefore (III) by the definition of C .
 Since $\mu \in A$, (IV) $\mu = a + b\sqrt{2}$.
 Since (V) , we would have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \mu < \sqrt{2}$.
 Define $x_0 = \frac{\mu + \sqrt{2}}{2}$. By definition, we would have (VI) . Then $x_0 > \mu$ and $x_0 \in B$.
 Also by definition, $x_0 = \frac{\mu + \sqrt{2}}{2} = \frac{a + b\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}}{2} =$ (VII) .
 Since (VIII) , we would have $\frac{a}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, we would have (IX) . Then (X) .
 Now $x_0 \in A$ and $x_0 \in B$. Then (XI) by definition.
 But $x_0 > \mu$, and (XII) . Contradiction arises.

8. Let $S = \left\{ x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{24}\right) : x = \frac{b}{5^a} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, and $T = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : y = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{25^k} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$.

(a) Verify that $T \subset S$.

(b) Does T have a least element? Justify your answer.

(c) Prove that $S \not\subset T$.

Remark. The result you obtain in part (b) may be useful.

(d) \diamond Prove the statement (\sharp) :

(\sharp) For any $u, v \in S$, if $u < v$ then there exists some $w \in S$ such that $u < w < v$.

9. Consider each of the infinite sequences (of non-negative real numbers) below. Determine whether it is strictly increasing or strictly decreasing or neither. Where it is strictly increasing/decreasing, determine whether it is bounded above/below in \mathbb{R} ; if it is bounded above/below in \mathbb{R} , name an upper/lower bound for it.

There is no need to justify your answers.

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| (a) $\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ | (e) $\left\{ \frac{5^n}{n!} \right\}_{n=5}^{\infty}$ | (i) \diamond $\left\{ \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{2}{k^2 - 1} \right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ | (l) $\left\{ 1 - \prod_{k=2}^n \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2^k}\right) \right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ |
| (b) $\left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ | (f) $\left\{ \frac{(n!)^2}{(2n)!} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ | (j) $\left\{ \frac{n^2}{3^n} \right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ | (m) $\left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ |
| (c) $\left\{ \frac{n+1}{n^2+n+1} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ | (g) $\left\{ \sqrt[n]{2} \right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ | (k) $\left\{ \sqrt[n]{\frac{2}{3}} \right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ | (n) $\left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{(-1)^n}{5^n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ |
| (d) $\left\{ \frac{2}{3^n} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ | (h) $\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{3^k} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ | | |

10. Define $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$, $b_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^3}$ for each $n \geq 1$.

(a) \clubsuit Prove that $a_{2m+1} - a_{2m-1} \leq \frac{1}{2^m}$ for each $m \geq 1$. Hence deduce that $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded above by 2.

(Hint. It help to observe that $a_n \leq a_{2n-1}$ for each n . But is this observation true? Why?)

(b) \diamond By applying the result in the previous part, or otherwise, prove that $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded above by 2.

11. Let p be a positive real number, and $\alpha = \sqrt[p]{p}$. Suppose $b \in (\alpha, +\infty)$. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the infinite sequence defined by

$$\begin{cases} a_0 &= b \\ a_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(2a_n + \frac{\alpha^3}{a_n^2} \right) \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(a) \clubsuit Prove the statements below:

i. $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded below by α in \mathbb{R} .

ii. $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing.

(b) Apply the Bounded-Monotone Theorem to prove that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges in \mathbb{R} . Also find the value of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$.