## THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

## Department of Mathematics 2018-2019 semester 1 MATH4060 Midterm solution

1. a. For  $c \in \{a, b\}, |c| \le 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{R}^{\infty} |f(x+ic)| dx &\leq \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{A}{1+x^2+c^2} dx \\ &\leq \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{A}{x^2} dx \text{ if } R > 0 \\ &\leq \frac{A}{R} \to 0 \text{ as } R \to +\infty \end{split}$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f(R+iy)| dy \le \int_{a}^{b} \frac{A}{1+x^{2}+y^{2}} dy$$

$$\le \int_{a}^{b} \frac{A}{x^{2}} dx \text{ if } R > 0$$

$$\le \frac{A(b-a)}{R^{2}}$$

$$\le \frac{2A}{R^{2}} \to 0 \text{ as } R \to +\infty$$

The result then follows by summing.

b. Let  $\Gamma$  be the rectangular contour with corners R,  $R - \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)i$ ,  $-R - \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)i$  and -R. By Cauchy's theorem, since f is holomorphic,  $\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ . Letting  $R \to \infty$ , part (a) (applied on f and -f with a = -1 and b = 1) shows  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}-\operatorname{sgn}(\xi)i} f(z)e^{-2\pi i z\xi}dx$ . Then

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{A}{1 + x^2 + 1^2} e^{-2\pi \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\xi} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{A}{1 + x^2} dx e^{-2\pi |\xi|} = Ce^{-2\pi |\xi|}.$$

2. a. Let  $B_t = B(0,t)$ . Fix  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let r < |z|/3 < |z| < R. Then by Cauchy's theorem applied on  $B_R \setminus B_r$ ,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_R - \partial B_r} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw. \tag{1}$$

On  $\partial B_R$ , |z/w| < 1 - |z|/R < 1, [EDIT: |z/w| < |z|/R < 1] hence

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw = \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw z^n.$$

The interchange of the order of summation and integration follows by uniform convergence of the geometric series, since f is bounded on  $\partial B_R$  and the tail is bounded by  $|z/w|^N/(1-|z/w|) \leq (1-|z|/R)^N/(|z|/R)$ . Similarly,

$$\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\partial B_r} f(w) w^n dw \frac{1}{z^{n+1}}.$$

The desired equation then follows with

$$c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw & \text{if } n \ge 0\\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Cauchy's theorem applied on the  $B_R \setminus B_r$  then shows  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw$ , and hence

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw,$$

which is independent of z.

b. i. Consider (1), which holds for a fixed R with 0 < |z| < R. Since  $|f(z)| \le \frac{A}{|z-z_0|^{1-\varepsilon}}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{A}{r^{1 - \varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(2/3)|z - z_0|} (2\pi r)$$
$$= \frac{3A}{2|z - z_0|} r^{\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ as } r \to 0$$

Then  $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw$ , where the right-hand side is holomorphic by differentiation under integral sign. This is justified because the domain is a fixed compact set and the integrand is  $C^1$ .

- ii. We prove the contrapositive. Suppose it is not dense, then it is bounded away from a number, say  $w_0$ . Then  $g = \frac{1}{f-w_0}$  is bounded near 0, and hence has a removable singularity. Therefore,  $f = w_0 + \frac{1}{g}$ . Taylor expanding g gives  $g(z) = c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + ... = z^n (c_n + c_{n+1} z + ...) = z^n h(z)$  for some  $c_n \neq 0$ , and hence holomorphic h with  $h(0) \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $f(z) = w_0 + \frac{1}{z^n} \frac{1}{h(z)}$ , where 1/h is holomorphic near 0. Now, if n = 0, then the singularity of f is removable; if n > 0, it is a pole.
- 3. The image of the unit disc is open by open mapping theorem, and is relatively closed in the unit disc by compactness of the closed unit disc  $(f(\partial B) \subseteq \partial B)$  is used here). By connectedness, it suffices to show f has a zero. This can be done by applying maximum principle on f and 1/f. [EDIT: Suppose not. Applying maximum principle on f and 1/f shows  $|f| \equiv 1$ , and hence f attains the maximum modulus in the interior, and hence is constant. The contradiction then follows.]
- 4. a. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \pi^{2n} z^n$ . The series converges on  $\mathbb{C}$  by root test. By direct inspection,  $f(z^2) = \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}$ .

It follows that the set of zeros of g(z) = zf(z) is precisely  $\{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

For each z, choose one  $z^{1/2}$ . Then  $f(z) = \frac{\sin \pi z^{1/2}}{\pi z^{1/2}}$ , hence

$$g(z) \le \frac{1}{\pi} |z|^{1/2} \frac{|e^{\pi i z^{1/2}} - e^{\pi i z^{1/2}}|}{2} \le \frac{1}{\pi} e^{\log z/2 + \pi |z|^{1/2}} \le \frac{1}{\pi} e^{(\pi + \varepsilon)|z|^{1/2}}$$

[EDIT: For each z, there exists some  $w\in\mathbb{C}$  such that  $w^2=z$ , and hence  $|w|=|z|^{1/2}$ . Then  $f(z)=\frac{\sin\pi w}{\pi w}$ , and hence

$$|g(z)| \le \frac{|z|}{\pi |w|} \frac{|e^{\pi iw} - e^{\pi iw}|}{2} \le \frac{1}{\pi} e^{\log|z|/2 + \pi|z|^{1/2}} \le \frac{1}{\pi} e^{(\pi + \varepsilon)|z|^{1/2}}.$$

]

b. Note that f = h/g away from zeros of g, so f is meromorphic. To show holomorphicity, it suffices to show f is continuous at zeros of g, the only potential singularities of f (then f is bounded near every singularity, and hence by removable singularity theorem, f has a holomorphic correction. Since this correction and f itself are both continuous extensions from  $\{g \neq 0\}$ , which, has a discete complement and hence is dense. Then by uniqueness of the continuous extension, f is equal to this holomorphic correction, and hence holomorphic).

Let a be a zero of g. Then f(a) = 0. Since  $|f| = \sqrt{|g|}$  is continuous,  $f(z) \to 0$  as  $z \to a$ , and hence f is continuous at a. The result then follows.

5. Suppose not. Dividing by  $z^m$  if necessary, assume  $f(0) \neq 0$ .

Choose a sequence  $R_n$  such that  $(n-1)/n < R_n < n/(n+1)$  and f has no zero on  $\partial B(0,R_n)$ .

Since log is negative on (0, 1), Jensen's formula shows

$$\log|f(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi R_n} \int_{\partial B(0,R_n)} \log|f| + \sum_{\substack{a \text{ zero of } f \\ |a| < R_n}} \log|\frac{a}{R_n}| \le \log A + \sum_{m \le n} \log|\frac{(m-1)/m}{R_n}|.$$
(2)

Telescoping gives

$$\sum_{m \le n} \log \left| \frac{(m-1)/m}{R_n} \right| = \log \prod_{m \le n} \left| \frac{(m-1)/m}{R_n} \right|$$

$$= \log \left| \frac{1/n}{R_n^n} \right|$$

$$\leq -\log n - \log \left[ \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^n \right]$$

$$\to -\infty - \log(1/e) = -\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (2) tends to  $-\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , contradictory to the constancy of the left-hand side. The result then follows.