## SOME CONSEQUENCES OF THE MEAN-VALUE THEOREM

## PO-LAM YUNG

Below we establish some important theoretical consequences of the mean-value theorem. First recall the mean value theorem:

**Theorem 1** (Mean value theorem). Suppose  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function defined on a closed interval [a, b] where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . If f is continuous on the closed interval [a, b], and f is differentiable on the open interval  $(a, b)$ , then there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  such that

$$
f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a).
$$

This has some important corollaries. To proceed further, we need the following definitions:

**Definition 1.** Suppose  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined on some interval I.

- (a) f is said to be constant on I, if and only if  $f(x) = f(y)$  for any  $x, y \in I$ .
- (b) f is said to be increasing on I, if and only if for any  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \le y$ , we have  $f(x) \le f(y)$ .
- (c) f is said to be *strictly increasing* on I, if and only if for any  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \leq y$ , we have  $f(x) < f(y)$ .
- (d) f is said to be decreasing on I, if and only if for any  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \leq y$ , we have  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ .
- (e) f is said to be *strictly decreasing* on I, if and only if for any  $x, y \in I$  with  $x \le y$ , we have  $f(x) > f(y).$

(Can you draw some examples of such functions?)

**Corollary 2.** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function defined on a closed interval [a, b] where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  $\mathbb R$ . Suppose also that f is continuous on the closed interval [a, b], and that f is differentiable on the open interval  $(a, b)$ .

- (i) If  $f'(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is constant on  $[a, b]$ .
- (ii) If  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is increasing on  $[a, b]$ .
- (iii) If  $f'(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is strictly increasing on [a, b].
- (iv) If  $f'(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is decreasing on  $[a, b]$ .
- (v) If  $f'(t) < 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is strictly decreasing on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose f is continuous on [a, b], and differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Fix two points  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , with say  $x < y$ . Then f is continuous on the closed interval  $[x, y]$ , and differentiable on the open interval  $(x, y)$ , so the mean value theorem applies, and there exists some  $c \in (x, y) \subset (a, b)$ such that

$$
f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x).
$$

(i) If  $f'(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then in particular  $f'(c) = 0$ . So

$$
f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) = 0,
$$

i.e.  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since x, y are arbitrary in [a, b], this shows f is a constant on [a, b].

(ii) If  $f'(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then in particular  $f'(c) \geq 0$ . So using also  $y - x > 0$ , we see that

$$
f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) \ge 0,
$$

i.e.  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Since x, y are arbitrary in [a, b], this shows f is increasing on [a, b].

(iii) If  $f'(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then in particular  $f'(c) > 0$ . So using also  $y - x > 0$ , we see that

$$
f(y) - f(x) = f'(c)(y - x) > 0,
$$

i.e.  $f(x) < f(y)$ . Since x, y are arbitrary in [a, b], this shows f is strictly increasing on  $[a, b]$ .

- (iv) Similar to (ii) (or apply (ii) to  $-f$ )
- (v) Similar to (iii) (or apply (iii) to  $-f$ )

 $\Box$ 

Note: The converse of  $(i)$ ,  $(ii)$  and  $(iv)$  are true, but the converse of  $(iii)$ ,  $(v)$  are not! Verify yourself.

Next, we recall the definition of second derivatives: If  $f$  is a differentiable function on an open interval  $(a, b)$ , and if f' is also differentiable on  $(a, b)$ , then the derivative of f' is denoted  $f''$ , and is called the second derivative of f. Such functions are said to be *twice differentiable* on  $(a, b)$ .

The sign of the second derivative is related to the concept of convexity, which we defined below.

**Definition 2.** Suppose  $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function on some open interval  $(a, b)$ .

(a) f is said to be *convex* on  $(a, b)$ , if and only if

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}
$$

for any  $x, y \in (a, b)$ .

(b)  $f$  is said to be *concave* on  $(a, b)$ , if and only if

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \ge \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}
$$

for any  $x, y \in (a, b)$ .

(Can you draw some examples of such functions?)

(Some people call convex functions "convex up", and concave functions "convex down". We will not use these terminologies.)

**Corollary 3.** Suppose  $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is twice differentiable on an open interval  $(a, b)$ .

(i) If  $f''(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is convex on  $(a, b)$ .

(ii) If  $f''(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f is concave on  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Fix two points  $x, y \in (a, b)$ , with say  $x < y$ . For brevity, let  $c = \frac{x+y}{2}$  $\frac{+y}{2}$ . Consider the function  $F: (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$
F(t) = f(t) - [f'(c)(t - c) + f(c)] \text{ for all } t \in (a, b).
$$

Then F is differentiable on  $(a, b)$ , and for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , we have

$$
F'(t) = f'(t) - f'(c).
$$

(i) If  $f''(t) \ge 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , then f' is increasing on  $(a, b)$ , so

$$
F'(t) = f'(t) - f'(c) \begin{cases} \leq 0 & \text{if } t \in (a, c) \\ \geq 0 & \text{if } t \in (c, b) \end{cases}
$$

Hence F is decreasing on  $[x, c]$ , and increasing on  $[c, y]$ . It follows that

$$
F(x) \geq F(c)
$$
, and  $F(y) \geq F(c)$ .

Now we add the two inequalities. Recall  $F(c) = 0$ ,

$$
\begin{cases}\nF(x) = f(x) - f'(c)(x - c) - f(c) \\
F(y) = f(y) - f'(c)(y - c) - f(c)\n\end{cases}
$$

Note that  $x - c = -(y - c)$ , since  $c = \frac{x+y}{2}$  $\frac{+y}{2}$  is the mid-point of x and y. Hence the terms involving  $f'(c)$  cancels out when we add  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)$ . The result is then

.

$$
f(x) + f(y) - 2f(c) \ge 0
$$
, i.e.  $f(c) \le \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}$ .

This proves what we want.

(ii) Just reverse the signs of  $f''$  in the proof above, or apply (i) to  $-f$ .

One can easily show now that e.g.  $exp(x)$  is strictly increasing and convex on R.

The above can help us determine whether a critical point is a local maxima and minima. We recall the following definitions:



**Definition 3.** Suppose  $f:(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined on an open interval  $(a, b)$ .

- (i) If  $c \in (a, b)$  is a point, such that  $f(x) \ge f(c)$  in a neighborhood of c (i.e. there exists a (possibly tiny) open interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , such that  $c \in (\alpha, \beta) \subset (a, b)$ , and  $f(x) \geq f(c)$  for all  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , then c is called a local minimum of the function f.
- (ii) If  $c \in (a, b)$  is a point, such that  $f(x) \leq f(c)$  in a neighborhood of c (i.e. there exists a (possibly tiny) open interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , such that  $c \in (\alpha, \beta) \subset (a, b)$ , and  $f(x) \leq f(c)$  for all  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , then c is called a local maximum of the function f.

(Sometimes a local maximum is called a relative maximum, and a local minimum is called a relative minimum. Sometimes we also say a point is a local (or relative) extremum, if it is a local maximum or a local minimum.)

**Corollary 4** (First derivative test). Suppose  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined on an open interval  $(a, b)$ , and  $c \in (a, b)$ . Suppose also that f is differentiable on  $(a, b) \setminus \{c\}$ , and f is continuous at c.

(i) If there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$ , with  $\alpha < c < \beta$ , such that

$$
\begin{cases} f'(t) \le 0 & \text{for all } t \in (\alpha, c), \\ f'(t) \ge 0 & \text{for all } t \in (c, \beta), \end{cases}
$$

then c is a local minimum of f.

(ii) If there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$ , with  $\alpha < c < \beta$ , such that

$$
\begin{cases} f'(t) \ge 0 & \text{for all } t \in (\alpha, c), \\ f'(t) \le 0 & \text{for all } t \in (c, \beta), \end{cases}
$$

then c is a local maximum of f.

- *Proof.* (i) If f is as in (i), then f is decreasing on  $[\alpha, c]$ , and increasing on  $[c, \beta]$ . So  $f(x) \ge f(c)$ on  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . It follows that c is a local minimum of f.
- (ii) If f is as in (ii), then f is increasing on  $[\alpha, c]$ , and decreasing on  $[c, \beta]$ . So  $f(x) \leq f(c)$  on  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . It follows that c is a local maximum of f.

**Corollary 5** (Second derivative test). Suppose  $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable on an open interval  $(a, b)$ , and  $c \in (a, b)$ . Suppose also that  $f'(c) = 0$ , and that  $f'$  is differentiable at c.

- (i) If  $f''(c) > 0$ , then c is a local minimum of f.
- (ii) If  $f''(c) < 0$ , then c is a local maximum of f.

*Proof.* Since  $f'(c) = 0$  and  $f''(c)$  exists, we have

$$
\lim_{x \to c} \frac{f'(x)}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f'(x) - f'(c)}{x - c}
$$

exists and equals  $f''(c)$ .

(i) If  $f''(c) > 0$ , then

$$
\lim_{x \to c} \frac{f'(x)}{x - c} > 0.
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{f'(x)}{x-c} > 0
$$

for all x in a deleted neighborhood of c, i.e. there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in (a, b)$  with  $c \in (\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $\frac{f'(x)}{x-c} > 0$  holds for  $x \in (\alpha, \beta) \setminus \{c\}$ . Since  $x - c > 0$  when  $x > c$ , and  $x - c < 0$  when  $x < c$ , it follows that

$$
f'(x) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } x \in (\alpha, c) \\ > 0 & \text{if } x \in (c, \beta) \end{cases}
$$

Using the first derivative test, it follows that  $c$  is a local minimum of  $f$ .

(ii) Similar to (i).

 $\Box$ 

It is now easy to sketch the graph of a function using its first (and possibly second) derivatives. This in turn allows us to determine (sometimes) the global maximum or minimum of a function over unbounded intervals, and establish some identities / inequalities.

Later on we will use some of these corollaries of the mean value theorem, to derive the familiar properties of the trigonometric functions (like sine and cosine).