## MATH2050B 1920 HW6

TA's solutions<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup> to selected problems

**Q1.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a C-contractive sequence  $(0 < C < 1)$ :

$$
|x_{n+1} - x_n| \le C|x_n - x_{n-1}|, \qquad \forall n \ge 2.
$$

Show by MI that  $|x_{n+1}-x_n| \leq C^{n-1}|x_2-x_1|$  and that  $|x_m-x_n| \leq (C^{m-2}+\cdots+C^{n-1})|x_2-x_1|$ ,  $\forall m > n$ . Using  $\epsilon$ -N definition and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} C^n = 0$  show hence that  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy.

Solution. Claim.  $|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq C^{n-1} |x_2 - x_1|$ .

It is clear that the inequality holds for  $n = 1$ . Suppose that  $|x_{k+1} - x_k| \leq C^{k-1} |x_2 - x_1|$  for some k. By assumption that  $(x_n)$  is C-Cauchy,  $|x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}| \leq C|x_{k+1} - x_k|$ . By induction hypothesis,  $|x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}| \leq C^k |x_{k+1} - x_k|$ . So the claim is proved by MI.

Claim.  $|x_m - x_n| \le (C^{m-2} + \cdots + C^{n-1}) |x_2 - x_1|$  for all  $m > n$ .

Let  $m, n, m > n$ . Then by triangle inequality and the previous claim,

$$
|x_m - x_n| = |(x_m - x_{m-1}) + (x_{m-1} - x_{m-2}) + \dots + (x_{n+1} - x_n)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (C^{m-2} + C^{m-3} + \dots + C^{n-1})|x_2 - x_1|
$$

**Claim.**  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy.

We use the fact that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C^k$  is convergent.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C^k$  is convergent, therefore there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $m, n > N, m > n$ , we have

$$
\sum_{k=n-1}^{m-2} C^k < \epsilon.
$$

Therefore for all  $m, n > N, m > n$ , by previous claim we have

$$
|x_m - x_n| < \epsilon |x_2 - x_1|.
$$

Because  $|x_2 - x_1|$  is fixed and  $\epsilon$  can be arbitrarily small. So  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy.

**Q2.** Respectively by MCT and by **Q1**, show the sequence  $(x_n)$  converges, where  $x_1 = 99$  and

$$
x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}(x_n + 10), \qquad \forall n
$$

Find the limit.

**Solution.** (MCT method) **Claim.**  $(x_n)$  is decreasing.

 $x_2 = 109/3 < x_1$ . Suppose that for some k,  $x_k < x_{k-1}$ . Then +10 on both sides, multiply  $\frac{1}{3}$  on both sides:

$$
x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{3}(x_k + 10) < \frac{1}{3}(x_{k-1} + 10) = x_k
$$

Thus  $(x_n)$  is decreasing by MI.

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>please kindly send an email to  $n$ clliu@math.cuhk.edu.hk if you have spotted any typo/error/mistake.

By definition of  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n$  is always positive, so it is bounded below by 0. So MCT applies. ("Q1" Method) For all  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$
x_{n+1} - x_n = \frac{1}{3}(x_n + 10) - \frac{1}{3}(x_{n-1} + 10)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{3}(x_n - x_{n-1})
$$

So  $(x_n)$  is C-contractive with  $C=\frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{1}{3}$ .

To find the limit, since the sequence converges, suppose  $L = \lim_{n} x_n$ , then

$$
L = \frac{1}{3}(L + 10),
$$

and hence  $L = 5$ .

**Q3.** Use MCT to show that  $(y_n)$  converges; find its limit:

$$
y_1 := 81
$$
 and  $y_{n+1} = \sqrt{y_n} \ \forall n$ 

**Solution. Claim.**  $(y_n)$  is decreasing and bounded below by 1.

We have  $y_1 = 81$ ,  $y_2 = 9$ , so  $y_1 > y_2 > 1$ . If for some k,  $y_{k-1} > y_k > 1$ , then  $y_k > y_{k+1} > 1$  by taking square roots. The claim follows by MI.

By MCT  $(y_n)$  converges, say  $L = \lim_n y_n$ , then  $L \ge 1$  because  $y_n > 1$  for all n. Now since  $y_{n+1} = \sqrt{y_n},$ √

 $L =$ L.

This gives  $L^2 = L$ . So  $L = 0$  or  $L = 1$ . But  $L \ge 1$ , so  $L = 1$ .

**Q4.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a bounded sequence and recall that

$$
\limsup_n x_n := \lim_n y_n (= l \in \mathbb{R}, \text{say}),
$$

where  $y_n = \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}$  for all n. Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be real numbers such that

$$
\alpha < l < \beta
$$

Show that

(i)  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $x_n < \beta, \forall n \geq N$ (ii)  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \geq N \text{ s.t.}$  $\alpha < x_n$ 

**Remark.** For a sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $(y_n)$  defined by  $y_n = \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}$  may not be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ (many of you think it is so). It can happen that for any  $n, k, y_n \neq x_k$ .

**Solution.** For (i), Since  $l < \beta$ , so there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$
y_m < \beta, \forall m \ge N.
$$

In particular  $y_N < \beta$ . Note  $y_N = \sup\{x_N, x_{N+1}, x_{N+2}, \dots\}$ , so

$$
x_n<\beta,\,\forall n\geq N
$$

For (ii), suppose not. Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $x_n \le \alpha$ . So  $y_n \le \alpha$  for all  $n \geq N$ . In this case  $y_n$  cannot converge to l. Contradiction.

**Q5.** With  $\alpha = l - \frac{1}{k}$  $\frac{1}{k}$  and  $\beta = l + \frac{1}{k}$  $\frac{1}{k}$  in Q4, show that ∃ a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  of natural numbers such that

$$
l - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} < l + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Show that  $\lim_k x_{n_k} = \limsup_n x_n$ .

**Solution.** For  $k = 1$ , by **Q4** (*i*) there is  $N_1$  so that  $x_n < l + \frac{1}{l}$  $\frac{1}{1}$ , for all  $n \geq N_1$ . For this  $N_1$ , by **Q4** (*ii*), there is  $n_1 \ge N$  s.t.  $l - \frac{1}{1} < x_{n_1}$ .

For  $k = 2$ , by **Q4** (*i*), there is  $N_2$  so that  $x_n < l + \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \geq N_2$ . For the number max $(N_2, n_1)$ , there is  $n_2 \ge \max(N_2, n_1)$  s.t.  $l - \frac{1}{2} < x_{n_2}$ .

Inductively, if we have constructed  $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \ldots, x_{n_k}$ , we can apply the same step to construct  $x_{n_{k+1}}$ . (\*\*Please fill in the details\*\*)

Since

$$
l - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} < l + \frac{1}{k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

taking  $k \to \infty$  gives  $\lim_k x_{n_k} = \limsup_n x_n$ .

**Q6.** Show conversely that if  $(x_{m_k})$  is a convergent subsequence of  $(x_n)$  then

$$
\lim_{k} x_{m_k} \le \limsup_{n} x_n.
$$

**Solution.** Note  $x_{m_k} \leq y_{m_k}$ . The sequence  $(y_{m_k})$  is a subsequence of  $(y_k)$ , so is convergent to  $\limsup_n x_n$ . So

$$
\lim_{k} x_{m_k} \le \lim_{k} y_{m_k} = \limsup_{n} x_n.
$$

Q7. Let X consist of all real numbers expressible as the limit of a convergent subsequence of  $(x_n)$ . Show that max  $X = \limsup_n x_n$ . Show further that  $\min X = \liminf_n x_n$ , i.e.  $\min X =$  $\lim_{n} z_n$ , where  $z_n = \inf\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}.$ 

**Solution.** By Q5,  $\limsup_n x_n \in X$ . By Q6, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x \le \limsup_n x_n$ . So  $\max X =$  $\limsup_n x_n$ .

Consider  $-X := \{-x : x \in X\}$ . Then  $-X$  consists of all real numbers expressible as the limit of a convergent subsequence of  $(-x_n)$ . So by the above,

$$
\max(-X) = \limsup_n (-x_n).
$$

Note max $(-X) = -\min X$ , and  $\limsup_n (-x_n) = -\liminf_n x_n$ , so  $\min X = \liminf_n x_n$ .

**Q8.** Let  $0 < x_n$  and  $\limsup_n \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}$  $\frac{n+1}{x_n} = \gamma \in (0,1)$ . Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n < +\infty$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\eta$  be a number such that  $\gamma < \eta < 1$ . Since  $\limsup \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \gamma < \eta$ , so (by Q4) there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}<\eta,~\forall n\geq N.
$$

This shows that  $x_{N+k} < \eta^k x_N$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

For all large  $m, m > N$ , we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m} x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{m-N} x_{N+n}
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n + x_N \sum_{n=1}^{m-N} \eta^n
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n + x_N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta^n
$$

Note that the R.H.S. is independent of m. (R.H.S. depends on N, and N depends on  $\eta$  only). Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n < +\infty$ .