

MATH 2050B 2017-18
Mathematical Analysis I
Test Solution

(Q1) Using MI (mathematical induction) show the Bernoulli Inequality:

If $x > -1$, then $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(A1) Use Induction on n , it is obvious when $n = 1$. $\left((1 + x)^1 = 1 + (1)x \right)$

Suppose the inequality holds for some $n = k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $(1 + x)^k \geq 1 + kx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + x)^{k+1} &= (1 + x)(1 + x)^k \\ &\geq (1 + x)(1 + kx) && \text{By Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= 1 + kx + x + kx^2 \\ &\geq 1 + (k + 1)x && \text{since } x^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

the statement is true when $n = k + 1$,

by principal of M.I., $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(Q2) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\alpha < \text{Sup}A < \beta$$

Prove/Disprove for each of the following assertions:

- (i) $\alpha \leq a$ for all $a \in A$.
- (ii) $\alpha \leq a$ for some $a \in A$.
- (iii) $\alpha < a$ for some $a \in A$.
- (iv) $a \leq \beta$ for some $a \in A$.
- (v) $a < \beta$ for all $a \in A$.

(A2) Note $\alpha < \text{Sup}A < \beta$,

(i) False. Think about the counter example $A = \{0, 1\}$, $\alpha = 0.5$.

Note $\text{Sup} A = 1 > \alpha$ and $0 \in A$, but $0 < \alpha$.

(ii) True follow by (iii).

(iii) True.

If α were an upper bound of A , it will contradict the definition of $\text{Sup} A$ (least upper bound).

Hence, α is NOT an upper bound of A , that is, $\exists a \in A$, s.t. $a > \alpha$.

(iv) True follow by (v).

(v) True.

Note $\text{Sup} A$ is an upper bound of A , so $a \leq \text{Sup} A < \beta \forall a \in A$.

(Q3) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$ be bounded below but not bounded above with convex ordering:

$$\text{If } x, y \in A \text{ with } x < z < y, \text{ then } z \in A.$$

Show that A is an interval.

(A3) Since A bounded below, by Completeness Axiom of \mathbb{R} , $\alpha := \text{Inf} A$ exists in \mathbb{R} .

That is, $\alpha \leq a \forall a \in A$. Hence, $A \subset [\alpha, +\infty)$.

Pick any $z \in (\alpha, +\infty)$, by definition of Inf , z is NOT a lower bound of A , that is, $\exists x \in A$, s.t. $x < z$.

Since A is NOT bounded above, $\exists y \in A$, s.t. $y > z$.

By convex ordering of A , we have $z \in A$. Hence, $(\alpha, +\infty) \subset A$.

Note we have proved $(\alpha, +\infty) \subset A \subset [\alpha, +\infty)$.

There are only two possible cases: $A = (\alpha, +\infty)$ or $A = [\alpha, +\infty)$, both are interval.

(Q4) Give the definition for $\lim_n x_n = x$ (sequence (x_n) converges to $x \in \mathbb{R}$).

Show, by definition, that

$$\text{If } \alpha < \lim_n x_n < \beta, \text{ then } \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } \alpha < x_n < \beta \forall n \geq N.$$

[Hint: Can you find $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $V_\varepsilon(x) \subset (\alpha, \beta)$, where $V_\varepsilon(x) := \{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z - x| < \varepsilon\}$]

(A4) A sequence (x_n) converges to $x \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\lim_n x_n = x$, if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, \text{ we have } |x_n - x| < \varepsilon \text{ (Or, } x_n \in V_\varepsilon(x)\text{)}.$$

Suppose $x = \lim_n x_n$ and $\alpha < x < \beta$.

Take $\varepsilon' = \text{Min}\{\beta - x, x - \alpha\} > 0$, in particular, $\varepsilon' < \beta - x$ and $\varepsilon' < x - \alpha$.

By convergence of (x_n) , $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N$, we have $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon'$.

Note that $\forall n \geq N$, we have

$$\alpha = x - (x - \alpha) < x - \varepsilon' < x_n < x + \varepsilon' < x + (\beta - x) = \beta$$

(Q5) Show by $\varepsilon - N$ terminology that if $(x_n), (y_n)$ converge to real x and y respectively, then $\lim_n (x_n + y_n) = x + y$.

(A5) Fixed any $\varepsilon > 0$, note $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0$,

By (x_n) converge to x , $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \forall n \geq N_1$.

By (y_n) converge to y , $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|y_n - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \forall n \geq N_2$.

Note $\forall n \geq N := \text{Max}\{N_1, N_2\}$, we have

$$|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)| \leq |x_n - x| + |y_n - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $\lim_n (x_n + y_n) = x + y$.

(Q5*) Show by $\varepsilon - N$ terminology that if $(x_n), (y_n)$ converge to real x and y respectively, then $\lim_n (x_n y_n) = xy$.

(A5*) We first show that (x_n) is a bounded sequence.

Take $\varepsilon_0 = 1$, by (x_n) converge to x , $\exists N' \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon_0 = 1 \forall n \geq N'$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} x - 1 < x_n < x + 1 & \quad \forall n \geq N' \\ |x_n| < \text{Max}\{|x + 1|, |x - 1|\} & \quad \forall n \geq N' \\ |x_n| < \text{Max}\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_{N'-1}|, |x + 1|, |x - 1|\} =: M & \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Note $M \in \mathbb{R}$ since the set inside the Max is a finite set.

Hence (x_n) is bounded by M (assume $M > 0$ WLOG).

Now, Fixed any $\varepsilon > 0$, note $\frac{\varepsilon}{2M} > 0$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2(|y|+1)} > 0$.

By (x_n) converge to x , $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|x_n - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|y|+1)} \forall n \geq N_1$.

By (y_n) converge to y , $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|y_n - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \forall n \geq N_2$.

Note $\forall n \geq N := \text{Max} \{N_1, N_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n y_n - xy| &= |(x_n y_n - x_n y) + (x_n y - xy)| \leq |x_n| |y_n - y| + |y| |x_n - x| \\ &< M \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} + |y| \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|y|+1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_n (x_n y_n) = xy$.