

TA's solution to 2050B assignment 1

1. (a). (2 marks)

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a \cdot 0 + (-(a \cdot 0)) \quad (\text{existence of an additive inverse of } (a \cdot 0)) \\ &= a \cdot (0 + 0) + (-(a \cdot 0)) \quad (\text{property of a zero element}) \\ &= (a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0) + (-(a \cdot 0)) \quad (\text{distributive property of } \times \text{ over } +) \\ &= a \cdot 0 + (a \cdot 0 + (-(a \cdot 0))) \quad (\text{associative property of } +) \\ &= a \cdot 0 + 0 \quad (\text{property of } -(a \cdot 0)) \\ &= a \cdot 0. \quad (\text{property of a zero element}) \end{aligned}$$

(b). (2 marks)

By quiz 1, we know that every element r in \mathbb{R} has a unique additive inverse (denoted by $-r$). Assuming this, the question asks us to show that:

the unique additive inverse of $a =$
 $(\text{the unique additive inverse of } 1) \times a.$

If we can show that $a + (-1) \cdot a = 0$, then by commutativity of addition, we have $(-1) \cdot a + a = 0$ as well, so by the uniqueness of additive inverse of a , we have $(-1) \cdot a = -a$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} a + (-1) \cdot a &= 1 \cdot a + (-1) \cdot a \quad (\text{property of a unit element}) \\ &= (1 + (-1)) \cdot a \quad (\text{distributive property of } \times \text{ over } +) \\ &= 0 \cdot a \quad (\text{property of the unique additive inverse of } 1) \\ &= a \cdot 0 \quad (\text{commutative property of } \times) \\ &= 0. \quad (\text{by the result of 1(a)}) \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.

(c). The question asks us to show that:

$a =$ the additive inverse of the additive inverse of a .

Using the result of 1(b),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the additive inverse of the additive inverse of } a \\ &= (-1) \times (\text{the additive inverse of } a) \\ &= (-1) \times [(-1) \times a] \\ &= [(-1) \times (-1)] \times a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the proof is finished if we can show

$$1 = (-1) \times (-1).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1) \cdot (-1) &= 0 + (-1) \cdot (-1) \\ &= [1 + (-1)] + (-1) \cdot (-1) \\ &= 1 + [(-1) + (-1) \cdot (-1)] \\ &= 1 + [(-1) \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot (-1)] \\ &= 1 + [(-1) \cdot (1 + (-1))] \\ &= 1 + ((-1) \cdot 0) \\ &= 1 + 0 \quad (\text{by the result of 1(a)}) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Done.

(d). The question asks us to show that:

$$(\text{the additive inverse of } a) \times (\text{the additive inverse of } b) = a \times b.$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) &= [(-1) \cdot a] \cdot [(-1) \cdot b] \quad (\text{by the result of 1(b)}) \\ &= \{[(-1) \cdot a] \cdot (-1)\} \cdot b \\ &= \{(-1) \cdot [a \cdot (-1)]\} \cdot b \\ &= \{(-1) \cdot [(-1) \cdot a]\} \cdot b \\ &= \{[(-1) \cdot (-1)] \cdot a\} \cdot b \\ &= \{1 \cdot a\} \cdot b \quad (\text{by the proof of 1(c)}) \\ &= a \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

- (e). If $a = 0$, then by the result of 1(a) we have $a^2 = a \cdot 0 = 0$. Else if $a \neq 0$, please refer to textbook 2.1.8 Theorem.
- (f). Please refer to textbook 2.1.7 Theorem.
- (g). Please refer to textbook 2.1.13 Examples.

2. (a). (2 marks)

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $|x - a| < \epsilon$. This implies

$$\begin{cases} |x - a| = x - a \\ x - a < \epsilon \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} |x - a| = -(x - a) \\ -(x - a) < \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq x - a \\ x - a < \epsilon \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} x - a \leq 0 \\ -(x - a) < \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

so

$$0 \leq x - a < \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad -\epsilon < x - a \leq 0.$$

In the first case, we have $-\epsilon < 0 \leq x - a < \epsilon$. In the second case, we have $-\epsilon < x - a \leq 0 < \epsilon$. Therefore we see that $-\epsilon < x - a < \epsilon$ is always true under the assumption $|x - a| < \epsilon$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $-\epsilon < x - a < \epsilon$.

If $0 \leq x - a$, then $|x - a| = x - a < \epsilon$.

Else if $x - a < 0$, then $-\epsilon < x - a < 0$, so $0 < -(x - a) < \epsilon$, therefore $|x - a| = -(x - a) < \epsilon$.

Hence, in all cases we have $|x - a| < \epsilon$.

(b).

$$|x - 1| > |x + 1|$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} x - 1 \geq 0 \\ x + 1 \geq 0 \\ x - 1 > x + 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} -(x - 1) \geq 0 \\ x + 1 \geq 0 \\ -(x - 1) > x + 1 \end{cases} \\ \text{or} & \begin{cases} x - 1 \geq 0 \\ -(x + 1) \geq 0 \\ x - 1 > -(x + 1) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} -(x - 1) \geq 0 \\ -(x + 1) \geq 0 \\ -(x - 1) > -(x + 1) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \geq 1 \\ -1 > 1 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \geq x \geq -1 \\ 0 > 2x \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -1 \geq x \geq 1 \\ 2x > 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -1 \geq x \\ 1 > -1 \end{array} \right\}$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$0 > x \geq -1 \text{ or } -1 \geq x.$$

We conclude that $0 > x$ are exactly those real numbers which satisfy $|x - 1| > |x + 1|$.

- 3 (a). (i). (0.8 marks)
 ℓ is a lower bound of A iff no element in A is less than ℓ .
- (ii). (1.2 marks)
 ℓ is not a lower bound of A iff there is an element a_0 in A such that a_0 is less than ℓ .
- (b). (i). A is bounded below iff there exists a real number L_0 such that $L_0 \leq a$ for all $a \in A$.
- (ii). A is not bounded below iff for whatever real number λ (no matter how negative λ is), we can still find an element α in A such that $\alpha < \lambda$.
4. (a). Please refer to textbook 3.2.2 Theorem.
- (b). (2 marks) Please refer to textbook 3.2.3 Theorem, which is very well-written.
- (c). Please refer to textbook 3.2.3 Theorem.