Note: This implies, if X complete, residue set is dense
(
$$E$$
 empty interior \Rightarrow X/E dense)

Thur 4.9' (<u>Baire Category Theorem</u>) In a complete metric space, c<u>ountable intersection</u> of <u>open</u> <u>dense</u> sets is <u>dense</u>.

Cor4.10: Let
$$(X, d)$$
 be complete. Suppose that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$
with E_n are closed subsets. Then at least one of
these E_n 's than non-empty interior.

Pf: Suppose not, then all En thas empty interior.
⇒ En is nowhere dense,
$$\forall n$$
.
Hence $X = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is of 1st category.
Boire Category Thm ⇒ X has empty interior which
is a contradiction since $X^\circ = X \cdot X$

Remark : This corollary implies that it is impossible to decompose a <u>complete</u> metric space into a <u>countable</u> union of nowhere clause sets. (i.e. complete metric space itself is of 2nd category.)

$$(\Rightarrow$$
 residual sets of a complete netric space is of znd category)

$$Pf: Let E be a set of 1st (ategory,
Hen $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ with E_n nowhere duse.
If $E \in abo a residual set, Hen $X | E i$
abo of 1st category, lience
 $X | E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n'$ with E_n' nowhere dense.
 $\Rightarrow X = EU(X | E) = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n')$$$$

Talues closure of $E_n * E'_n$, $X \subset \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_n}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E'_n}\right) \left(<X\right)$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad X = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_{4}}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_{4}}\right)$$

eg: R is anaplete, Q of 1st category \Rightarrow II=IR(Q) is of 2"d category.

Applications of Baire Category Thenem (to function spaces)

Thm 7:13 The set of all <u>continuous</u>, <u>nowhere differentiable</u> functions forms a <u>residual</u> set in C[a,b] and Reme <u>dense</u> in C[a,b].

To prove the thenew, we need a lemma:

$$\Rightarrow |f(y) - f(x)| \leq (|+|f(x)|)|y-x|$$

$$\forall y \in (x-\delta_0, x+\delta_0) \cap [a,b]$$

If
$$[a,b] \setminus (x-\delta_0, x+\delta_0) = \emptyset$$
, we are done.
If not, then for $y \in [a,b] \setminus (x-\delta_0, x+\delta_0)$,
 $(y-x) \ge \delta_0$

and hence

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq |f(y)| + |f(x)| \leq 2 ||f||_{\infty} \leq \frac{2 ||f||_{\infty}}{\delta_0} |y - x|$$

Let
$$L = \max \left(1 + H_{f}(x) \right), \frac{2\|f\|_{\infty}}{\delta_{0}} \leq we have$$

 $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq L |y - x|, \forall y \in [a, b].$

$$\frac{Pf \text{ of Thm 4.13}}{We only need to show the case [9,6] = [0,1].}$$

$$\forall L>0$$
, define
 $S_{L} = \begin{cases} f \in C[0,1] : f is lip. its at some $X \in [0,1] \\ with lip. Const. \leq L \end{cases}$$

We may assume that
$$X_n \rightarrow X^*$$
 for some $X^* \in [0, 1]$
by passing to a subseq. (The corresponding subseq.
for is still convegent $\ge f_n \rightarrow f$ in da)

Then
$$|f(y) - f(x^*)| \le |f(y) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(y) - f(x^*)|$$

$$\leq \|f - f_n\|_{\infty} + |f_n(y) - f_n(x_n)| + |f_n(x_n) - f(x^*)|$$

$$\leq \|f - f_n\|_{\infty} + L|y - x_n| + |f_n(x_n) - f_n(x^*)| + |f_n(x^*) - f(x^*)|$$

$$\leq 2\|f - f_n\|_{\infty} + L|y - x_n| + L|x_{n-x^*}|$$

$$\leq 2\|f - f_n\|_{\infty} + L|y - x^*| + L|x^* - x_n| + L|x_{n-x^*}|$$

$$= L|y - x^*| + 2(\|f - f_n\|_{\infty} + L|x_{n-x^*}|)$$

Letting
$$N \rightarrow +\infty$$
, we have
 $|f(y) - f(x^{*})| \leq \lfloor |y - x^{*}| , \forall y \in [0]|^{T}$
 $\Rightarrow f \in S_{L} \cdot X$

Then consider the function $g(x) = p(x) + \frac{\xi}{2} \varphi(x) \in (0,1]$ Then $\|g-f\|_{\infty} \leq \|p-f\|_{\infty} + \frac{\xi}{2}\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} = \varepsilon$.

On the other thand

$$|\xi \varphi(y) - \xi \varphi(x)| \le |g(y) - g(x)| + |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \xi |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \le |g(y) - g(x)| + L_1 |y - x|$.

Note that
$$\forall x \in [0,1]$$
, $\exists y \in [0,1]$ noar x such that
 $|\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| = \frac{1}{r} |y - x|$
 $\Rightarrow |g(y) - g(x)| \ge (\underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{r} - L_{1})|y - x|$

Hence if we choose
$$r < \frac{\varepsilon}{z(L+L_1)}$$
, then

$$\forall x \in [0,1]$$
, $\exists y \in [0,1]$ such that
 $|g(y) - g(x)| \ge \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon r} - L_1\right)|y - x| > L_1|y - x|$.

i.e.
$$\forall x \in [0,1], g$$
 is not lip. its at x with Lip constant L.
 $\Rightarrow g \notin S_L$

We have proved that $\forall f \in S_L$, $\forall E > 0$, $B_E^{\infty}(f) \setminus S_L \neq \emptyset$. By claim 1, S_L is closed thank S_L is nowhere dense.

Final Step: Let $S = \{f \in (I0, I] = f \in differentiable at some x \in I0, IJ\}$ Then by Lemma 4.12, $\forall f \in S$, $f \in SN$ for some $N \in IN$. $\Rightarrow S \subset \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} S_N$.

(iis An explicit example was given by Weierstnass =

$$W(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n(3^n x)}{2^n} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}$$

(it cames from Fourier series, actually Neierstrass provided a family.)

Further examples

Def let
$$f:[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 be a function, and
 $L = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (L is degrees 1 poly)
 $X \mapsto a_{X+\beta}$ for some $a, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$
We say L crosses f (or f crosses L)
 $Xf \exists x_0 \in [a,b]$, and $\delta > 0$ such that
 $f(x_0) = L(x_0)$
and either one of following cholds
(1) $\int L(x) \leq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $(i) \int L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $(i) \int L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $(i) \int L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $(i) \int L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$
 $(i) \int L(x) \geq f(x_0)$, $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0; \delta] \cap [a, b]$

- 1