$53.4$  <u>Picard-Linclelof</u> Theorem for Differential Equations

Let 
$$
f
$$
 be a function defined on  
\n $R = [x_0-a, \text{for } a] \times [x_0-b, x_0+b]$  where  $(x_0,x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$   
\n $\omega$  and  $a, b > 0$   
\nWe conclude Cauchy Problem ( $\frac{[a,b]}{[a,b]} \times [a,b]$ )  
\n $(\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x)$   
\n $\pi(t_0) = x_0$ 

 $i.e.$  find a function  $x(t)$  defined in a perhaps smaller interval

$$
\chi: \mathbb{I}^{4\circ q'} \not\downarrow_{\mathfrak{t}} q' \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{x_{0}-p} \times_{\mathfrak{t}} s + \mathfrak{t}
$$

Such that

\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\chi(t) & \text{otherwise,} \\
\chi(t) & \text{and} \\
\frac{dx}{dt}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \forall t \in [t_0 \cdot d, t_0 + d]\n\end{cases}
$$

 $\int a \text{ some } \theta \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha$ .

 $e_2$ 3, 14 Consider  $\frac{dx}{dt} = 1+x^2$  $X(0) = 0$ Here  $f(t,x) = 1+x^2$  is smooth on  $[-q,q]x[-b,b]$  for any  $a, b>0$ . However, the solution  $x(t) = \tau_{cut}$  defined only on  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .  $c$ . Even for nice f, we may still have  $a' < a$ .

Recall:

(i) 5 defined in R=[to-0, to+0]x [x-b, xotb] sotofies the  
Lipschitz condition (uniform in t)  

$$
\exists
$$
 L>0 s.1, V (t,x), (t,x) \in R,  
 $|f(t,x)-f(t,x))| \le L|x_1-x_2|$ .

$$
(10^{7})
$$
 L is called a Lipschitz constant.

 $U^{\gamma}$  ) If L is a Lip. constant for f, then any  $L' > L$  is also a Lip, constant.

$$
(V) \text{ Nst all } ct. \text{ functions } satify the Lip. (addition.}\n\frac{eg}{f}(t,x) = \text{rk}x^2 \text{ is } ct. \text{ but not } hip. \text{ near } 0.
$$

 $(vi)$  If  $R = [to-a, tota] \times I \times o^{-b}$ ,  $x_0 + b$  and  $f(t,x)$  :  $R \implies R$  is  $C'$ , then  $f(t,x)$  satisfies the Lip. Condition:  $\overline{u}$  fact, for some  $y \in [x_0, y_0, x_0, y_1]$  $|f(x,x)-f(x,x_0)| = |\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y)(x_0-x_0)|$ Hence  $|f(x,x)-f(x,x_0)| \leq |x_2-x_1|$  $\{\infty \mid \Delta = \max\left\{|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, x)| : (x, x) \in \mathbb{R}\right\}\right\}$ 

Thm3.10 (Picard-Lindelijk Thenew)
(Fundamental Theorem of Existence and Uniqueness of Differential Equations)
Let f be continuous function on R= [to-a, t+af] × [x-b, x+b]
(to,x) $\in R^*$ , a, b>0) satisfies the Lipschitz condition
on R (uniform in t), The u $\exists$ a (6 (0, a) and x 6 (1+6, a) +b a)
$\times$ c (1+6, a) +b a
$\times$ c b $\times$ (t) $\times$ c t b , $\forall$ t $\in$ [to a', t+a'
and solving the Cauchy Problem (IVP)
Furthermore, x is the unique solution in [to-a', t+a'].

Note: One will see in the following proof that 
$$
a'
$$
 can be taken  
to be any number satisfying  
 $D < a' <$ min  $\{a, \frac{b}{M}, \frac{c}{L}\}$ 

where  $M = \sup \{ |f(t,x)| = (t,x) \in R \}$   $\ge$  $L = Lip$  const. for  $f$ .

Prop3.11	Setting as in Thm310, every solution $\times$ of (IVP)
from [to-a', to+a'] to [to-b', to+b] satisfies	
How equivalent $\overline{\chi(t)} = \chi_{0} + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(t, \chi(t)) dt$ (3,7)	
Conversely, away $\chi(t) \in C[x_{0}-a', t_{0}+a']$ satisfying (3,7)	
so C <sup>1</sup> and solves (IVP)	
By Fundamental Thunum of Calculus.	

Proof of Picard-Lindolöf Thenen:  
\nFor a'>o to be chosen later, we let  
\n
$$
\Sigma = \{ \varphi \in CLto -a', t_0ta'J: \varphi(t_0) = x_0, \varphi(t_0) \in [x_0t_0, x_0t_0] \}
$$
\n
$$
with (uniform) motion of  $\infty$  on  $\Sigma$ .  
\nFirst note that  $\Sigma$  is a closed subset in the complete metric space (CEx-a', t_0ta', d\omega). Hence ( $\Sigma$ , d\omega) is complete.  
\nBefore  $T$  on  $\Sigma$  by  
\n
$$
(\top \varphi) \cdot (t_0) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n
$$
(\top \omega \circ \omega u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds
$$
\n<math display="</math>
$$

Let 
$$
M = \sup_{(x,y)\in R} |f(t,x)|
$$
.  
\nThen  $\forall t \in [t_0 - a', t_0 + a']$   
\n
$$
|(T\varphi)(t) - x_0| = |\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, \varphi(s))ds| \leq M |t - t_0|
$$
\n
$$
\leq M a'
$$
\nIf we choose  $0 < a' \leq \frac{b}{M}$ , then  
\n
$$
|((T\varphi)(t) - x_0)| \leq b
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow T\varphi \in \mathbb{X}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow T\varphi \in \mathbb
$$

Therefore, if we further require 
$$
LA' = r < 1
$$
,

\nthen  $T$  is a cointraction:

$$
d_{\infty}(\tau\varphi_{2},\tau\varphi_{1}) \leq \gamma d_{\infty}(\varphi_{2},\varphi_{1})
$$
 with  $\gamma = La' < 1$ .  
\nIn *cmclusion*,  $\overline{sl}$   $0 < a' < \min \{a, \frac{b}{M}, \frac{1}{L}\}$ ,  
\n $Heu T : X \geq X$  is a *c*atraction on a *coupleta* metric space.  
\nTherefore, by *Ca*thaction Mapping Principle, *T* adults a  
\n*unique* fixed part  $x(x) \in X$ .  
\n $Ru B_{1}B_{2}B_{3}H$ , we're proved  $THm 310$ .

Notes:  
\n(I) Existence part of Picaxd-Lüddöf thm sfrll holds wff  
\n
$$
f(t,x)
$$
 to any (wthart Lip-cuditia) Howm, the solution  
\nmay not be wigur:

 $\mathscr{Q}$ : Consider  $f(t, x) = |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  f is th, but not Lip. Cts Canchy Probem  $\left.\begin{matrix} \end{matrix}\right)$  on IK  $X(0) \rightleftharpoons 0$ has solutions  $X_1(t)=0$  and  $X_2(t)=\begin{cases} 7t & \text{if } t>0\\ 1+2 & \text{if } t>0 \end{cases}$  $H^2$  ,  $\star$  $C_1$ check:  $X_2$  is differentiable with  $\frac{dX_2}{dt_1} = |X_2|^{1/2}$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$  $X_2(0) = 0$ 

(2) Uniquenes holds repardless of the size of the interval of existence. (Proof outilied as it is more in the

$$
\frac{T_{nm3.13}}{T_{max3.13}} = \frac{\int_{\text{in}^{2}}^{r_{in}^{2}} \left( \frac{r_{in}^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dr}{dt} \right) + \frac{dr}{dt}}{\sqrt{2}t^{2}} = \int_{x(t_{0})}^{x_{1}} \frac{dr}{dt} = \int_{x(x)}^{x_{1}} \frac{dr}{dt} = \int_{x(x)}^{x_{1}}
$$

(4) The Picard-Lindelof Therem for system can be applied to unitial value problem for higher order ordinary differential etions:<br>  $(\text{IVP})$ <br>  $\frac{d^m x}{dx^m} = f(t, x, \frac{dx}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d^{m-r}}{dx^{m-r}})$ <br>  $\frac{d x}{dx}(t_0) = x_1$ <br>  $\frac{d^m x}{dx^m}$ <br>  $\vdots$ <br>  $\frac{d^{m-r} x}{dx^{m-r}}(t_0) = x_{m-r}$ aguations: By letting  $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{dt} \\ \frac{dx}{dt} \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $d\frac{d}{dx}$  =  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{dt} \\ \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^mx}{dt^m} \end{pmatrix}$  =  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} \\ \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^mx}{dt^m} \end{pmatrix}$  =  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$  =  $\frac{1}{2}(t, \overline{x})$ with  $\vec{x}(t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_0 \\ y_0 & y_0 \\ y_0 & y_0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Ch4	Space of Cattivures Functions
Set, 1	AscoC's Theneu.
Notation: If $(\mathbf{X}, d) = \text{metric space, we denote}$	
C <sub>k</sub> (X) = { $\{ \in (\mathbf{X}) : \text{ H}(m) \leq M, \forall x \in \mathbf{X}, \text{ A, see } M \}$	
the vector space of all bounded contravars functions on X.	
Clearly, $C_{k}(X) \subset C(X)$ .	
(C(X) = set of continuous functions on X.)	
49: If $G = (\text{non output})$ bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then	
$C_{k}(G) = C(\overline{G})$	
20: $\overline{G}$ is closed and bounded, $\overline{f} \in C(\overline{G})$ that be bounded.	
10: $\overline{G}$ is closed and bounded, $\overline{f} \in C(\overline{G})$ that be bounded.	
10: $\overline{G}$ is closed and bounded, $\overline{f} \in C(\overline{G})$ that be bounded.	
10: $\overline{G}$ is closed and bounded, $\overline{f} \in C(\overline{G})$ that be bounded.	
10: $\overline{G}$ is closed and bounded, $\overline{f} \in C(\overline{G})$ that is a non- by the following properties:	
(N1) $  x   \geq 0 \leq N   x   +   y  $	
10: $  x   \leq   x   +   y  $	
10: $  x   \leq   x   +   y  $	
10: $  x   \leq   x   +   y  $	
10: $  x   \$	

Fact: The supporty  $||f||_{\infty} = \frac{\text{supp}}{\text{arg}} |f(x)|$  $\delta$  a norm on  $C_b(\mathbb{X})$ . And we always assume  $C_b(\mathbb{X})$  with netric  $d_{\infty}(f,g) = \|f - g\|_{\infty}$ given by the supnorm. Sinilar to  $(C[a,b],d_{\infty}),$  we have  $\beta$ rop =  $(C_b(\mathbb{X}), d_{\infty})$  is complete  $(\mathcal{A})$  any metric space  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  $Ef$ = Let  $\{fh\}$  be a Cauchy seg. in (Ch(I), dos) Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \pm n_0 \ge 0$  s.t.  $\|\oint_{M}-\oint_{M}\|\right|_{\infty}<\frac{\epsilon}{4}$ In particular,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$ ,  $(\nleftrightarrow)$   $|f_{\mu}(x) - f_{\eta}(x)| \leq ||f_{m} - f_{n}||_{\infty} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ ,  $\forall m, n \geq 0$  $\Rightarrow$  { $f_n(x)$ } is a Cauchy seq. in TR.  $R_y$  completences of  $R$  (not  $X$ ),  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$  exits and, in general, depends on  $x$ . Let denote it by  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$ , This gives a function of on  $\Sigma$ .

Clair 1 f is bounded.  $\overrightarrow{Ff}$ : Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $(\star)_1$ , we have  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , and  $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(\kappa)_2$   $|f(x) - f_{\omega}(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ ,  $\forall n \geq n_0$ In particular,  $|f(x) - f_{n} (x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$ .  $\Rightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad |f(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + |f_{\eta_0}(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + M_0 ,$ where  $M_o$   $\delta$  a bound  $\oint_a f_{n_o}$ . - - 4 à bounded. Clain 2 = 5 is continuous  $PS: f_{n_0}$  to  $\Rightarrow$   $\forall$   $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  &  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  $5.4.$   $\int f_{n_{0}}(x) - f_{n_{0}}(x_{0}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$   $\forall d(x,x_{0}) < \delta$ . Then together with (#)2,  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le |f(x) - f_{\eta_0}(x)| + |f_{\eta_0}(x) - f_{\eta_0}(x_0)| + |f_{\eta_0}(x_0) - f(x_0)|$  $\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \leq \epsilon$ ,  $\forall d(x, x_0) < \delta$ .  $\leftarrow$   $+$   $\circ$   $\alpha$  at  $x$ . Since  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  is arbitrars,  $f \circ d$  on  $\mathbb{X}$ . Clair 12 2  $\Rightarrow$   $f \in C_b(\mathbb{X})$ . Finally, by  $(\star)_{2}$   $\frac{\lambda \mu}{\chi_{ex}}$   $|f(x)-f_{n}(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ ,  $\forall n \ge 1$ 

\n
$$
\{e, \, d_{\infty}(f_{n}, f) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}, \, \forall n \geq n_{\infty}\}
$$
\n

\n\n $\{f_{\infty}(f_{n}, f) \Rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \leq n \leq \infty\}$ \n

\n\n $\{f_{\infty}(f_{n}, f) \Rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \leq n \leq \infty\}$ \n

\n $\frac{N_{0}te_{s}}{s}: (i) we've just proved that (C_{b}(x), d_{\infty})$ is a \n        Bawach space, i.e. a complete annual vector space.\n
\n $\frac{N_{0}te_{s}}{s}: (i) O_{b}(\mathbb{I})$ is usually of infinite dimensional:\n
\n $\frac{Q_{0}s}{s}: Whou \mathbb{X}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \approx 5 \text{ubset with } n/m\text{-empty into a in } \mathbb{R}^{n}$ .\n
\n $\frac{E\times p}{t}t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathbb{X} = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}, \text{ then}$ \n
\n $\frac{1}{2}f_{n}(x) = x^{n} \int_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{b}(\mathbb{I}).$ \n

Clearly, 
$$
\{x^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}
$$
  $\circ$  a living only independent.  
\n $\Rightarrow C_b(x) = C[0,1] \circ s \circ f$  infinite dimensional.

(iii) 
$$
C_0(\mathbb{X})
$$
 (and be of ~~finite~~ dimensions:  
\n $eg: \mathbb{X} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \quad \text{finite set with clique metric\n $\exists \forall \mathbb{X} \implies \mathbb{R}^n$   
\n $\exists \mathbb{U} \implies \mathbb{R}^n$   
\n $\exists \mathbb{U} \implies \mathbb{R}^n$   
\n $\exists \mathbb{U} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies \mathbb{H} \implies (\mathbb{H}$$ 

(iv) A reason for studying 
$$
C_b(\mathbf{X})
$$
 instead of  $C(\mathbf{X})$  is the  
fact that  $C(\mathbf{X})$  may contain unbounded function and  
supnum  $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$  doesn't define.  
eg =  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, +\infty))$ .

However, in Sowe case, it is still possible to define a  
matrix on C(
$$
\mathbb{X}
$$
).  
  
 $\frac{eg}{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbb{R}^{m}$ ,  $\overline{B}_{n}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \epsilon \mathbb{R}^{m}$ :  $ks(n) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa (1 - \frac{1}{2})^{2}$   
  
 $\mathbb{Y} + \epsilon C(\mathbb{R}^{m})$ , define  
 $d(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2})!_{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{n}(0)}}{1 + 1!_{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{n}(0)}}$   
where  $|| \cdot ||_{\infty} \frac{1}{B_{n}(0)}$  is the supman on the closed ball  $\overline{B}_{n}(0)$ .  
Then d is a coupled white on C( $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ). (Ex!)

(v)  $C_b(\mathbf{X})$  may not have Bolzano - Weierstrass property. Recall

Bolzano WeierstrassTheorem in R Every bounded sequence set has contains <sup>a</sup> convergent subsequence sequence

\n- \n
$$
C_{\theta}(0,1) = C[0,1]
$$
. let  $f_{n}(x) = x^{n}$ ,  $x \in [0,1]$ .\n
\n- \n $T_{\theta}(\theta,1) = 1$ ,  $\forall n$ .\n
\n- \n $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ ,  $\forall n$ .\n
\n- \n $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ ,  $\forall n$ .\n
\n- \n $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ ,  $\forall n$ .\n
\n- \n $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ ,  $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ .\n
\n- \n $\theta_{\theta} = 1$ \n
\n- \n $\$

Hence closed precompact  $\Rightarrow$  compact The other direction: Compact  $\Rightarrow$  closed precompact is trivial.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\mathfrak{L}: & \mathsf{Bofgano-Walerstrass} \Rightarrow \\
& \mathsf{ECR}^n \text{ is precompact} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{E} \text{ is bounded.} \\
\mathsf{Hauo} & \mathsf{EC}(R^n \text{ is compact} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{E} \text{ is closed } \mathsf{b} \text{ bounded.} \\
\hline\n\mathsf{Def}: \mathsf{let}(X,d) \text{ be a metric space.} A \text{ subset } \mathsf{C} \text{ of } \\
\mathsf{CC}(X) \text{ is equivalent to } \mathsf{Id} \text{ } \mathsf{V} \in \mathsf{PQ} \text{ and } \mathsf{d}(X,Y) \leq \mathsf{S} \text{ and } \mathsf{d}(X,Y) \leq \mathsf{S} \text{ and } \\
\mathsf{If}(X) = f(y_1) < \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{V} \in \mathsf{C} \text{ is } d(x,y) \leq \mathsf{S}(X, y \in X) \\
\hline\n\mathsf{Note}: \mathsf{Cboxly} \mathsf{Id} \text{ is a equivalent to } \mathsf{add} \mathsf{tridiag} \text{ and } \mathsf{C} \leq \mathsf{C} \\
\hline\n\mathsf{B}: \mathsf{E} \times \mathsf{E} = \mathsf{G} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \mathsf{G}^{*p} \text{ open } x \text{ bounded.} \text{ Then } \\
\hline\n\mathsf{Set} \subseteq \mathsf{G} \text{ is always uniformly continuous:} \\
\mathsf{H} \in \mathsf{S} \text{ is a non-adjointian} \mathsf{C} \text{ is a polynomial, and } \\
\hline\n\mathsf{H} \text{ is a real, } \mathsf{d} \text{ is a non-adjointian} \mathsf{C} \text{ is a polynomial, } \\
\hline\n\mathsf{H} \text{ is a real, } \mathsf{d} \text{ is a unique of } x \leq \mathsf{G} \text{ and } \mathsf{f} \text{ and } \mathsf{f} \text{ and } \mathsf{d} \text{ is a non-adjointian} \mathsf{C
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} = A function f\ defained on a subset \t{G of R^n (non-empty)\t\t\t\neq 0.13 such that\n\mathcal{L} = \alpha \in (0,1) such that\n\mathcal{L} = \alpha \in (0,1) such that\n\mathcal{L} = \left(1 \times -\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \forall x,y \in \overline{G}.
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \text{some constant } L.
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \text{number } \alpha \text{ is called the Hölder exponent.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \text{function } \alpha \text{ called the Lieschitz unitary.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f \in ((\overline{G}) : f \text{ Hölder } |I \cap P, \text{ with equivalent } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \right\} \text{ is an equilibrium of a subgraph.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f \in ((\overline{G}) : f \text{ Hölder } |I \cap P, \text{ with equivalent } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \right\} \text{ is an equilibrium of a subgraph.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f \in ((\overline{G}) : f \text{ Hölder } |I \cap P, \text{ with equivalent } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \right\} \text{ is an equilibrium of a subgraph.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f \in ((\overline{G}) : f \text{ Hölder } |I \cap P, \text{ with } \alpha \text{ is a subgraph of } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \right\} \text{ is an arbitrary function of a subgraph.}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L} = \text{a } \left\{ f \in ((\overline{G}) : f \text{ Hölder } |I \cap P, \text{ with } \alpha \text{ is a subgraph of } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \right\} \text{ is an arbitrary function of a subgraph.}
$$

Pop4.1	: $l_{\theta} + \epsilon$ be a subset $C(\overline{G})$ where $\overline{G}$ is a nonempty
Convex	in TR <sup>n</sup> (with $G$ open a bound ). Suppose that each
function in $C$ is differentiable and there is a uniform bound	
$m$ their partial derivatives. Then $C$ is equivalent to $C$	
$\theta$ , $C = \{\epsilon C(\overline{G}) : \xi \text{ differentiable, }   \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}  _{\infty} \leq M, \forall i \leq M\}$	
$\therefore$ is equivalent to $C$ is convex.	

$$
\underline{Pf} : \forall x, y \in \overline{G}, \overline{G} \text{ (mve)} \Rightarrow x + x(y - x) \in \overline{G}, \forall x \in IQ \text{ 1J.}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow x + x(y - x) \in \overline{G}, \forall x \in IQ \text{ 1J.}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow x + x(y - x) \in \overline{G}, \forall x \in IQ \text{ 1J.}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \quad x + x(y - x) \in \overline{G}, \forall x \in IQ \text{ 1J.}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{0} \frac{1}{100} \oint_{0} \frac{1}{100} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \left( \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{10
$$