#### 1. Definition. (Nullity, column rank, row rank of a matrix.)

Let A be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

- (a) The nullity of A is defined to be the dimension of the null space of A. It is denoted by n(A).
- (b) The column rank of A is defined to be the dimension of the column space of A. It is denoted by  $r_{col}(A)$ .
- (c) The row rank of A is defined to be the dimension of the row space of A. It is denoted by  $r_{row}(A)$ .

# 2. Theorem (K).

Let A be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

Suppose A' is the reduced row-echelon form which is row-equivalent to A. Denote the rank of A' is r(A). (So r(A) is the number of leading ones in A'.)

Then the statements below hold:

- (a) r(A) = r<sub>col</sub>(A) = r<sub>row</sub>(A).
  (b) n(A) + r(A) = q.
- (c)  $r(A^t) = r(A)$ , and  $n(A^t) + r(A) = p$ .

#### Remarks.

- The column space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  while the row space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . So despite the equality  $r_{col}(A) = r_{row}(A)$ , we do not expect these two objects to be 'comparable'. In fact, what is important is that despite their distinction as objects, their respective dimensions are the same.
- The equality n(A) + r(A) = q is referred to as the 'Rank-nullity Formula' (for the matrix A with q columns).

### 3. Proof of Theorem (K).

(a) The number of vectors in a basis for C(A) is the same as the number of pivot columns in A', which is the rank of A'. Hence  $r(A) = r_{col}(A)$ .

The number of vectors in a basis for  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is the number of non-zero rows in A', which is also the rank of A'. Hence  $r(A) = r_{row}(A)$ .

- (b) The nullity of A is the same as the number of free columns in A'. Then n(A) = q - r(A). Therefore n(A) + r(A) = q.
- (c) Note that  $C(A^t) = \mathcal{R}(A)$ . We have  $r(A^t) = r_{col}(A^t) = r_{row}(A) = r(A)$ . Then  $n(A^t) + r(A) = n(A^t) + r(A^t) = p$ .

### 4. Corollary to Theorem (K).

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_t$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . Define  $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \cdots | \mathbf{u}_t ]$ . Then the dimension of Span  $(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{u}_t\})$  is r(U).

# 5. Illustrations of the content of Theorem (K).

(a) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, and write  $B = A^t$ .

The reduced row-echelon form A' which is row-equivalent to A is given by  $A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

By direct inspection on A', we see that r(A) = 3 and n(A) = 1. As expected from theory, we have n(A) + r(A) = 4.

Note that  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The reduced row-echelon form B' which is row-equivalent to B is given by  $B' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that B' is not the same as the transpose of A'. However r(B) = r(B') = 3; so, as expected from theory, r(B) = r(A).

By direct inspection on B', we see that r(B) = 3 and n(B) = 1. As expected from theory, n(B) + r(B) = 4.

(b) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 & 5 & 9 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , and write  $B = A^t$ .

The reduced row-echelon form A' which is row-equivalent to A is given by  $A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

By direct inspection on A', we see that r(A) = 3 and n(A) = 2.

As expected from theory, we have n(A) + r(A) = 5.

Note that 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 9 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

The reduced row-echelon form B' which is row-equivalent to B is given by  $B' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that B' is not the same as the transpose of A'. However r(B) = r(B') = 3; so, as expected from theory, r(B) = r(A).

By direct inspection on B', we see that r(B) = 3 and n(B) = 0. As expected from theory, n(B) + r(B) = 3.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 5 & -7 & 12 \\ 2 & -4 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & -6 & -1 & 5 & 4 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$
, and write  $B = A^t$ .

The reduced row-echelon form A' which is row-equivalent to A is given by  $A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

By direct inspection on A', we see that r(A) = 3 and n(A) = 4. As expected from theory, we have n(A) + r(A) = 7.

Note that 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & -4 & -6 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & -7 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 & 5 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Note that B' is not the same as the transpose of A'. However r(B) = r(B') = 3; so, as expected from theory, r(B) = r(A).

By direct inspection on B', we see that r(B) = 3 and n(B) = 1. As expected from theory, n(B) + r(B) = 4.

## 6. Theorem (1).

Suppose A is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix. Then the inequalities below hold:

(a)  $r(A) \leq p$ .

(b)  $r(A) \le q$ .

(c)  $n(A) \ge q - p$ .

**Proof of Theorem (1).** The first two inequalities follow immediately from the definition of r(A) as the dimension of the column space of A and also as the dimension of the row space of A. As for the third, it is a consequence of the equality n(A) = q - r(A).

## 7. Lemma (2).

Suppose A is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix, and B is an  $(q \times s)$ -matrix. Then  $\mathcal{N}(B)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}(AB)$ .

## Proof of Lemma (2).

Suppose A is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix, and B is a  $(q \times s)$ -matrix.

By definition, AB is an  $(p \times s)$ -matrix. Note that  $\mathcal{N}(B)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(AB)$  are both subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^s$ .

[We verify that for any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , if  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(B)$  then  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(AB)$ .]

Pick any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(B)$ . Then by definition,  $B\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}_q$ .

We have  $(AB)\mathbf{v} = A(B\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{0}_q = \mathbf{0}_p$ . Then by definition  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(AB)$ .

It follows that  $\mathcal{N}(B)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}(AB)$ .

# 8. Theorem (3).

Suppose A is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix, and B is an  $(q \times s)$ -matrix. Then the inequalities below hold:

- (a)  $n(B) \le n(AB)$ .
- (b)  $r(AB) \leq r(B)$ .
- (c)  $r(AB) \leq r(A)$ .
- (d)  $n(A) + s \le n(AB) + q$ .

## 9. Proof of Theorem (3).

Suppose A is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix, and B is a  $(q \times s)$ -matrix.

- (a) By Lemma (2),  $\mathcal{N}(B)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}(AB)$ . Then  $n(B) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(B)) \le \dim(\mathcal{N}(AB)) = n(AB)$ .
- (b) By the Rank-nullity Formula, we have n(B) + r(B) = s, and n(AB) + r(AB) = s. Then  $r(AB) = s - n(AB) \le s - n(B) = r(B)$ .
- (c) Note that  $B^t A^t = (AB)^t$ . Then, also by Lemma (2),  $\mathcal{N}(A^t)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}((AB)^t)$ . Therefore  $n(A^t) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(A^t)) \le \dim(\mathcal{N}((AB)^t)) = n((AB)^t)$ . By the Rank-nullity Formula, we have  $n(A^t) + r(A^t) = p$  and  $n((AB)^t) + r((AB)^t) = p$ . Then  $r(AB) = r((AB)^t) = p - n((AB)^t) \le p - n(A^t) = r(A^t) = r(A)$ .
- (d) Again by the Rank-nullity Formula, we have n(A) + r(A) = n and n(AB) + r(AB) = s. Then  $s - n(AB) = r(AB) \le r(A) = q - n(A)$ . Therefore  $n(A) + s \le n(AB) + q$ .