

1. **Definition. (Column space of a matrix.)**

Let  $H$  be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

The column space of the matrix  $H$  is defined to be the set

$$\left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p : \begin{array}{l} \text{There exist some } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q \\ \text{such that } \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We denote this set by  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ .

**Remark.** We are applying the method of specification, with ‘selection criterion’

(\*) ‘there exist some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$ .’

to form a certain set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , called the column space of the matrix  $H$ .

When put into plain words, the selection criterion (\*) reads:

‘ $y$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  which can be expressed as the product of  $H$  in the left and some vector in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  in the right.’

According to this ‘selection criterion’:

- Those vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  resultant from multiplying  $H$  from the left to some vector in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  are collected.
- Those vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  not resultant from multiplying  $H$  from the left to some vector in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  are ‘discarded’.

For this reason,  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  is simply the collection of all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  which can be ‘expressed in the form’  $H\mathbf{u}$ , and only such vectors.

So very often the set  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  is given the short-hand  $\{H\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q\}$ .

**Further remark.** How to use the various versions of the definitions?

Always remember, whenever  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , the statements below mean the same thing:

- (a)  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .
- (b) There exists some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = H\mathbf{u}$ .

2. **Theorem (1). (Column space of a matrix as a ‘subspace’.)**

Suppose  $H$  is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix. Then the statements below hold.

- (1)  $\mathbf{0}_p \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .
- (2) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .
- (3) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .
- (4) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

3. **Proof of Statements (1), (2), (3) of Theorem (1).**

Suppose  $H$  is a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

- (1) Note that  $\mathbf{0}_p = H\mathbf{0}_q$ , and  $\mathbf{0}_q \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Then  $\mathbf{0}_p \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

- (2) Pick any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

[Ask: What to verify? Answer: ‘ $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ’.]

According to definition, this reads: ‘There exist some  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{w}$ .’

Further ask: How comes such a vector  $\mathbf{w}$ ? Answer: Make use of the information provided by ‘ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ’ and ‘ $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ’.]

By definition of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ , there exist some  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{v}$ .

Now  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u} + H\mathbf{v} = H(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , it happens that  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

- (3) Pick any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Pick any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

[Ask: What to verify? Answer: ‘ $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ’.]

According to definition, this reads: ‘There exist some  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\alpha\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{w}$ ’]

By definition of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ , there exist some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{u}$ .

Now  $\alpha\mathbf{x} = \alpha H\mathbf{u} = H(\alpha\mathbf{u})$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , it happens that  $\alpha\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ ,  $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

4. An alternative way of visualizing the notion of *column space* is through the notions of *linear combination* and *span* (which will be introduced shortly).

Recall the definition for the notion of *linear combination*:

Let  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

We say  $\mathbf{w}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  if the statement ( $\dagger$ ) holds:

( $\dagger$ ) There exist some real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\mathbf{w} = \alpha_1\mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbf{z}_n$ .

The expression  $\alpha_1\mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbf{z}_n$  on its own is called the linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  and the scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ .

5. **Definition. (Span of a set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .)**

Let  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  be ('finitely many') vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

The span of (the set of vectors)  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  is defined to be the set

$$\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{y} \text{ is a linear combination of } \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n \}$$

We denote this set by  $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$  (or  $\langle \{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\} \rangle$ ).

**Remark.**  $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$  is constructed with the help of the method of specification, with 'selection criterion'

( $\star$ ) ' $\mathbf{y}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ ,'

when we collect those and only those vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  which are linear combinations of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ .

For this reason,  $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$  is simply the collection of all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  which can be 'expressed' as linear combinations of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ , and only such vectors.

**Further remark.** How to use the various versions of the definitions?

Always remember, whenever  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , the statements below mean the same thing:

( $\#$ )  $\mathbf{y}$  belongs to  $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ .

( $\natural$ )  $\mathbf{y}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ .

( $\flat$ ) There exist some real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = \alpha_1\mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbf{z}_n$ .

**Further remark on terminologies and symbols.**

(a) In some textbooks, it is emphasized that the notion of *span* is defined on sets of vectors; hence the brackets ' $\{$ ', ' $\}$ ' are used in the notation.

(b) For convenience, we may read ' $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ ' as ' $\mathbf{y}$  is spanned by  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ '.

When a set of vectors, say,  $V$ , is equal to the set  $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ , we may read this set equality as '*the set  $V$  is spanned by  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$* '.

6. With the help of Lemma (A) (from the handout *linear combinations*), we are going to set up a 'dictionary' between the notion of *span* and the notion of *column space*.

Recall Lemma (A):

Let  $A$  be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and  $\mathbf{t}$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Suppose that for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the  $j$ -th column of  $A$  is  $\mathbf{a}_j$  and the  $j$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{t}$  is  $t_j$ . (So  $A =$

$$[ \mathbf{a}_1 \mid \mathbf{a}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{a}_n ] \text{ and } \mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_n \end{bmatrix} .)$$

Then  $A\mathbf{t} = t_1\mathbf{a}_1 + t_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + t_n\mathbf{a}_n$ .

7. **Theorem (D). ('Dictionary' between the notion of span and the notion of column space.)**

Let  $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $H$  be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

Suppose that the  $j$ -th column of  $H$  is  $\mathbf{h}_j$  for each  $j$ . (So  $H = [ \mathbf{h}_1 \mid \mathbf{h}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{h}_q ]$ .)

Then  $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ .

**Remark.** The significance of Theorem (D) is that every statement about spans of collections of finitely many vectors can be translated into a statement about column spaces of matrices, and vice versa.

**Further remark.** The equality ' $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ ' is a set equality. What such an equality means is that the statements ( $\dagger$ ), ( $\ddagger$ ) below hold simultaneously:

(†) For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ .

(‡) For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

### 8. Proof of Theorem (D).

Let  $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $H$  be a  $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

Suppose that the  $j$ -th column of  $H$  is  $\mathbf{h}_j$  for each  $j$ . Then  $H = [\mathbf{h}_1 \mid \mathbf{h}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{h}_q]$ .

[We verify the statements (†), (‡):

(†) For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ .

(‡) For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

The arguments are given in two separate paragraphs, one for (†) and the other (‡).]

- [We verify (†): ‘For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ .’]

Pick any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

[Ask: Is it true that  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ ?

By definition, there exists some  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$ .

For each  $i$ , denote the  $i$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{u}$  by  $u_i$ .

Then, by Lemma (A),  $\mathbf{y} = u_1\mathbf{h}_1 + u_2\mathbf{h}_2 + \dots + u_q\mathbf{h}_q$ .

Therefore  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$

- [We verify (‡): ‘For any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , if  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$  then  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .’]

Pick any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ .

[Ask: Is it true that  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ?

By definition, there exists some  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = u_1\mathbf{h}_1 + u_2\mathbf{h}_2 + \dots + u_q\mathbf{h}_q$ .

Define the vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  by  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_q \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then by Lemma (A), we have  $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$ .

Therefore  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ .

It follows that  $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$  holds.

### 9. Illustrations of the content of Theorem (D).

$$(a) \mathcal{C}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 7 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

$$(b) \mathcal{C}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & 6 & 6 \\ 1 & 8 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \text{Span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

### 10. Theorem (2). (Span of vectors as a ‘subspace’.)

Suppose  $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Write  $V = \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ .

The statements below hold:

(1)  $\mathbf{0} \in V$ .

(2) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in V$  then  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in V$ .

(3) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  then  $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in V$ .

(4) For any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in V$  then  $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in V$ .

**Proof of Theorem (2).** This is a consequence of Theorem (1) and Theorem (D).