

1. **Definition. (Column space of a matrix.)**

Let H be a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

The column space of the matrix H is defined to be the set

$$\left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p : \begin{array}{l} \text{There exist some } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q \\ \text{such that } \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We denote this set by $\mathcal{C}(H)$.

Remark.

We are applying the method of specification, with ‘selection criterion’

(*) ‘*there exist some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$.*’

to form a certain set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^p , called the column space of the matrix H .

When put into plain words, the selection criterion (*) reads:

‘ y is a vector in \mathbb{R}^p which can be expressed as the product of H in the left and some vector in \mathbb{R}^q in the right.’

According to this ‘selection criterion’:

- Those vectors in \mathbb{R}^p resultant from multiplying H from the left to some vector in \mathbb{R}^q are collected.
- Those vectors in \mathbb{R}^p not resultant from multiplying H from the left to some vector in \mathbb{R}^q are ‘discarded’.

For this reason, $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is simply the collection of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^p which can be ‘expressed in the form’ $H\mathbf{u}$, and only such vectors.

So very often the set $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is given the short-hand

$$\{H\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q\}.$$

Further remark.

How to use the various versions of the definitions?

Always remember, whenever $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the statements below mean the same thing:

(a) $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(b) *There exists some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{v} = H\mathbf{u}$.*

According to this 'selection criterion':

- Those vectors in \mathbb{R}^p resultant from multiplying H from the left to some vector in \mathbb{R}^q are collected.
- Those vectors in \mathbb{R}^p not resultant from multiplying H from the left to some vector in \mathbb{R}^q are 'discarded'.

For this reason, $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is simply the collection of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^p which can be 'expressed in the form' $H\mathbf{u}$, and only such vectors.

So very often the set $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is given the short-hand

$$\{H\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q\}.$$

Further remark.

How to use the various versions of the definitions?

Always remember, whenever $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the statements below mean the same thing:

(a) $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(b) There exists some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{v} = H\mathbf{u}$. 

less formal re-phrasing:

' \mathbf{v} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^p of the form $H\mathbf{u}$ for some appropriate $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$.'

2. **Theorem (1).** (Column space of a matrix as a ‘subspace’.)

Suppose H is a $(p \times q)$ -matrix. Then the statements below hold:

(1) $\mathbf{0}_p \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(2) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(3) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(4) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

3. **Proof of Statements (1), (2), (3) of Theorem (1).**

Suppose H is a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

(1) Note that $\mathbf{0}_p = H\mathbf{0}_q$, and $\mathbf{0}_q \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

Then $\mathbf{0}_p \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(2) Pick any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Suppose $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

[Ask: What to verify? Answer: ' $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ '.]

According to definition, this reads: 'There exist some $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{w}$ '.

Further ask: How comes such a vector \mathbf{w} ?

Answer: Make use of the information provided by ' $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ ' and ' $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ '.]

By definition of $\mathcal{C}(H)$, there exist some $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{v}$.

Now $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u} + H\mathbf{v} = H(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$. Since $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$, it happens that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

Then by the definition of $\mathcal{C}(H)$, $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

(3) Pick any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Pick any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

[Ask: What to verify? Answer: ' $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ '.]

According to definition, this reads: 'There exist some $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\alpha\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{w}$ '.]

By definition of $\mathcal{C}(H)$, there exist some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{x} = H\mathbf{u}$.

Now $\alpha\mathbf{x} = \alpha H\mathbf{u} = H(\alpha\mathbf{u})$. Since $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^q$, it happens that $\alpha\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

Then by the definition of $\mathcal{C}(H)$, $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

4. An alternative way of visualizing the notion of *column space* is through the notions of *linear combination* and *span* (which will be introduced shortly).

Recall the definition for the notion of *linear combination*:

Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .

Let \mathbf{w} be a vector in \mathbb{R}^m .

We say \mathbf{w} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ if the statement (\dagger) holds:

(\dagger) There exist some real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$\mathbf{w} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{z}_n.$$

The expression $\alpha_1 \mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{z}_n$ on its own is called the linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ and the scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

5. **Definition.** (**Span of a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .**)

Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ be ('finitely many') vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .

The span of (the set of vectors) $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ is defined to be the set

$$\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{y} \text{ is a linear combination of } \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\}.$$

We denote this set by $\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ (or $\langle\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\}\rangle$).

Remark.

$\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$ is constructed with the help of the method of specification, with 'selection criterion'

(\star) ' \mathbf{y} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$,

when we collect those and only those vectors in \mathbb{R}^m which are linear combinations of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$.

For this reason,

$$\text{Span}(\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$$

is simply the collection of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^m which can be 'expressed' as linear combinations of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$, and only such vectors.

Further remark.

How to use the various versions of the definitions?

Always remember, whenever $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the statements below mean the same thing:

(#) \mathbf{y} belongs to $\text{Span} (\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$.

(‡) \mathbf{y} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$.

(b) There exist some real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $\mathbf{y} = \alpha_1\mathbf{z}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{z}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbf{z}_n$.

Further remark on terminologies and symbols.

(a) In some textbooks, it is emphasized that the notion of *span* is defined on sets of vectors; hence the brackets ‘{’, ‘}’ are used in the notation.

(b) For convenience, we may read

$$\text{‘}\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})\text{’}$$

as

$$\text{‘}\mathbf{y} \text{ is spanned by } \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\text{’}.$$

When a set of vectors, say, V , is equal to the set $\text{Span} (\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$, we may read this set equality as ‘*the set V is spanned by $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$* ’.

6. With the help of Lemma (A) (from the handout *linear combinations*), we are going to set up a ‘dictionary’ between the notion of *span* and the notion of *column space*.

Recall Lemma (A):

Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix, and \mathbf{t} be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the j -th column of A is \mathbf{a}_j and the j -th entry of

\mathbf{t} is t_j . (So $A = [\mathbf{a}_1 | \mathbf{a}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{a}_n]$ and $\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_n \end{bmatrix}$.)

Then $A\mathbf{t} = t_1\mathbf{a}_1 + t_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \dots + t_n\mathbf{a}_n$.

7. Theorem (D). (‘Dictionary’ between the notion of span and the notion of column space.)

Let $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^p , and H be a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

Suppose that the j -th column of H is \mathbf{h}_j for each j . (So $H = [\mathbf{h}_1 | \mathbf{h}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{h}_q]$.)

Then $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$.

Remark.

The significance of Theorem (D) is that every statement about spans of collections of finitely many vectors can be translated into a statement about column spaces of matrices, and vice versa.

Further remark.

The equality ‘ $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ ’ is a set equality.

What such an equality means is that the statements (†), (‡) below hold simultaneously:

(†) For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$.

(‡) For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

8. Proof of Theorem (D).

Let $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^p , and H be a $(p \times q)$ -matrix.

Suppose that the j -th column of H is \mathbf{h}_j for each j . Then $H = [\mathbf{h}_1 | \mathbf{h}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{h}_q]$.

[We verify the statements (\dagger) , (\ddagger) :

(\dagger) For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$.

(\ddagger) For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

The arguments are given in two separate paragraphs, one for (\dagger) and the other (\ddagger) .]

- [We verify (\dagger) : ‘For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$.’]

Pick any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Suppose $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

[Ask: Is it true that $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$?]

By definition, there exists some $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$.

For each i , denote the i -th entry of \mathbf{u} by u_i .

Then, by Lemma (A), $\mathbf{y} = u_1\mathbf{h}_1 + u_2\mathbf{h}_2 + \dots + u_q\mathbf{h}_q$.

Therefore $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}(\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$

- [We verify (‡): ‘For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ then $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.’]

Pick any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Suppose $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$.

[Ask: Is it true that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$?]

By definition, there exists some $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{y} = u_1\mathbf{h}_1 + u_2\mathbf{h}_2 + \dots + u_q\mathbf{h}_q$.

Define the vector \mathbf{u} in \mathbb{R}^q by $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_q \end{bmatrix}$.

Then by Lemma (A), we have $\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{u}$.

Therefore $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$.

It follows that $\mathcal{C}(H) = \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_q\})$ holds.

9. Illustrations of the content of Theorem (D).

$$(a) \mathcal{C} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Span} \left(\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 7 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right)$$

$$(b) \mathcal{C} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & 6 & 6 \\ 1 & 8 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Span} \left(\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right)$$

10. Theorem (2). (Span of vectors as a ‘subspace’.)

Suppose $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$ are vectors in \mathbb{R}^m . Write $V = \text{Span} (\{\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n\})$.

The statements below hold:

(1) $\mathbf{0} \in V$.

(2) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, if $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and $\mathbf{y} \in V$ then $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in V$.

(3) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{x} \in V$ then $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in V$.

(4) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and $\mathbf{y} \in V$ then $\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y} \in V$.

Proof of Theorem (2). This is a consequence of Theorem (1) and Theorem (D).