#### 1. Definition. (Invertibility.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

- (a) Suppose B is a  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Further suppose  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ . Then we say B is a matrix inverse of A.
- (b) A is said to be invertible if and only if A has a matrix inverse.

#### 2. Two (trivial) examples.

- (a) The identity matrix  $I_n$  is invertible, and a matrix inverse of it is  $I_n$  itself.
- (b) The zero  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix is not invertible.

## 3. Lemma ( $\alpha$ ). (Uniqueness of matrix inverse.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose B, C are both matrix inverses of A.

Then B = C.

## Proof of Lemma ( $\alpha$ ).

Under the assumption, we have  $BA = I_n$  and  $AC = I_n$ .

Then

$$B = BI_n = B(AC) = (BA)C = I_nC = C.$$

#### Remarks.

- From now on there is no problem using the article *the* in writing the words *the matrix* inverse of the invertible matrix blah-blah.
- For the same reason, it makes to label the matrix inverse of an invertible matrix, say, A, with something which involves the symbol 'A'.

From now on, we denote by  $A^{-1}$  the matrix inverse of such an invertible matrix A.

# 4. Lemma ( $\beta$ ). (Product of matrix inverses.)

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose A, B are invertible.

Then the product AB is invertible with matrix inverse given by  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

## Proof of Lemma ( $\beta$ ).

Under the assumption, we have  $A^{-1}A = I_n$  and  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ .

Moreover,  $B^{-1}B = I_n$  and  $BB^{-1} = I_n$ .

Write C = AB, and  $D = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

We have

$$DC = (B^{-1}A^{-1})(AB) = B^{-1}[A^{-1}(AB)] = B^{-1}[(A^{-1}A)B] = B^{-1}(I_nB) = B^{-1}B = I_n.$$

We also have

$$CD = (AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) = \cdots = I_n.$$

Therefore, by the definition of matrix inverse and invertibility, C is invertible with matrix inverse D.

Then AB is invertible, and its matrix inverse is given by  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

#### Remark.

By mathematical induction, we can prove this generalization of Lemma  $(\beta)$ :

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_k$  are invertible.

Then the product  $A_1A_2\cdots A_k$  is invertible with matrix inverse given by

$$(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_k)^{-1} = A_k^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1} A_1^{-1}.$$

## 5. Corollary to Lemma $(\beta)$ .

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A is invertible.

Then, for each positive integer p, the matrix  $A^p$  is invertible with matrix inverse given by  $(A^p)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^p$ .

**Proof.** Exercise in mathematical induction.

# 6. Lemma $(\gamma)$ .

- (a) Every row operation matrix is invertible.

  Its matrix inverse is the row operation matrix corresponding to its reverse row operation.
- (b) Suppose  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  are row-operation matrices, and

$$H = H_k \cdots H_2 H_1$$
.

Then H is invertible, and its matrix inverse is given by

$$H^{-1} = H_1^{-1} H_2^{-2} \cdots H_k^{-1}.$$

**Proof of Lemma**  $(\gamma)$ . [This is a straightforward calculation, though it requires patience.]

6. Lemma  $(\gamma)$ .

Importance of Item(a): Row-speration matrices constitute
an important type of invertible matrices.

- (a) Every row operation matrix is invertible.

  Its matrix inverse is the row operation matrix corresponding to its reverse row operation.
- (b) Suppose  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k$  are row-operation matrices, and  $H = H_k \dots H_2 H_1$ .

Then H is invertible, and its matrix inverse is given by

$$H^{-1} = H_1^{-1} H_2^{-2} \cdots H_k^{-1}.$$

Consequence of Item(a) and Lemma (B).

**Proof of Lemma**  $(\gamma)$ . [This is a straightforward calculation, though it requires patience.]

# 7. Lemma ( $\delta$ ). (Invertibility of matrix inverse.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A is invertible.

Then its matrix inverse  $A^{-1}$  is invertible, and the matrix inverse of  $A^{-1}$  is given by  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ .

## Proof of Lemma $(\delta)$ .

Under the assumption, we have  $A^{-1}A = I_n$  and  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ .

Write B = A, and  $C = A^{-1}$ .

Since  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ , we have  $BC = I_n$ .

Since  $A^{-1}A = I_n$ , we have  $CB = I_n$ .

Therefore  $BC = I_n$  and  $CB = I_n$ .

Then, by the definition of matrix inverse and invertibility, C is invertible with matrix inverse B.

Therefore  $A^{-1}$  is invertible and its matrix inverse is given by  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ .

# 8. Lemma ( $\epsilon$ ). (Invertibility implies non-singularity.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A invertible.

Then A is non-singular, and its matrix inverse  $A^{-1}$  is non-singular and invertible.

## Proof of Lemma $(\epsilon)$ .

Under the assumption, we have  $A^{-1}A = I_n$  and  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ .

By Lemma  $(\delta)$ ,  $A^{-1}$  is invertible.

Since  $A^{-1}A = I_n$ , we conclude from Lemma (2) that A is non-singular.

Since  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ , we conclude from Lemma (2) that  $A^{-1}$  is non-singular.

# **Remark.** Recall Lemma (2):

Let C be a  $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

Suppose there exists some  $(p \times p)$ -square matrix J such that  $JC = I_p$ .

Then C is non-singular.

## 9. Lemma ( $\zeta$ ). (Non-singularity implies invertibility.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A non-singular.

Then A is invertible, and its matrix inverse  $A^{-1}$  is non-singular and invertible.

## Proof of Lemma $(\zeta)$ .

Under the assumption, and according to Lemma (6), there exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix H such that H is non-singular,  $HA = I_n$  and  $AH = I_n$ .

Now, by definition, A is invertible with its matrix inverse given by  $A^{-1} = H$ .

H is invertible by Lemma  $(\delta)$ .

## 10. Theorem (B). (Equivalence of non-singularity and invertibility.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

A is non-singular if and only if A is invertible.

Furthermore, if A is invertible, then its matrix inverse  $A^{-1}$  is non-singular and invertible with matrix inverse given by  $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$ .

#### 11. Corollary to Theorem (B).

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

The statements below are logically equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix H such that  $HA = I_n$ .
- (c) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix G such that  $AG = I_n$ .

# Proof of Corollary to Theorem (B).

- Suppose A is invertible. Then A has a unique matrix inverse  $A^{-1}$ . So it follows that  $A^{-1}A = I_n$  and  $AA^{-1} = I_n$ .
- Suppose there exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix H such that  $HA = I_n$ . Then, by Lemma (2), A is non-singular. Therefore, by Theorem (B), A is invertible.
- Suppose there exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix G such that  $AG = I_n$ . Then, by Lemma (2), G is non-singular. Therefore, by Theorem (B), G is invertible. We verify that  $G^{-1} = A$ :

  We have  $I_n = AG$ .

  Then  $G^{-1} = I_n G^{-1} = (AG)G^{-1} = A(GG^{-1}) = AI_n = A$ .

  Then by Lemma  $(\delta)$ , A is invertible.

#### Remark.

With the help of Theorem (B) and its corollary, together with the calculations leading towards Lemma (6), we can 'upgrade' Theorem (A) to obtain Theorem (C).

# 12. Theorem (C). (Various re-formulations for the notions of non-singularity and invertibility.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

- (a) A is non-singular.
- (b) For any vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (c) The trivial solution is the only solution of the homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ .
- (d) A is row-equivalent to  $I_n$ .
- (e) A is invertible.
- (f) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix H such that  $HA = I_n$ .
- (g) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix G such that  $AG = I_n$ .

Now suppose A is non-singular, with a sequence of row operations

$$A = C_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} C_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-2}} C_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-1}} C_p = I_n,$$

and with  $H_k$  being the row-operation matrix corresponding to  $\rho_k$  for each k.

Then  $[I_n|A^{-1}]$  is the resultant of the application of the same sequence of row operations  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \cdots, \rho_{p-1}$  starting from  $[A|I_n]$ :

$$[A|I_n] = [C_1|I_n] \xrightarrow{\rho_1} [C_2|H_1] \xrightarrow{\rho_2} [C_3|H_2H_1] \xrightarrow{\rho_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-1}} [C_p|H_{p-1} \cdots H_2H_1] = [I_n|A^{-1}].$$

Moreover,  $A^{-1}$  and A are respectively given as products of row-operation matrices by

$$A^{-1} = H_{p-1} \cdots H_2 H_1,$$
  $A = H_1^{-1} H_2^{-1} \cdots H_{p-1}^{-1}.$ 

# 12. Theorem (C). (Various re-formulations for the notions of non-singularity and invertibility.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

- (a) A is non-singular.
- (b) For any vector  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (c) The trivial solution is the only solution of the homogeneous system  $\mathcal{LS}(A, 0)$ .
- (d) A is row-equivalent to  $I_n$ .
- (e) A is invertible.
- (f) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix H such that  $HA = I_n$ .
- (g) There exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix G such that  $AG = I_n$ .

Now suppose A is non-singular, with a sequence of row operations

$$A = C_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} C_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-2}} C_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-1}} C_p = I_n,$$

and with  $H_k$  being the row-operation matrix corresponding to  $\rho_k$  for each k. ( $C_{k+1} = H_k C_k$  for each k.)

Then  $[I_n|A^{-1}]$  is the resultant of the application of the same sequence of row operations  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \cdots, \rho_{p-1}$ 

starting from  $[A|I_n]$ :

$$[A|I_n] = [C_1|I_n] \xrightarrow{\rho_1} [C_2|H_1] \xrightarrow{\rho_2} [C_3|H_2H_1] \xrightarrow{\rho_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\rho_{p-1}} [C_p|H_{p-1} \cdots H_2H_1] = [I_n|A^{-1}].$$

Moreover,  $A^{-1}$  and A are respectively given as products of row-operation matrices by

$$A^{-1} = H_{p-1} \cdots H_2 H_1, \qquad A = H_1^{-1} H_2^{-1} \cdots H_{p-1}^{-1}.$$

for saying a given square matrix is non-singular.

This tells us how non-singula matrices are 'built up' as products of row-operation matrice

This suggests how to determine whether asquare matrix is invertible, and to find its inverse.

n' Factorization of both
A and A into products
of row-operation matrices

## 13. Corollary to Theorem (C).

The statements below hold:

- (a) The matrix inverse of every invertible matrix is a product of finitely many row-operation matrices.
- (b) Every non-singular matrix is a product of finitely many row-operation matrices.
- 14. Recall what Lemma  $(\beta)$  says (when put in plain words): the product of any two invertible matrices is an invertible. We now upgrade Lemma  $(\beta)$  with the help of Theorem (B), to obtain Lemma  $(\beta')$ .

## Lemma ( $\beta'$ ).

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose A, B are non-singular and invertible.

Then the product AB is non-singular and invertible.

15. The converse of Lemma  $(\beta')$ , as formulated below, is also true.

## Lemma $(\eta)$ .

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose the product AB is non-singular and invertible.

Then each of A, B is non-singular and invertible.

# 13. Corollary to Theorem (C).

The statements below hold:

- (a) The matrix inverse of every invertible matrix is a product of finitely many row-operation matrices.
- (b) Every non-singular matrix is a product of finitely many row-operation matrices.

  (In other words, every non-singular matrix can be factorized not row-operation matrices.)
- 14. Recall what Lemma ( $\beta$ ) says (when put in plain words): the product of any two invertible matrices is an invertible. We now upgrade Lemma ( $\beta$ ) with the help of Theorem (B), to obtain Lemma ( $\beta'$ ).

# Lemma $(\beta')$ .

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose A, B are non-singular and invertible.

Then the product AB is non-singular and invertible.

15. The converse of Lemma ( $\beta'$ ), as formulated below, is also true.

## Lemma $(\eta)$ .

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Suppose the product AB is non-singular and invertible.

Then each of A, B is non-singular and invertible.

# Proof of Lemma $(\eta)$ .

Suppose AB is non-singular and invertible.

We verify that B is non-singular:

• [This amounts to verifying the statement 'for any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $B\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .']

Pick any  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $B\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then  $(AB)\mathbf{v} = A(B\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Since AB is non-singular and  $(AB)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , we have  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

It follows that B is non-singular.

Now, by Theorem (B), the matrix B is invertible, with matrix inverse  $B^{-1}$ . Note that  $B^{-1}$  is invertible.

Note that  $A = AI_n = A(BB^{-1}) = (AB)B^{-1}$ .

Since AB is invertible and  $B^{-1}$  is invertible, A is also invertible according to Lemma ( $\beta$ ). Now, by Theorem (B), the matrix A is non-singular.

#### Remark.

We may combine Lemma  $(\beta')$  and Lemma  $(\eta)$  to obtain Theorem (D).

#### 16. **Theorem (D).**

Suppose A, B are  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Then the statements below are logically equivalent:

- $(\sharp)$  Each of A, B is non-singular and invertible.
- (b) The product AB is non-singular and invertible.

#### 17. Corollary to Theorem (D).

Suppose  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  are  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices.

Then the statements below are logically equivalent:

- $(\sharp\sharp)$  Each of  $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_k$  is non-singular and invertible.
- (bb) The product  $B_1B_2\cdots B_k$  is non-singular and invertible.

# Proof of Corollary to Theorem (D).

Apply Theorem (D) and mathematical induction.