

1. Reminder on notations: \mathbb{R}^n stands for the set of all (column) vectors with n entries.

Recall the definition for the notion of null space:

Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix.

The null space of A is defined to be the set $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}\}$.

It is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(A)$.

In terms of system of linear equations, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is the solution set of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$.

2. **Definition. (Non-singular matrices and singular matrices.)**

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

(a) *C is said to be non-singular if*

$$\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

(b) *C is said to be singular if C is not non-singular.*

Remarks.

- (a) We don't talk about non-singularity for non-square matrices.
- (b) The statement ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' in the context of this definition for the notion of *non-singular matrices* is a set equality.

The correct (and formal) way to understand the equality ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is that it is a 'short-hand' for this passage:

Both statements (†), (‡) are true:

(†) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(‡) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$.

Because ' $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is just a (clumsy) re-formulation of ' $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ', the statement (‡) is trivially true by virtue of the properties of matrix multiplication, and it can be safely ignored.

The essential mathematical content in the statement ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is the statement (†).

- (c) There are various (direct) re-formulations (according to definition) for the statement '*the $(p \times p)$ -square matrix C is non-singular*'. Dependent on the concrete problem we are dealing with, one of them may be much easier to use than any other.

Remarks.

- (a) We don't talk about non-singularity for non-square matrices.
- (b) The statement ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' in the context of this definition for the notion of *non-singular matrices* is a set equality.

The correct (and formal) way to understand the equality ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is that it is a 'short-hand' for this passage:

Both statements (\dagger) , (\ddagger) are true:

(\dagger) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(\ddagger) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$.

In the light of this, (\dagger) , (\ddagger) are:
 (\dagger) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ then $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
 (\ddagger) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(C)$.

Because ' $\mathbf{x} \in \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is just a (clumsy) re-formulation of ' $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ', the statement (\ddagger) is trivially true by virtue of the properties of matrix multiplication, and it can be safely ignored.

The essential mathematical content in the statement ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' is the statement (\dagger) .

- (c) There are various (direct) re-formulations (according to definition) for the statement '*the $(p \times p)$ -square matrix C is non-singular*'. Dependent on the concrete problem we are dealing with, one of them may be much easier to use than any other.

3. Lemma (1). (Simple re-formulations of the notion of non-singularity.)

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

(\diamond) $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(\clubsuit) $\mathbf{0}$ is the only vector in the null space of C .

(\heartsuit) For any vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

(\spadesuit) The trivial solution is the only solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(C, \mathbf{0})$.

Remark. Corresponding to the statement Lemma (1), we may give various (direct) re-formulations (according to definition) for the statement

‘the $(p \times p)$ -square matrix C is singular’.

Dependent on the concrete problem we are dealing with, one of them may be much easier to use than any other:

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

($\sim\diamond$) $\mathcal{N}(C) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

($\sim\clubsuit$) There is a non-zero vector in the null space of C .

($\sim\heartsuit$) There is some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

($\sim\spadesuit$) There is some non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(C, \mathbf{0})$.

3. Lemma (1). (Simple re-formulations of the notion of non-singularity.)

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

- (\diamond) $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. *← 'C is non-singular.'*
- (\clubsuit) $\mathbf{0}$ is the only vector in the null space of C . *← ' $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ' put in plain words.*
- (\heartsuit) For any vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. *← (+) formulated in a friendly way.*
- (\spadesuit) The trivial solution is the only solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(C, \mathbf{0})$.
← (\heartsuit) stated in words.

Remark. Corresponding to the statement Lemma (1), we may give various (direct) re-formulations (according to definition) for the statement

‘the $(p \times p)$ -square matrix C is singular’.

Dependent on the concrete problem we are dealing with, one of them may be much easier to use than any other:

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix. The statements below are logically equivalent:

- ($\sim\diamond$) $\mathcal{N}(C) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$. *← 'C is singular.'*
- ($\sim\clubsuit$) There is a non-zero vector in the null space of C . *← ' \clubsuit is not true' formulated appropriately.*
- ($\sim\heartsuit$) There is some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. *← ' \heartsuit is not true' formulated appropriately.*
- ($\sim\spadesuit$) There is some non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(C, \mathbf{0})$.
← ' \spadesuit is not true' formulated appropriately.

4. Examples of non-singular matrices.

(a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is non-singular.

- What to check?

‘ $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ’.

- What easiest to check?

‘The trivial solution is the only solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ ’.

- Detail of argument:

We find the reduced row-echelon form A' of A by applying row operations:

$$A \longrightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A' = I_2.$$

(Fill in the detail of the calculations.)

It follows that the only solution for $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ is the trivial solution ‘ $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ’.

Hence A is non-singular.

(b) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is non-singular.

- What to check?
' $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ '.
- What easiest to check?
'The trivial solution is the only solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ '.
- How to proceed?

(c) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & -6 & -12 \\ 5 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is non-singular.

- What to check? What easiest to check? How to proceed?

(b) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is non-singular.

- What to check?

' $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ '.

- What easiest to check?

'The trivial solution is the only solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ '.

- How to proceed?

Obtain a sequence of row operations leading to some reduced row-echelon form A'
 $A = A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A'$
See if $A' = I_3$.

(c) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & -6 & -12 \\ 5 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is non-singular.

- What to check? What easiest to check? How to proceed?

5. Examples of singular matrices.

(a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check?

‘ $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ ’.

- What easiest to check?

‘There is a non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ ’.

- Detail of argument:

We find the reduced row-echelon form A' of A by applying row operations:

$$A \longrightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/6 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that ‘ $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 7/6 \\ 5/6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ’ is a non-trivial solution for $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$.

Hence A is singular.

5. Examples of singular matrices.

(a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -4 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check?

' $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ '.

- What easiest to check?

'There is a non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ '.

- Detail of argument:

We find the reduced row-echelon form A' of A by applying row operations:

$$A \longrightarrow \dots \rightarrow A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -7/6 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This says that
or $\mathcal{LS}(A', \mathbf{0})$ read:
$$\begin{cases} x_1 - \frac{7}{6}x_3 = 0 \\ x_2 - \frac{5}{6}x_3 = 0 \\ 0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

It follows that ' $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 7/6 \\ 5/6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ' is a non-trivial solution for $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$.

Hence A is singular.

(b) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check?
' $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ '.
- What easiest to check?
'There is a non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ '.
- How to proceed?

(c) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 7 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check? What easiest to check? How to proceed?

(b) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check?
' $\mathcal{N}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ '.

- What easiest to check?

'There is a non-trivial solution for the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ '.

- How to proceed?

Obtain a sequence of row operations leading to some reduced row-echelon form A'
 $A = A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A'$
See if $A' = \begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \underline{\quad\quad\quad} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$ with an entire row of 0's at the bottom.

(c) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 7 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$. We verify that A is singular.

- What to check? What easiest to check? How to proceed?

6. **Lemma (2).** (Sufficiency criterion for non-singularity in terms of matrix multiplication.)

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

Suppose there exists some $(p \times p)$ -square matrix J such that $JC = I_p$.

Then C is non-singular.

Proof.

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

Suppose there exists some $(p \times p)$ -square matrix J such that $JC = I_p$.

[Ask: What to check? ‘ C is non-singular’.]

Which formulation is easiest to use?

‘For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.’

Now ask: How to proceed?]

Pick any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Suppose $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. [Try to deduce: ‘ $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.’]

By assumption $JC = I_p$. Then $(JC)\mathbf{v} = I_p\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$.

Recall that $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = (JC)\mathbf{v} = J(C\mathbf{v}) = J\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$.

[We have successfully deduced ‘For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, if $C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.’]

It follows that C is non-singular.

7. Natural questions to ask, as follow-up to Lemma (2).

(a) The converse of Lemma (2) reads:

Let C be a $(p \times p)$ -square matrix.

Suppose C is non-singular.

Then there exists some $(p \times p)$ -square matrix J such that $JC = I_p$.

Question. *Is the converse of Lemma (2) true?*

Answer. It will turn out to be a true statement. (But to see this, a lot of work needs to be done first.)

(b) The statement (#) is a generalization of Lemma (2):

(#) *Let C be a $(p \times q)$ -square matrix.*

Suppose there exists some $(q \times p)$ -square matrix J such that $JC = I_q$.

Then $\mathcal{N}(C) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Question. *Is the statement (#) true?*

Answer. Yes. (How to prove the answer? Exercise.)

8. More examples of non-singular matrices.

(a) I_n is non-singular.

(b) Every permutation matrix is non-singular.

An $(n \times n)$ -matrix for which there is exactly one 1 in each row and each column, and every other entry is 0 is called a permutation matrix.

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} &\bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

(c) Every orthogonal matrix is non-singular.

Why? Recall definition: An $(n \times n)$ -square matrix C is orthogonal if $C^t C = C C^t = I_n$.

Now what does Lemma (1) say?

(d) Every upper uni-triangular matrix is non-singular. (Reason: Lemma (2).) Examples:

$$\bullet A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & \delta & \epsilon \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \eta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A square matrix for which all diagonal entries are 1 and all entries below the diagonal are 0 is called an upper uni-triangular matrix.

9. More examples of singular matrices.

(a) The zero square matrix is singular.

(b) Every strictly upper triangular matrix is singular. Examples:

$$\bullet A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & \epsilon \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A square matrix for which all diagonal entries and all entries below the diagonal are 0 is called a strictly upper triangular matrix.

(c) Every square matrix with an entire column of 0's is singular.

Illustration through (4×4) -square matrices:

- Suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$. We claim that A is singular. How to see this?

Can we name a non-zero vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^4 for which $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$?

Yes, we take $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

- How about $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$? Or $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * \\ * & * & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$? Or $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix}$?

Question. How about an $(n \times n)$ -square matrices whose entries in the j -th column are all 0?

(d) Every square matrix with an entire row of 0's is singular.

Illustration through (4×4) -matrices:

- Suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We claim that A is singular. How to see this?

Apply Gaussian elimination

$$A \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow A'$$

to obtain the reduced row-echelon form A' which is row-equivalent to A .

The bottom row of A' is a row of 0's. So $A' = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The rank of A' is at most 3. The homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A', \mathbf{0})$ will have a non-trivial solution, say, ' $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}$ ', which will also be a non-trivial solution of the homogeneous system $\mathcal{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$.

Therefore A is singular.

- How about $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$? Or $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$? Or $A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$?

Question. How about an $(n \times n)$ -square matrices whose entries in the i -th row are all 0?

10. **Lemma (3).** (Special role of identity matrix amongst reduced row-echelon forms.)

Let A be an $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Suppose A is a reduced row-echelon form.

Then A is non-singular if and only if $A = I_n$.

Remark. Lemma (3) tells us that I_n is the only $(n \times n)$ -square matrix which is simultaneously a reduced row-echelon form and a non-singular matrix. Every reduced row-echelon form which is not I_n is singular.

Proof. Let A be an $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Suppose A is a reduced row-echelon form.

- Suppose $A = I_n$. Then A is non-singular.
- Suppose A is non-singular.

Note that there are r pivot columns in A , where r is the rank of A .

By definition, $r \leq n$.

Then A reads as

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots \\ \hline & \cdots & \text{all 0's} & & \cdots & \\ & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ & \cdots & \text{all 0's} & & \cdots & \end{array} \right]$$

We claim that $r = n$:

Suppose it were true that $r < n$.

Then, because the number of leading ones is strictly smaller than the number of columns, it would happen that some columns of A would fail to be a pivot column.

Furthermore, because there is the same number of rows as of columns, some rows of A would fail to contain a leading one.

Now it would happen that there was at least one row of 0's in A .

Then A would be singular. Contradiction arises.

Therefore $r = n$ is the only possibility.

Then each column of A is a pivot column. Hence $A = I_n$.

Then A reads as

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots \\ \hline \cdots & & \text{all 0's} & & \cdots & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ \cdots & & \text{all 0's} & & \cdots & \end{array} \right]$$

The above tells us that there may be zero row, or one row, or two rows, ..., or n rows of all 0's.
We want to show that there is no row of 0's.

We claim that $r = n$:

Suppose it were true that $r < n$.

Then, because the number of leading ones is strictly smaller than the number of columns, it would happen that some columns of A would fail to be a pivot column.

Furthermore, because there is the same number of rows as of columns, some rows of A would fail to contain a leading one.

Now it would happen that there was at least one row of 0's in A .

Then A would be singular. Contradiction arises.

Therefore $r = n$ is the only possibility.

Then each column of A is a pivot column. Hence $A = I_n$.