# 1. Definition. (Square matrix.)

A matrix with the same number of rows as of columns is called a square matrix.

# 2. Definition. (Non-negative powers of matrix.)

Let A be a square matrix.

For each positive integer p, we define the square matrix  $A^p$  by

$$A^{p} = \underbrace{((\cdots(((AA)A)A)\cdots)A)A}_{p \text{ copies of } A}.$$

#### Remark.

We call  $A^2$  the square of A and  $A^3$  the cube of A et cetera.

By convention, we understand  $A^1$  as A, and  $A^0$  as  $I_n$  when A is a  $(n \times n)$ -matrix.

# 1. Definition. (Square matrix.)

A matrix with the same number of rows as of columns is called a square matrix.

# 2. Definition. (Non-negative powers of matrix.)

Let A be a square matrix.

For each positive integer p, we define the square matrix  $A^p$  by

$$A^p = \underbrace{((\cdots (((AA)A)A)\cdots)A)A}_{p \text{ copies of } A}.$$

#### Remark.

We call  $A^2$  the square of A and  $A^3$  the cube of A et cetera.

By convention, we understand  $A^1$  as A, and  $A^0$  as  $I_n$  when A is a  $(n \times n)$ -matrix.

- What is it sony, in plant words?  $A^{2} = AA$   $A^{3} = (AA)A = A^{2}A$   $A^{4} = ((AA)A)A = A^{3}A$   $A^{5} = A^{4}A$   $\vdots$ 

# 3. Definition. (Idempotent matrices.)

Suppose A is a square matrix.

Then A is said to be idempotent if and only if  $A^2 = A$ .

# 4. Examples on idempotent matrices.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is idempotent.

Reason: Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{n\times n}^2 = \mathcal{O}_{n\times n}$ .

(b) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix is idempotent.

Reason: Note that  $I_n^2 = I_n$ .

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A$ .

Then A is idempotent.

(d) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A$ .

Then A is idempotent.

#### Remark.

By definition, given that A is an  $(n \times n)$ -idempotent matrix, it will happen that  $A(A-I_n) = A^2 - A = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$ .

But as suggested by the examples above, it does not follow that  $A = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$  or  $A = I_n$ .

The point in this passage is to explain what is meant by i) under Inch an assumption that whether a matrix is idemportent is considered 3. Definition. (Idempotent matrices.) This is the 'signport' for where the Suppose A is a square matrix. Then A is said to be idempotent if and only if  $A^2 = A$ . explains what it is matrix A is 4. Examples on idempotent matrices. idempotent means in terms of (a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is idempotent. something previously dephed, Reason: Note that  $(\mathcal{O}_{n\times n}^2 = \mathcal{O}_{n\times n})$ Square of matrices: (b) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix is idempotent. Reason: Note that  $I_n^2 = I_n$ . en AZ + A, A is not (c) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A$ . of course, by logic: Then A is idempotent. When A is idemportent, (d) Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A$ . · When A is not idempotent, Then A is idempotent. 42+A. Can you name two more (2x2)-matrices which one idemportent? (Check your answer.)

By definition, given that A is an  $(n \times n)$ -idempotent matrix, it will happen that  $A(A-I_n) = A^2 - A = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$ .

But as suggested by the examples above, it does not follow that  $A = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$  or  $A = I_n$ .

# Non-examples.

(a) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We have 
$$B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq B$$
.

Then B is not idempotent.

(b) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We have 
$$B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq B$$
.

Then B is not idempotent.

# Non-examples.

(a) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We have  $B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq B$ .

Then  $B$  is not idempotent.

(b) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.  
We have  $B^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq B$ .  
Then  $B$  is not idempotent.

(2x2) - matrices which are not idempotent?

(Check your answer.)

# 5. Definition. (Nilpotent matrices.)

Suppose A is a square matrix.

Then A is said to be nilpotent if and only if there is some positive integer p so that  $A^p = \mathcal{O}$ .

# 6. Examples on nilpotent matrices.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is nilpotent.

Reason: Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{n\times n}^{1} = \mathcal{O}_{n\times n}$ .

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have

Therefore A is nil-potent.

# 5. Definition. (Nilpotent matrices.)

Suppose A is a square matrix.

Then A is said to be nilpotent if and only if there is some positive integer p so that  $A^p = \mathcal{O}$ .)

# 6 Examples on nilpotent matrices.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is nilpotent.

Reason: Note that  $O_{n\times n}^{-1} = O_{n\times n}$  There exists some positive integer p handly p=1, and that  $O_{n\times n}^{-1} = O_{n\times n}$ . We have

Somewhere amongst the positive integers, there is one positive integer, which for convenience we label as p, satisfyry

AP = O

The 'value' of such a p depends on what A is.

Therefore A is nil-potent.

There exists some positive integer p, namely p=4, such that AP = U4x4

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{O}_{3\times3}$ .

Therefore A is nil-potent.

#### Remark.

It is possible for some non-zero matrix to be 'self-multiplied' for sufficiently many times to result in the zero matrix.

# Non-examples.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix is not nilpotent.

Reason: Note that  $I_n^2 = I_n$ .

Then for each positive integer p, we have  $I_n^p = I_n^{p-1} = \cdots = I_n^2 = I_n \neq \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$ .

(b) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We have  $B^2 = \cdots = B$ .

Then for each positive integer p, we have  $B^p = B \neq \mathcal{O}$ .

Then B is not nilpotent.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have  $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{O}_{3\times3}$ .

Therefore A is nil-potent.

Remark.

Can you name two more (3x)-matrices which are nilpotent? How about (4x4)-matrices? (Check your answer.)

It is possible for some non-zero matrix to be 'self-multiplied' for sufficiently many times to result in the zero matrix.

# Non-examples.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix is not nilpotent.

Reason: Note that  $I_n^2 = I_n$ .

Then for each positive integer p, we have  $I_n^p = I_n^{p-1} = \cdots = I_n^2 = I_n \neq \mathcal{O}_{n \times n}$ .

(b) Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We verify

We have  $B^2 = \cdots = B$ .

We have  $B^2 = \cdots = B$ .

The such positive integer p we have  $B^p = B \neq \mathcal{O}$ .

B'  $\neq \mathcal{O}_{3\times3}$ .

Then B is not nilpotent.

Re-formulation:
For each positive integer p.
In + Once

# 7. Definition. (Commuting matrices.)

Suppose A, B are  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices. Then A, B are said to commute with each other if and only if AB = BA. We can also say that A, B are a pair of commuting matrices.

## 8. Examples on commuting matrices.

- (a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix commute with every  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.
- (b) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix commute with every  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have 
$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}, \quad BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then AB = BA. Therefore A, B commute with each other.

(d) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have

$$AB = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad BA = \dots \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then AB = BA. Therefore A, B commute with each other.

# 7. Definition. (Commuting matrices.)

Suppose A, B are  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices. Then A, B are said to commute with each other if and only if AB = BA. We can also say that A, B are a pair of commuting matrices.

# 8. Examples on commuting matrices.

- (a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix commute with every  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.
- (b) The  $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix commute with every  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have 
$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}, \quad BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then AB = BA. Therefore A, B commute with each other.

(d) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have

$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad BA = \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then AB = BA. Therefore A, B commute with each other.

Name to be introduce for convenience in communications.

Can you generalize this
example to other (2x2)matrices?
How about (3x3)-matrices
and (4x4)-matrices?
Check your answer.

# Non-examples.

(a) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have 
$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $AB \neq BA$ . Therefore A, B do not commute.

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have 
$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $AB \neq BA$ . Therefore A, B do not commute.

#### Remark.

As suggested by these non-examples on commuting matrices, there is no such thing as the 'Law of Commutativity for matrix multiplication'.

Formally speaking, the statement below is false:

Let n be an integer greater than 1. Suppose A, B are  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Then AB = BA. There is something non-trivial for a pair of square matrices to commute. Non-examples.

(a) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have  $AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $AB \neq BA$ . Therefore  $A, B$  do not commute.

(2x2) - matrices?

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have 
$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad BA = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $AB \neq BA$ . Therefore A, B do not commute.

### Remark.

As suggested by these non-examples on commuting matrices, there is no such thing as the Law of Commutativity for matrix multiplication'.

Formally speaking, the statement below is false:

Let n be an integer greater than 1. Suppose A, B are  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Then AB = BA. There is something non-trivial for a pair of square matrices to commute.

A This is the post in the definition for the notion of commuting matrices.

# 9. Definition. (Lie product for square matrices.)

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices with real entries.

The  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix AB - BA is called the Lie product of A, B, and is denoted by [A, B].

**Remark.** [A, B] 'measures' how far AB and BA differ from each other.

#### 10. Examples on Lie product.

Let 
$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have 
$$JK = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $KJ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $[J, K] = JK - KJ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

L.

Similarly [K, L] = J, and [L, J] = K. (Fill in the detail.)

We also have  $[I_n, J] = [I_n, K] = [I_n, L] = \mathcal{O}_{3\times 3}$ .

This is another format for definitions: we give a name and a symbol for a certain type of Spects.

9. Definition. (Lie product for square matrices.)

Let A, B be  $(n \times n)$ -square matrices with real entries.

The  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix (AB - BA) is called the Lie product of A, B, and is denoted by (A, B).

**Remark.** [A, B] 'measures' how far AB and BA differ from each other.

10. Examples on Lie product.

Let 
$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have 
$$JK = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $KJ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $[J, K] = JK - KJ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

L.

Similarly [K, L] = J, and [L, J] = K. (Fill in the detail.)

We also have  $[I_n, J] = [I_n, K] = [I_n, L] = \mathcal{O}_{3\times 3}$ .

# 11. Definition. (Invertible matrices.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

- (a) Suppose B is a  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Further suppose  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ . Then we say B is a matrix inverse of A.
- (b) A is said to be invertible if and only if A has a matrix inverse.

# 12. Examples on invertible matrices.

(a) The identity matrix is invertible.

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $BA = \cdots = I_2$  and  $AB = \cdots = I_2$ .

Then A is invertible, and B is a matrix inverse of A.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
, and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $BA = \cdots = I_3$  and  $AB = \cdots = I_3$ .

Then A is invertible, and B is a matrix inverse of A.

# In (a), we are explaining what 'B is a matrix inverse of A' means, in terms of something introduced previously: (Let A be an $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.) B is a matrix inverse of A exactly when (B is a square matrix and) BA=In and AB=In.

- (a) Suppose B is a  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Further suppose  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ . Then we say B is a matrix inverse of A.
- (b) A is said to be invertible if and only if A has a matrix inverse.  $\blacktriangleleft$ In (6), we are explaining

# 12. Examples on invertible matrices.

Examples on invertible matrices.

(a) The identity matrix is invertible.

(b) The identity matrix is invertible.

(c) BIn=In=In=In. Also InB=In=In.

(d) The identity matrix is invertible.

(e) BIn=In=In=In. Also InB=In=In.

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $BA = \cdots = I_2$  and  $AB = \cdots = I_2$ .

Then A is invertible, and B is a matrix inverse of A.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
, and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $BA = \cdots = I_3$  and  $AB = \cdots = I_3$ .

Then A is invertible, and B is a matrix inverse of A.

# Non-examples.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is not invertible.

Reason: For any  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix B, it happens that  $\mathcal{O}_{n \times n} B = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n} \neq I_n$ .

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Pick any (3×3)-matrix B. Denote the (i, j)-th entry of B by  $b_{ij}$ . (So  $B = \begin{bmatrix} o_{11} & o_{12} & o_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ .)

We have

$$AB = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} b_{21} + b_{31} & b_{22} + b_{32} & b_{23} + b_{33} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The (3,3)-th entry of AB is 0.

Therefore  $AB \neq I_3$ . (This happens no matter what B is in the first place.)

Hence A is not invertible.

An  $(n\times n)$ -matrix A is not invertible exactly when A does not have a matrix inverse.

Pre-formulation:

No matter which  $(n\times n)$ -matrix B is selected, it happens that at least one of BA=In', AB=In' fails to hold.

# Non-examples.

(a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is not invertible.

Reason: For any  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix B, it happens that  $\mathcal{O}_{n \times n} B = \mathcal{O}_{n \times n} \neq I_n$ .

(b) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We verify:

No matter which  $(3x3)$  - matrix  $B$  is selected, it happens that  $AB = I_3$  fails to hold.

Pick any (3×3)-matrix B. Denote the (i, j)-th entry of B by  $b_{ij}$ . (So  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ .)

We have

$$AB = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} b_{21} + b_{31} & b_{22} + b_{32} & b_{23} + b_{33} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 This has no chance to be  $I_3$ 

The (3,3)-th entry of AB is 0.

Therefore  $AB \neq I_3$ . (This happens no matter what B is in the first place.) Hence A is not invertible.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Pick any  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix B. Denote the (i, j)-th entry of B by  $b_{ij}$ . (So  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ .)

We have

$$AB = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} + 2b_{21} & b_{12} + 2b_{22} \\ 2b_{11} + 4b_{21} & 2b_{12} + 4b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 2\alpha & 2\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

in which  $\alpha = b_{11} + 2b_{21}$  and  $\beta = b_{12} + 2b_{22}$ .

Then the entries in the first column of AB are all zero, or all non-zero. Therefore  $AB \neq I_2$ . (This happens no matter what B is in the first place.)

Hence A is not invertible.

#### Remark.

As suggested by these non-examples on matrix inverse, there is no such thing as the 'Law of Existence of Inverse for matrix multiplication'.

Formally speaking, the statement below is false:

Let n be an integer greater than 1. Suppose A is a non-zero  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Then there exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix B such that  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ .

There is something non-trivial for a square matrix to be invertible.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We verify:

No matter which  $(2x2)$  - matrix is selected,

it happens that 'AB =  $\overline{L}z$ ' fails to hold.

Pick any  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix B. Denote the (i, j)-th entry of B by  $b_{ij}$ . (So  $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ .)

We have

$$AB = \dots = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} + 2b_{21} & b_{12} + 2b_{22} \\ 2b_{11} + 4b_{21} & 2b_{12} + 4b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 2\alpha & 2\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

in which  $\alpha = b_{11} + 2b_{21}$  and  $\beta = b_{12} + 2b_{22}$ .

Then the entries in the first column of AB are all zero, or all non-zero. Therefore  $AB \neq I_2$ . (This happens no matter what B is in the first place.)

Hence A is not invertible.

#### Remark.

As suggested by these non-examples on matrix inverse, there is no such thing as the 'Law of Existence of Inverse for matrix multiplication'.

Formally speaking, the statement below is false:

Let n be an integer greater than 1. Suppose A is a non-zero  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix. Then there exists some  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix B such that  $BA = I_n$  and  $AB = I_n$ .

There is something non-trivial for a square matrix to be invertible.

# 13. **Definition.** (Transpose.)

Let A be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, whose (i, j)-th entry is denoted by  $a_{ij}$ .

The  $(n \times m)$ -matrix whose  $(k, \ell)$ -th entry is given by  $a_{\ell k}$  is called the transpose of A, and is denoted by  $A^t$ .

$$(So\ A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where as } A^t = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.)$$

# 13. Definition. (Transpose.)

Let A be an  $(m \times n)$ -matrix, whose (i, j)-th entry is denoted by  $a_{ij}$ .

The  $(n \times m)$ -matrix whose  $(k, \ell)$ -th entry is given by  $a_{\ell k}$  is called the transpose of A, and is denoted by  $A^t$ .

$$(So\ A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where as } A^t = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{m1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{m2} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{m3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.)$$

$$\vdots + t \quad tow \ A \qquad \qquad i-tt \ clum \ A \qquad \qquad j-tt \ row \ A \qquad j-tt \ row \ A$$

# 14. Examples on transpose.

Suppose 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then 
$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Note that 
$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then  $(A + B)^t = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

We have 
$$A^t + B^t = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. So  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$  (in this example).

(b) Note that 
$$AC = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 13 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then  $(AC)^t = = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 13 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$   
We have  $C^tA^t = \cdots = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 13 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $(AC)^t = C^tA^t$  (in this example).

# 15. Definition. (Symmetric matrix and Skew-symmetric matrix.)

Let A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

- (a) A is said to be symmetric if  $A^t = A$ .
- (b) A is said to be skew-symmetric if  $A^t = -A$ .

# 16. Examples and non-examples on symmetric matrices and skew-symmetric matrices.

- (a) The  $(n \times n)$ -zero matrix is a symmetric matrix. It is also a skew-symmetric matrix.
- (b) The identity matrix is a symmetric matrix. It is not skew-symmetric.

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Note that 
$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = A$$
. Then  $A$  is symmetric.

Note that  $A^t \neq -A$ . Then A is not skew-symmetric.

(d) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \\ -2 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Note that 
$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -A$$
. Then  $A$  is skew-symmetric.

Note that  $A^t \neq A$ . Then A is not symmetric.

(e) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Note that  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $B^t \neq B$ . Then B is not symmetric.

We have  $B^t \neq -B$ . Then B is not skew-symmetric.

(f) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Note that  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We have  $B^t \neq B$ . Then B is not symmetric.

We have  $B^t \neq -B$ . Then B is not skew-symmetric.

# 17. Definition. (Orthogonal matrix.)

Suppose A be an  $(n \times n)$ -square matrix.

Then A is said to be orthogonal if  $AA^t = I_n$  and  $A^tA = I_n$ .

**Remark.** By definition, an orthogonal matrix is invertible, and its matrix inverse is its transpose.

# 18. Examples on orthogonal matrices.

(a) The identity matrix is an orthogonal matrix.

(b) Let 
$$\theta$$
 be a real number, and  $A_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $A_{\theta}^{t} = B_{\theta}$ .

We have  $A_{\theta}A_{\theta}^{t} = A_{\theta}B_{\theta} = \cdots = I_{2}$  and  $A_{\theta}^{t}A_{\theta} = \ldots = I_{2}$ .

Then  $A_{\theta}$  is an orthogonal matrix.

Similarly, we deduce that  $B_{\theta}$  is an orthogonal matrix.

(In fact, every  $(2 \times 2)$ -orthogonal matrix is given by  $A_{\theta}$  or  $B_{\theta}$  for some real number  $\theta$ .)

(c) Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0\\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/\sqrt{2}\\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

We have 
$$A^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Then  $AA^t = \cdots = I_3$  and  $A^tA = \cdots = I_3$ .

Therefore A is an orthogonal matrix.

# Non-examples.

(a) Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $BB^t = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $BB^t \neq I_2$ . Then B is not an orthogonal matrix.

(b) Let 
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have  $B^t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $BB^t = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 10 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note that  $BB^t \neq I_2$ . Then B is not an orthogonal matrix.