

# MMAT5390: Mathematical Image Processing

## Solutions to Chapter 1 Exercises

1.  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = H(\alpha + (\beta - 1)N, x + (y - 1)N)$ .  
 $h(2, 3, 2, 1) = H(3, 5) = 0$ ,  $h(1, 2, 2, 3) = H(8, 4) = 0$ .

2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} h(1, 1, 1, 1) &= 8, h(2, 1, 1, 1) = 12, h(1, 1, 2, 1) = 16, h(2, 1, 2, 1) = 24, \\ h(1, 2, 1, 1) &= 16, h(2, 2, 1, 1) = 4, h(1, 2, 2, 1) = 32, h(2, 2, 2, 1) = 8, \\ h(1, 1, 1, 2) &= 6, h(2, 1, 1, 2) = 9, h(1, 1, 2, 2) = 4, h(2, 1, 2, 2) = 6, \\ h(1, 2, 1, 2) &= 12, h(2, 2, 1, 2) = 3, h(1, 2, 2, 2) = 8, h(2, 2, 2, 2) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $g_1 : \{1, 2\}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_1(1, 1) = 2, g_1(2, 1) = 3, g_1(1, 2) = 4, g_1(2, 2) = 1$$

and  $g_2 : \{1, 2\}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_2(1, 1) = 4, g_2(2, 1) = 8, g_2(1, 2) = 3, g_2(2, 2) = 2.$$

As  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = g_1(x, \alpha)g_2(y, \beta)$  for all  $1 \leq x, \alpha, y, \beta \leq 2$ ,  $h$  is separable.

Alternatively, observe that

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 12 & 16 & 24 \\ 16 & 4 & 32 & 8 \\ 6 & 9 & 4 & 6 \\ 12 & 3 & 8 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $h$  is separable.

3. Suppose there exists  $g : \{-1, 0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = g(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$  for all  $1 \leq x, \alpha, y, \beta \leq 2$ .

Then  $3 = h(2, 1, 1, 1) = g(-1, 0) = h(2, 1, 2, 2) = 1$ . Contradiction.

Hence  $h$  is not shift-invariant.

Alternatively, observe that  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not Toeplitz; thus  $h$  is not shift-invariant.

4. Let  $h$  be the shift-invariant PSF of a linear image transformation on  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $h_s$   $n$ -periodic in both arguments such that  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$ . Let  $H$  be the corresponding transformation matrix.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(\alpha + (\beta + a - 1)n, x + (y + a - 1)n) &= h(x, \alpha, y + a, \beta + a) \\ &= h_s(\alpha - x, (\beta + a) - (y + a)) \\ &= h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - y) \\ &= h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) \\ &= H(\alpha + (\beta - 1)n, x + (y - 1)n). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by periodicity of  $h_s$ , for  $y \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n - 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\alpha + (n - 1)n, x + (y - 1)n) &= h(x, \alpha, y, n) \\ &= h_s(\alpha - x, n - y) \\ &= h_s(\alpha - x, 1 - (y + 1)) \\ &= h(x, \alpha, y + 1, 1) \\ &= H(\alpha, x + yn) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\beta \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n-1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\alpha + (\beta - 1)n, x + (n - 1)n) &= h(x, \alpha, n, \beta) \\
&= h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - n) \\
&= h_s(\alpha - x, (\beta + 1) - 1) \\
&= h(x, \alpha, 1, \beta + 1) \\
&= H(\alpha + \beta n, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H$  is circulant when viewed as a matrix consisting of blocks of fixed  $(y, \beta)$ -values. Combined with the result of Theorem 1.13, we establish that  $H$  is block-circulant.

5. Let  $h$  be the separable PSF of a linear image transformation, with  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_c(x, \alpha)h_r(y, \beta)$ . Let  $H$  be the corresponding transformation matrix.

Then the  $y = k, \beta = l$ -submatrix of  $H$  (denoted by  $\tilde{H}_{kl}$ ) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = k \\ \beta = l \end{array} \right) &= [H(\alpha + (l - 1)n, x + (k - 1)n)]_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n}} \\
&= [h(x, \alpha, k, l)]_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n}} \\
&= [h_c(x, \alpha)h_r(k, l)]_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n}} \\
&= h_r(k, l)[h_c(x, \alpha)]_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq n}} \\
&= h_r(k, l)h_c^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \left( \begin{array}{cccc} \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 1 \\ \beta = 1 \end{array} \right) & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 2 \\ \beta = 1 \end{array} \right) & \cdots & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = n \\ \beta = 1 \end{array} \right) \\ \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 1 \\ \beta = 2 \end{array} \right) & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 2 \\ \beta = 2 \end{array} \right) & \cdots & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = n \\ \beta = 2 \end{array} \right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 1 \\ \beta = n \end{array} \right) & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = 2 \\ \beta = n \end{array} \right) & \cdots & \left( \alpha \downarrow \begin{array}{c} x \rightarrow \\ y = n \\ \beta = n \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_{11} & \tilde{H}_{21} & \cdots & \tilde{H}_{n1} \\ \tilde{H}_{12} & \tilde{H}_{22} & \cdots & \tilde{H}_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{H}_{1n} & \tilde{H}_{2n} & \cdots & \tilde{H}_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_r(1, 1)h_c^T & h_r(2, 1)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r(n, 1)h_c^T \\ h_r(1, 2)h_c^T & h_r(2, 2)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r(n, 2)h_c^T \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_r(1, n)h_c^T & h_r(2, n)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r(n, n)h_c^T \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} h_r^T(1, 1)h_c^T & h_r^T(1, 2)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r^T(1, n)h_c^T \\ h_r^T(2, 1)h_c^T & h_r^T(2, 2)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r^T(2, n)h_c^T \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_r^T(n, 1)h_c^T & h_r^T(n, 2)h_c^T & \cdots & h_r^T(n, n)h_c^T \end{pmatrix} = h_r^T \otimes h_c^T.
\end{aligned}$$

6. Assume  $f$  and  $H$  are periodically extended.

(a)  $H * f$  is the  $5 \times 5$  matrix whose entries are given by

$$H * f(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{n=-2}^2 H(m, n)f(\alpha - m, \beta - n).$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
H * f(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{n=-2}^2 H(m, n) f(\alpha - m, \beta - n) \\
&= \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{n=-2}^2 a_{m+3} b_{n+3} f(\alpha - m, \beta - n) \\
&= \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{n=-2}^2 H_1(m, 0) H_2(0, n) f(\alpha - m, \beta - n) \\
&= \sum_{n=-2}^2 H_2(0, n) \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{n'=-2}^2 H_1(m, n') f(\alpha - m, \beta - n - n') \\
&= \sum_{n=-2}^2 H_2(0, n) H_1 * f(\alpha, \beta - n) \\
&= \sum_{m'=-2}^2 \sum_{n=-2}^2 H_2(m', n) H_1 * f(\alpha - m', \beta - n) \\
&= H_2 * (H_1 * f)(\alpha, \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H * f = H_1 * (H_2 * f)$ .

7. (a) Assume  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$  are periodically extended.

The discrete convolution  $I_1 * I_2$  of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  is the  $(2N + 1) \times (2N + 1)$  matrix whose entries are defined by

$$I_1 * I_2(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I_1(m, n) I_2(\alpha - m, \beta - n).$$

Let  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ , and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(I_1 + cI_2) &= (I_1 + cI_2) * H \\
&= \left[ \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N (I_1 + cI_2)(m, n) H(\alpha - m, \beta - n) \right]_{-N \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N} \\
&= \left[ \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N [I_1(m, n) H(\alpha - m, \beta - n) + cI_2(m, n) H(\alpha - m, \beta - n)] \right]_{-N \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N} \\
&= [I_1 * H(\alpha, \beta) + cI_2 * H(\alpha, \beta)]_{-N \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N} \\
&= I_1 * H + cI_2 * H \\
&= \mathcal{O}(I_1) + c\mathcal{O}(I_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{O}$  is linear.

For any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the PSF  $h$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{x=-N}^N \sum_{y=-N}^N h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) I(x, y) = I * H(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) H(\alpha - m, \beta - n),$$

and thus  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = H(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$ .

Hence  $h$  is shift-invariant.

(b) Let  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I * (H_1 * H_2)(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) H_1 * H_2(\alpha - m, \beta - n) \\
&= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m'=-N}^N \sum_{n'=-N}^N H_1(m', n') H_2(\alpha - m - m', \beta - n - n')
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m''=-N+m}^{N+m} \sum_{n''=-N+n}^{N+n} H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \left( \sum_{m''=-N+m}^{-N-1} + \sum_{m''=-N}^{N+m} \right) \sum_{n''=-N+n}^{N+n} H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') & \text{if } -N \leq m \leq 0 \\ \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \left( \sum_{m''=-N+m}^N + \sum_{m''=N+1}^{N+m} \right) \sum_{n''=-N+n}^{N+n} H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq N \end{cases} \\
&= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m''=-N}^N \sum_{n''=-N+n}^{N+n} H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m''=-N}^N \left( \sum_{n''=-N+n}^{-N-1} + \sum_{n''=-N}^{N+n} \right) H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') & \text{if } -N \leq n \leq 0 \\ \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m''=-N}^N \left( \sum_{n''=-N+n}^N + \sum_{n''=N+1}^{N+n} \right) H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq N \end{cases} \\
&= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) \sum_{m''=-N}^N \sum_{n''=-N}^N H_1(m''-m, n''-n) H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') \\
&= \sum_{m''=-N}^N \sum_{n''=-N}^N I * H_1(m'', n'') H_2(\alpha-m'', \beta-n'') \\
&= (I * H_1) * H_2(\alpha, \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I * (H_1 * H_2) = (I * H_1) * H_2$ .

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
I * H(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{m=-N}^N \sum_{n=-N}^N I(m, n) H(\alpha-m, \beta-n) \\
&= \sum_{m'=\alpha-N}^{\alpha+N} \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^{\beta+N} I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') H(m', n') \\
&= \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{m'=\alpha-N}^{-N-1} + \sum_{m'=-N}^{\alpha+N} \right) \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^{\beta+N} I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') H(m', n') & \text{if } -N \leq \alpha \leq 0 \\ \left( \sum_{m'=\alpha-N}^N + \sum_{m'=N+1}^{\alpha+N} \right) \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^{\beta+N} I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') H(m', n') & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha \leq N \end{cases} \\
&= \sum_{m'=-N}^N \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^{\beta+N} H(m', n') I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{m'=-N}^N \left( \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^{-N-1} + \sum_{n'=-N}^{\beta+N} \right) H(m', n') I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') & \text{if } -N \leq \beta \leq 0 \\ \sum_{m'=-N}^N \left( \sum_{n'=\beta-N}^N + \sum_{n'=N+1}^{\beta+N} \right) H(m', n') I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') & \text{if } 1 \leq \beta \leq N \end{cases} \\
&= \sum_{m'=-N}^N \sum_{n'=-N}^N H(m', n') I(\alpha-m', \beta-n') \\
&= H * I(\alpha, \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I * H = H * I$ .

8. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{kl} &= (UfV^T)_{kl} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N u_{ki}(fV^T)_{il} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N u_{ki} \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}v_{lj}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}\vec{u}_i\vec{v}_j^T\right)_{kl} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}(\vec{u}_i\vec{v}_j^T)_{kl} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}u_{ki}v_{lj}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}\vec{u}_i\vec{v}_j^T$ .

(b) Suppose  $f$  is diagonal. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(g) &= \sum_{k=1}^N g_{kk} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_{ij}u_{ki}v_{kj} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N f_{ll}u_{kl}v_{kl}.
\end{aligned}$$

9. Let  $f, g \in M_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(f + cg) &= \sum_{n=1}^N N_n(f + cg)V_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N N_n f V_n + c \sum_{n=1}^N N_n g V_n \\
&= \mathcal{S}f + c\mathcal{S}g.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{S}$  is linear.

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^N N_n^T \vec{f} V_n^T &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N N_n^T N_m f V_m V_n^T \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \delta(n-m) I_N f E_{m,n} \\
&= f \sum_{n=1}^N E_{n,n} = f,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{m,n}$  is the  $N \times N$  matrix with all entries zero except  $E_{m,n}(m, n) = 1$ .

10. (a) i. Let  $h_s(u, v) = \begin{cases} |uv| & \text{if } |u| \leq 2, |v| \leq 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Then  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$ .

Hence  $h$  is shift-invariant.

ii. Let  $h_r(x, \alpha) = \begin{cases} |\alpha - x| & \text{if } |\alpha - x| \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  and  $h_c(y, \beta) = \begin{cases} |\beta - y| & \text{if } |\beta - y| \leq 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Then  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_r(x, \alpha)h_c(y, \beta)$ .

Hence  $h$  is separable.

(b) i. Let  $h_s(u, v) = \sqrt{u^4 + v^3}$ .

Then  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$ .

Hence  $h$  is shift-invariant.

ii. Suppose  $h$  is separable, i.e. there exists functions  $h_r$  and  $h_c$  such that  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_r(x, \alpha)h_c(y, \beta)$ .

Then  $h_r(0, 0)h_c(0, 0) = h(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$ , which implies  $h_r(0, 0) = 0$  or  $h_c(0, 0) = 0$ .

On the other hand,  $h_r(0, 0)h_c(1, 0) = h(0, 0, 1, 0) = 1$  and  $h_r(1, 0)h_c(0, 0) = h(1, 0, 0, 0) = 1$ , which imply  $h_r(0, 0) \neq 0$  and  $h_c(0, 0) \neq 0$ . Contradiction.

Hence  $h$  is not separable.

(c) i. Let  $h_s(u, v) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{17 - u^3 - v^2} & \text{if } |u| \leq 2, |v| \leq 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Then  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_s(\alpha - x, \beta - y)$ .

Hence  $h$  is shift-invariant.

ii. Suppose  $h$  is separable, i.e. there exist functions  $h_r$  and  $h_c$  such that  $h(x, \alpha, y, \beta) = h_r(x, \alpha)h_c(y, \beta)$ .

Then  $h_r(0, 0)h_c(0, 0) = h(0, 0, 0, 0) = \sqrt{17}$ ,

$h_r(1, 0)h_c(0, 0) = h(1, 0, 0, 0) = 4$ ,

$h_r(0, 0)h_c(1, 0) = h(0, 0, 1, 0) = 4$  and

$h_r(1, 0)h_c(1, 0) = h(1, 0, 1, 0) = \sqrt{15}$ .

But then

$$\begin{aligned} 16 &= [h_r(1, 0)h_c(0, 0)][h_r(0, 0)h_c(1, 0)] \\ &= [h_r(0, 0)h_c(0, 0)][h_r(1, 0)h_c(1, 0)] \\ &= \sqrt{255}. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction.

Hence  $h$  is not separable.

11. (a) Note that  $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$  are Toeplitz, and that  $H_1$  is circulant (hence Toeplitz) when viewed as a matrix of  $2 \times 2$  blocks.

Hence  $H_1$  is block-Toeplitz, and thus represents a shift-invariant linear transformation on  $2 \times 2$  images.

On the other hand, as  $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  is not circulant,  $H_1$  is not block-circulant. Hence  $h_s$  is not 2-periodic in some of its arguments.

(b) Note that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 8 & 9 \\ 9 & 2 & 8 \\ 8 & 9 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  are circulant, and that  $H_2$  is circulant when viewed as a matrix of  $3 \times 3$  blocks.

Hence  $H_2$  is block-circulant, and thus represents a shift-invariant linear transformation on  $3 \times 3$  images with  $h_s$  being 3-periodic in both arguments.

12. (a) Note that

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $H_1$  represents a separable linear transformation on  $2 \times 2$  images.

(b) Suppose  $H_2$  represents a separable linear transformation on  $3 \times 3$  images. Then there exists  $A, B \in M_{3 \times 3}$  such that  $H_2 = A \otimes B$ .

Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = a_{11}B$  whereas  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix} = a_{21}B$ .

But then  $6 = (a_{11}b_{11})(a_{21}b_{12}) = (a_{11}b_{12})(a_{21}b_{11}) = 10$ . Contradiction.

Hence  $H_2$  does not represent a separable linear transformation on  $3 \times 3$  images.

13. (a) Let  $1 \leq \alpha \leq m$  and  $1 \leq \beta \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(i, j)g(\alpha - i, \beta - j) \\
&= \sum_{i'=\alpha-m}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j'=\beta-n}^{\beta-1} f(\alpha - i', \beta - j')g(i', j') \\
&= \left( \sum_{i'=\alpha-m}^0 + \sum_{i'=1}^{\alpha-1} \right) \left( \sum_{j'=\beta-n}^0 + \sum_{j'=1}^{\beta-1} \right) g(i', j')f(\alpha - i', \beta - j') \\
&= \sum_{i'=1}^m \sum_{j'=1}^n g(i', j')f(\alpha - i', \beta - j') \\
&= g * f(\alpha, \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f * g = g * f$ .

(b) For simplicity (and to guide the indexing of  $f * g$ ), we only consider the cases where  $f$  and  $g$  are indexed with the same set of indices.

If  $f$  and  $g$  are indexed with  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ , i.e. if

$$f = (f(i, j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g = (g(i, j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g(1, 1) &= f(1, 1)g(2, 2) + f(1, 2)g(2, 1) + f(2, 1)g(1, 2) + f(2, 2)g(1, 1) \\
&= 1 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 0 = 18, \\
f * g(1, 2) &= f(1, 1)g(2, 1) + f(1, 2)g(2, 2) + f(2, 1)g(1, 1) + f(2, 2)g(1, 2) \\
&= 1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 0 + 4 \cdot 3 = 24, \\
f * g(2, 1) &= f(1, 1)g(1, 2) + f(1, 2)g(1, 1) + f(2, 1)g(2, 2) + f(2, 2)g(2, 1) \\
&= 1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 2 = 26, \text{ and} \\
f * g(2, 2) &= f(1, 1)g(1, 1) + f(1, 2)g(1, 2) + f(2, 1)g(2, 1) + f(2, 2)g(2, 2) \\
&= 1 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 5 = 32,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } f * g = (f * g(i, j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 24 \\ 26 & 32 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are indexed with  $0 \leq i, j \leq 1$ , i.e. if

$$f = (f(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g = (g(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
f * g(0, 0) &= f(0, 0)g(0, 0) + f(0, 1)g(0, 1) + f(1, 0)g(1, 0) + f(1, 1)g(1, 1) \\
&= 1 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 5 = 32, \\
f * g(0, 1) &= f(0, 0)g(0, 1) + f(0, 1)g(0, 0) + f(1, 0)g(1, 1) + f(1, 1)g(1, 0) \\
&= 1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 2 = 26, \\
f * g(1, 0) &= f(0, 0)g(1, 0) + f(0, 1)g(1, 1) + f(1, 0)g(0, 0) + f(1, 1)g(0, 1) \\
&= 1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 0 + 4 \cdot 3 = 24, \text{ and} \\
f * g(1, 1) &= f(0, 0)g(1, 1) + f(0, 1)g(1, 0) + f(1, 0)g(0, 1) + f(1, 1)g(0, 0) \\
&= 1 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 0 = 18,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $f * g = (f * g(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 26 \\ 24 & 18 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is the same as the previous example upon periodic extension.

One may verify that the result is the same when the indexing is

$$0 \leq i \leq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 2$$

or

$$1 \leq i \leq 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

and confirm that  $f * g$  takes the same values regardless of indexing, since all other index sets are periodic shifts from the above four.

**Remark.** In this example, since  $f, g \in M_{2 \times 2}$ ,  $f * g$  does not depend on the indexing of  $f$  and  $g$ . In general, however, when  $f$  and  $g$  are indexed with different sets of indices, results may differ in the sense that they are not the same even with periodic extension.

A simple example is  $f = g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which gives  $f * g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  when indexed with  $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$  and  $f * g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  when indexed with  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . Even after periodic extension, these results differ by a shift.

14.  $\|\cdot\|_p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\|v\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for any } \vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(a) For any  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\|v\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0.$$

Since  $\vec{0} = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ zeros}}^T$ ,

$$\|\vec{0}\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 0^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0.$$

On the other hand, for any  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|v\|_p = 0$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p = 0,$$

$$|v_i|^p = 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n$$

and thus  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

Hence  $\|v\|_p = 0$  if and only if  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

(b) This triangle inequality of  $p$ -norms is precisely Minkowski's inequality. For self-containment, we will establish it from scratch.

Let  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For  $p = 1$ ,

$$\|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|v_i| + |w_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i| = \|\vec{v}\|_1 + \|\vec{w}\|_1.$$

For  $p > 1$ , we will require Hölder's inequality, which is in turn derived from Young's inequality.



### Young's inequality

Let  $a, b \geq 0$ , and let  $p, q > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}.$$

#### Proof

WLOG assume  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ .

Notice that  $f(t) = \ln(t)$  is concave on the positive real axis:

$$f''(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} < 0 \text{ for any } t \in (0, +\infty).$$

Then for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$t \ln(a^p) + (1-t) \ln(b^q) \leq \ln(ta^p + (1-t)b^q). \quad (1)$$

The constraint  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  restricts  $p, q \geq 1$ .

Hence by substituting  $t = \frac{1}{p}$  into (1),

$$\ln(a) + \ln(b) \leq \ln\left(\frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}\right),$$

and the result follows from exponentiating both sides.

### Hölder's inequality

Let  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T, \vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $p, q > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|\vec{v}\|_p \|\vec{w}\|_q.$$

#### Proof

By (a), if  $\|\vec{v}\|_p = 0$ , then  $\vec{v} = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i = 0$ .

WLOG assume  $\|\vec{v}\|_p \neq 0$  and  $\|\vec{w}\|_q \neq 0$ .

Then  $\|\frac{\vec{v}}{\|\vec{v}\|_p}\|_p = \|\frac{\vec{w}}{\|\vec{w}\|_q}\|_q = 1$ .

Then by Young's inequality,

$$\frac{v_i}{\|\vec{v}\|_p} \frac{w_i}{\|\vec{w}\|_q} \leq \frac{|v_i|}{\|\vec{v}\|_p} \frac{|w_i|}{\|\vec{w}\|_q} \leq \frac{|v_i|^p}{p \|\vec{v}\|_p^p} + \frac{|w_i|^q}{q \|\vec{w}\|_q^q},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i w_i &\leq \|\vec{v}\|_p \|\vec{w}\|_q \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|v_i|^p}{p \|\vec{v}\|_p^p} + \frac{|w_i|^q}{q \|\vec{w}\|_q^q} \right) \\ &= \|\vec{v}\|_p \|\vec{w}\|_q \left( \frac{1}{p \|\vec{v}\|_p^p} \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p + \frac{1}{q \|\vec{w}\|_q^q} \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i|^q \right) \\ &= \|\vec{v}\|_p \|\vec{w}\|_q \left( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right) = \|\vec{v}\|_p \|\vec{w}\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

### Minkowski's inequality

Let  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T, \vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $p \geq 1$ .

Then

$$\|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|_p \leq \|\vec{v}\|_p + \|\vec{w}\|_p.$$

#### Proof

As we have covered  $p = 1$ , suppose  $p > 1$ .

Let  $q > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_i + w_i|^p &= |v_i + w_i| |v_i + w_i|^{p-1} \\ &\leq |v_i| |v_i + w_i|^{p-1} + |w_i| |v_i + w_i|^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|_p^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| |v_i + w_i|^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n |w_i| |v_i + w_i|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \|\vec{v}\|_p \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i|^{pq-q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \|\vec{w}\|_p \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i|^{pq-q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= (\|\vec{v}\|_p + \|\vec{w}\|_p) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i + w_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = (\|\vec{v}\|_p + \|\vec{w}\|_p) \|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|_p^{\frac{p}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|_p^{p(1-\frac{1}{q})} \leq \|\vec{v}\|_p + \|\vec{w}\|_p,$$

which is the desired result since  $p(1 - \frac{1}{q}) = p \cdot \frac{1}{p} = 1$ .

(c) Let  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|c\vec{v}\|_p &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |cv_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( |c|^p \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= |c| \|\vec{v}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a vector norm.

15. As the vector  $p$ -norms are vector norms, the fact that entrywise norms are matrix norms directly follows from the linearity of the stacking operator:

(a) Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\|A\|_{p,e} = \|\mathcal{S}A\|_p \geq 0.$$

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}). \text{ Then}$$

$$\|\mathbf{0}\|_{p,e} = \|\mathcal{S}\mathbf{0}\|_p = \|\vec{\mathbf{0}}\|_p = 0,$$

where  $\vec{\mathbf{0}} = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{mn \text{ zeros}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the vector  $p$ -norm on  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ .

For any  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|A\|_{p,e} = 0$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{S}A\|_p = 0 \text{ and thus } \mathcal{S}A = \vec{\mathbf{0}},$$

which implies  $A = \mathbf{0}$ .

(b) For any  $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|A + B\|_{p,e} &= \|\mathcal{S}(A + B)\|_p \\ &= \|\mathcal{S}A + \mathcal{S}B\|_p \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{S}A\|_p + \|\mathcal{S}B\|_p \\ &= \|A\|_{p,e} + \|B\|_{p,e}.\end{aligned}$$

(c) For any  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|cA\|_{p,e} &= \|\mathcal{S}(cA)\|_p \\ &= \|c\mathcal{S}A\|_p \\ &= |c| \|\mathcal{S}A\|_p \\ &= |c| \|A\|_{p,e}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we go to first principles for the induced norms.

Let  $\|\cdot\|' : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\|\cdot\|'' : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be vector norms.

Define  $\|\cdot\| : M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'},$$

where  $\vec{0}' = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ zeros}}^T$ .

(a) Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|'$  and  $\|\cdot\|''$  are vector norms, for any  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}'\}$ ,  $\|\vec{x}\|' > 0$ ,  $\|A\vec{x}\|'' \geq 0$  and thus

$$\frac{\|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \geq 0.$$

Taking supremum over all such  $\vec{x}$ ,  $\|A\| \geq 0$ .

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then  $\mathbf{0}\vec{x} = \vec{0}''$  for any  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\vec{0}'' = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{m \text{ zeros}}^T$ , and thus

$$\|\mathbf{0}\| = \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|\mathbf{0}\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} = \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|\vec{0}''\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} = 0.$$

For any  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\|A\| = 0$ ,  $\sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} = 0$ , i.e.

$$\text{for any } \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\vec{0}'\}, \|A\vec{x}\|'' \leq 0\|\vec{x}\|' = 0.$$

Combined with the fact that  $\|\cdot\|''$  is a vector norm,  $\|A\vec{x}\|'' = 0$  for any  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which implies  $A = \mathbf{0}$ .

(b) For any  $A, B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|A + B\| &= \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|(A + B)\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \\ &\leq \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|'' + \|B\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \\ &\leq \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} + \sup_{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{y} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|B\vec{y}\|''}{\|\vec{y}\|'} \\ &= \|A\| + \|B\|.\end{aligned}$$

(c) For any  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|cA\| &= \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|cA\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \\ &= \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{|c| \|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \\ &= |c| \sup_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}'} \frac{\|A\vec{x}\|''}{\|\vec{x}\|'} \\ &= |c| \|A\|.\end{aligned}$$

16. (a)  $A - \alpha B = \begin{pmatrix} 8 - \alpha & 9 - \alpha & 2 - \alpha \\ 9 - \alpha & 6 - \alpha & 5 - \alpha \\ 1 - \alpha & -\alpha & 9 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$

i. As  $\|A - \alpha B\|_F \geq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , minimizing  $\|A - \alpha B\|_F$  is equivalent to minimizing  $\|A - \alpha B\|_F^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|A - \alpha B\|_F^2 &= \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2 + (2 - \alpha)^2 + (5 - \alpha)^2 + (6 - \alpha)^2 + (8 - \alpha)^2 + 3(9 - \alpha)^2 \\ &= 9\alpha^2 - 98\alpha + 373 = 9\left(\alpha - \frac{49}{9}\right)^2 + \frac{956}{9},\end{aligned}$$

which is minimized at  $\alpha = \frac{49}{9}$ .

ii. Let

$$\begin{aligned}f(\alpha) &= \|A - \alpha B\|_{1,e} \\ &= |\alpha| + |1 - \alpha| + |2 - \alpha| + |5 - \alpha| + |6 - \alpha| + |8 - \alpha| + 3|9 - \alpha|.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\cdot|$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$ .

By first derivative test,

$\alpha$	$(-\infty, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 2)$	$(2, 5)$	$(5, 6)$	$(6, 8)$	$(8, 9)$	$(9, \infty)$
$f'(\alpha)$	-9	-7	-5	-3	-1	1	3	9

$f(\alpha) = \|A - \alpha B\|_{1,e}$  attains its minimum at  $\alpha = 6$ .

(b)  $C - \alpha D = \begin{pmatrix} 7 - \alpha & 7 - \alpha & -\alpha & 9 - \alpha \\ 3 - \alpha & -\alpha & 8 - \alpha & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$

i. As  $\|C - \alpha D\|_F \geq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , minimizing  $\|C - \alpha D\|_F$  is equivalent to minimizing  $\|C - \alpha D\|_F^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\|C - \alpha D\|_F^2 &= 3\alpha^2 + (3 - \alpha)^2 + 2(7 - \alpha)^2 + (8 - \alpha)^2 + (9 - \alpha)^2 \\ &= 8\alpha^2 - 68\alpha + 242 = 8\left(\alpha - \frac{17}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{195}{2},\end{aligned}$$

which is minimized at  $\alpha = \frac{17}{4}$ .

ii. Let

$$\begin{aligned}g(\alpha) &= \|C - \alpha D\|_{1,e} \\ &= 3|\alpha| + |3 - \alpha| + 2|7 - \alpha| + |8 - \alpha| + |9 - \alpha|.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\cdot|$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $g$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 3, 7, 8, 9\}$ .

By first derivative test,

$\alpha$	$(-\infty, 0)$	$(0, 3)$	$(3, 7)$	$(7, 8)$	$(8, 9)$	$(9, \infty)$
$g'(\alpha)$	-8	-2	0	4	6	8

$g(\alpha)$  is minimized when  $\alpha \in [3, 7]$ .

17. As  $\|\cdot\| \geq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , minimizing  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is equivalent to minimizing  $\|\cdot\|_F^2$ .

(a) Note that  $A_1 = A + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1 - B\|_F^2 &= \left\| A + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - B \right\|_F^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + 5 & \alpha - 1 \\ \alpha - 5 & \alpha - 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_F^2 \\ &= 4\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 60 = 4(\alpha - 1)^2 + 56. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|A_1 - B\|_F$  is minimized by  $A_1 = A + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ , and its minimum value is  $2\sqrt{7}$ .

(b) Note that the mean pixel value of  $A$  is  $\frac{7+3+1+5}{4} = 4$ .

Hence  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 7-4 & 3-4 \\ 1-4 & 5-4 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2 - B\|_F^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 3\beta + 2 & -\beta \\ -3\beta - 2 & \beta - 4 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_F^2 \\ &= 20\beta^2 + 16\beta + 24 = 20\left(\beta + \frac{2}{5}\right)^2 + \frac{104}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|A_2 - B\|_F$  is minimized by  $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{14}{5} & \frac{22}{5} \\ \frac{26}{5} & \frac{18}{5} \end{pmatrix}$ , and its minimum value is  $\frac{2\sqrt{130}}{5}$ .

(c) Note that  $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_3 - B\|_F^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_F^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \alpha + 3\beta & \alpha - \beta \\ -2 + \alpha - 3\beta & -4 + \alpha + \beta \end{pmatrix} \right\|_F^2 \\ &= (\alpha + 3\beta + 2)^2 + (\alpha - \beta)^2 + (\alpha - 3\beta - 2)^2 + (\alpha + \beta - 4)^2 \\ &= 4\alpha^2 + 20\beta^2 - 8\alpha - 8\beta + 24 =: f(\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is minimum at  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = 0$ .

Hence by setting  $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} = 8\alpha - 8 = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta} = 40\beta - 8 = 0 \end{cases}$ , we get  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (1, \frac{1}{5})$ .

One can check that  $\text{Hess}(f) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 40 \end{pmatrix}$  is positive definite, and thus  $f$  attains minimum at  $(1, \frac{1}{5})$ .

Hence  $\|A_3 - B\|_F$  is minimized by  $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + 1 + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{5} & 4 + 1 - \frac{1}{5} \\ 4 + 1 - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{5} & 4 + 1 + \frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{28}{5} & \frac{24}{5} \\ \frac{22}{5} & \frac{26}{5} \end{pmatrix}$ , and its minimum value is  $\sqrt{f(1, \frac{1}{5})} = \frac{4\sqrt{30}}{5}$ .