

Lecture 17:

Image denoising using energy minimization

Let g be a noisy image corrupted by additive noise n .

$$\text{Then: } g(x, y) = \underbrace{f(x, y)}_{\text{Clean image}} + \underbrace{n(x, y)}_{\text{noise}}$$

Recall: Laplacian masking: $g = f - \Delta f$ (Obtain a sharp image from a smooth image)
(non-smooth)

Conversely, to get a smooth image f from a non-smooth image g , we can solve the PDE for f : $-\Delta f + f = g$
unknown known

We will show that solving the above equation is equivalent to minimizing something:

$$E(f) = \iint (f(x, y) - g(x, y))^2 dx dy + \iint \left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right) dx dy$$

In the discrete case, the PDE can be approximated (discretized) to get:

$$f(x, y) = g(x, y) + [f(x+1, y) + f(x, y+1) + f(x-1, y) + f(x, y-1) - 4f(x, y)]$$

for all (x, y) (Linear System)

Direct method (Big linear system) / Solved by Iterative method

Simple iterative scheme: Let g be an $N \times N$ image.

Step 1: Let $f^0(x, y) = g(x, y)$ (Initial guess of the solution)

Step 2: For $n \geq 0$ and for all (x, y) , $x = 1, \dots, M$, $y = 1, \dots, N$,

$$f^{n+1}(x, y) = g(x, y) + [f^n(x+1, y) + f^n(x-1, y) + f^n(x, y+1) + f^n(x, y-1) - 4f^n(x, y)]$$

Impose boundary conditions by reflection:

$$f^{n+1}(0, y) = f^{n+1}(2, y); \quad f^{n+1}(M+1, y) = f^{n+1}(M-1, y) \quad \text{for } y = 1, \dots, N$$

$$f^{n+1}(x, 0) = f^{n+1}(x, 2); \quad f^{n+1}(x, N+1) = f^{n+1}(x, N-1) \quad \text{for } x = 1, \dots, M$$

$$f^{n+1}(0, 0) = f^{n+1}(2, 2); \quad f^{n+1}(0, N+1) = f^{n+1}(2, N-1)$$

$$f^{n+1}(M+1, 0) = f^{n+1}(M-1, 2); \quad f^{n+1}(M+1, N+1) = f^{n+1}(M-1, N-1)$$

(similar for f^n)

Step 3: Continue the process until $\|f^{n+1} - f^n\| \leq \text{tolerance}$. (Convergence depends on the spectral radius of a matrix)

Consider:
$$\bar{E}_{\text{discrete}}(f) = \sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=1}^N (f(x,y) - g(x,y))^2 + \sum_{x=1}^N \sum_{y=1}^N [(f(x+1,y) - f(x,y))^2 + (f(x,y+1) - f(x,y))^2]$$

Suppose f is a minimizer of $\bar{E}_{\text{discrete}}$. Then, for each (x,y) ,
 $\bar{E}_{\text{discrete}}$ depends on $f(x,y)$ for each (x,y)

$$\frac{\partial \bar{E}_{\text{discrete}}}{\partial f(x,y)} = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftarrow & 2(f(x,y) - g(x,y)) + 2(f(x+1,y) - f(x,y))(-1) + 2(f(x,y+1) - f(x,y))(-1) \\ & + 2(f(x,y) - f(x-1,y)) + 2(f(x,y) - f(x,y-1)) \end{aligned}$$

By simplification, we get:

$$f(x,y) = g(x,y) + [f(x+1,y) + f(x-1,y) + f(x,y+1) + f(x,y-1) - 4f(x,y)]$$

The continuous version of $\bar{E}_{\text{discrete}}$ can be written as:

$$\bar{E}(f) = \iint (f(x,y) - g(x,y))^2 + \iint \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dx dy$$

$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 = |\nabla f|^2$

Remark:

- Solving $f = g + \Delta f$ is equivalent to energy minimization
- The first term in E_{discrete} is called the **fidelity term**.
Aim to find f that is close to g .
- The second term is called the regularization term. Aim to enhance smoothness.

• $-\nabla f + f = g$ can also be solved in the frequency domain =

$$\text{DFT}(f) = \text{DFT}(g + \underbrace{\Delta f}_{p * f})$$

$$\therefore \text{DFT}(f)(u, v) = \text{DFT}(g)(u, v) + c \text{DFT}(p)(u, v) \text{DFT}(f)(u, v)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{DFT}(f)(u, v) = \left[\frac{1}{1 - c \text{DFT}(p)(u, v)} \right] \text{DFT}(g)(u, v)$$

↓ inverse DFT

$$f(x, y) !!$$