## THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Department of Mathematics MATH2050A Mathematical Analysis I (Fall 2021) Suggested Solution of Homework 1

If you find any errors or typos, please email me at yzwang@math.cuhk.edu.hk 1. (2 points) Let  $S = \left\{ 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ , find  $\sup S$  and  $\inf S$ . Justify it.

**Solution:** For even natural number n, we have that

$$1 \le 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

For odd natural number n, we have that

$$0 \le 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \le 1.$$

In sum, we can conclude that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$0 \le 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

Then  $\frac{3}{2}$  is an upper bound of S. Let u be an upper bound of S. Then we have that  $u \ge 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = \frac{3}{2}$  when n = 2. It follows that  $\sup S = \frac{3}{2}$ .

Similarly, from previous computation we know that 0 is a lower bound of S. Let v be a lower bound of S. Then we have that  $v \leq 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 0$  when n = 1. It follows that  $\inf S = 0$ .

2. (2 points) Let S be a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound of S if and only if the following holds: For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , t > u implies  $t \notin S$ .

## Solution:

- (a) Suppose that  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  is an upper bound of S. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We assume that  $t \in S$ , then by definition of an upper bound, we have that  $t \leq u$ . Hence t > u implies  $t \notin S$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Suppose that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , t > u implies  $t \notin S$ . Then  $t \in S$  implies  $t \leq u$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefor we conclude that u is an upper bound of S.

3. (3 points) Show that if A, B are bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $A \cup B$  is a bounded subset and  $\sup (A \cup B) = \max \{ \sup A, \sup B \}$ .

**Solution:** Since A, B are bounded, we can find  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,

 $a_1 \le a \le a_2, \quad b_1 \le b \le b_2.$ 

It follows that for any  $x \in A \cup B$ ,

$$\min\{a_1, b_1\} \le x \le \max\{a_2, b_2\}.$$

Therefore  $A \cup B$  is bounded.

Let  $a_2 = \sup A$  and  $b_2 = \sup B$  in previous computation. Then we can conclude that  $A \cup B$  is bounded above by max {sup A, sup B}. Suppose  $A \cup B$  is bounded above by some  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $a \in A \subset A \cup B$  and  $b \in B \subset A \cup B$ ,

$$a \leq u$$
 and  $b \leq u$ .

Hence A, B are both bounded above by u. It follows that  $\sup A \leq u$  and  $\sup B \leq u$ . Therefore,  $\max \{\sup A, \sup B\} \leq u$ , which implies that

 $\sup (A \cup B) = \max \{\sup A, \sup B\}.$ 

4. (3 points) Let S be a bounded subset of ℝ and S<sub>0</sub> be a non-empty subset of S. Show that

$$\inf S \le \inf S_0 \le \sup S_0 \le \sup S.$$

Solution: Since  $S_0$  is non-empty, by picking  $a \in S_0$ , we have that  $\inf S_0 \leq a \leq \sup S_0$ . For any  $s \in S_0$ , since  $S_0 \subset S$ , we have that  $s \in S$  and  $\inf S \leq s \leq \sup S$ .

Hence  $S_0$  is bounded above by sup S and bounded below by S. From the definition of sup  $S_0$  and  $S_0$ , we can conclude that

 $\inf S \le \inf S_0 \le \sup S_0 \le \sup S.$