Origami and Mathematics New Wave Mathematics 2018



Andrew Kei Fong LAM (kflam@math.cuhk.edu.hk)

Department of Mathematics The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Table of Content

- 1) About Origami (摺紙背景)
- 2) Origami for Mathematics

 a) Fujimoto's 1/5th approximation (藤本 1/5th 估計)
 b) Trisecting angles (三等分角度)
 c) Solving cubic equations (三次方程)
- 3) Mathematics for (flat) Origami
 a) Maekawa's theorem (前川定理)
 b) Kawasaki's theorem (川崎定理)

About Origami



China?

Reason: Paper invented in China around 105 A.D.

> use for padding and wrapping bronze mirror.
> use for writing by 400 A.D.
> use for toilet by 700 A.D.
> use for money by 960 A.D.

Sources: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bronze_mirror https://ancientchina132.weebly.com/writing-material.html http://blog.toiletpaperworld.com/toilet-paper-challenge/ http://www.thecurrencycollector.com/chinesebanknotes.html









ABOUT ORIGAMI

Japan?

Reason: Origami comes from the words "ori" (to fold) and "kami" (paper).

- Japanese monks used paper for ceremonial purposes around 600 A.D.
- > Origami butterflies appear in Japanese Shinto weddings around 1600s.
- First origami book "Sembazuru Orikata" (Secret Techniques of Thousand Crane Folding) published in 1797.

Sources: http://origami.ousaan.com/library/historye.html https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_origami https://allabout-japan.com/en/article/4425/ https://www.origami-resource-center.com/butterfly.html





Europe?

- > picture of paper boat found in a medieval astronomy text *Tractatus de Sphera Mundi* published in 1490.
- references of "paper prison (紙籠)" in a English play (Duchess of Mafia) by John Webster, in 1623.
- > an Italian book by Matthias Geiger, published in 1629, for folding table napkins (餐巾)

Sources: http://origami.ousaan.com/library/historye.html https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_origami http://www.britishorigami.info/academic/lister/german.php http://search.getty.edu/gri/records/griobject?objectid=242745552 http://www.florilegium.org/?http%3A//www.florilegium.org/files/CRAFTS/Paper-Folding-art.html



Modern Origami

- > Origami is now viewed as a puzzle – follow a sequence of folds to get a shape.
- Each origami can be unfolded to give a *crease pattern*.







Source: https://i.pinimg.com/736x/e6/d9/30/e6d930629820c816130fa6d24939bdbc--origami-birdsorigami-cranes.jpg http://mathworld.wolfram.com/images/eps-gif/KawasakiCrane_1000.gif ABOUT ORIGAMI

π Miura-map fold for solar panels





Source: https://engineering.nd.edu/spotlights/1BusEng1st20004000TurnerApplicationPackageComplete.pdf https://www.comsol.com/blogs/solving-space-problem-origami-principles/ ORIGAMI IN TECHNOLOGY



Source: https://www.zmescience.com/science/vacuum-fold-actuators-mit/

https://www.news-medical.net/news/20171127/Origami-inspired-artificial-muscles-can-lift-1000-times-their-weight.aspx https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/origami-inspired-muscles-bring-super-strong-robots-a-step-closer-j8b6k3899

ORIGAMI IN TECHNOLOGY

The BIG question (Inverse problem 逆問題)

 Given an origami, find a crease pattern and the instructions that will lead to the origami.



 π



Some work on this (Treemaker – Robert J. Lang), (Origamizer - Tomohiro Tachi)

Origami constructions (摺紙建築)

- During the folding process, you may have to create creases at specific points of the paper, e.g., 1/3th along the border.
- Within origami, there is an interest in creating these points just by folding.
- This has overlaps with the mathematical field of geometric constructions (幾何建築) e.g. bisect angles, finding the center of a circle, construction of triangles.

Source:

Origami for mathematics

π

Fujimoto's approximation (藤本估計) > Dividing a piece of paper into $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}$... is easy to do.



> But what if you want to divide into equal $\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{5}$? E.g., fold a letter into an envelop?

π

Fujimoto's approximation > FUJIMOTO Shuzo(藤本修三)

- high school teacher in Japan;
- wrote a book <<编织折纸>> in 1976 and introduced how to fold patterns



Source: http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog_416862f50100mzyf.html http://www.allthingspaper.net/





Fujimoto's approximation

> Step 1: Make a guess where 1/5th mark is.



Source: http://www.teachersofindia.org/sites/default/files/5_how_do_you_divide_a_strip_into_equal_fifths.pdf

FUJIMOTO'S APPROXIMATION

Fujimoto's approximation

> Step 2: The right side is roughly 4/5 of the paper. Pinch this side in half.



Fujimoto's approximation

Step 3: The pinch 2 is near 3/5th mark and the right side is roughly 2/5 of the paper. Pinch the right side in half.



FUJIMOTO'S APPROXIMATION Source: http://www.teachersofindia.org/sites/default/files/5_how_do_you_divide_a_strip_into_equal_fifths.pdf

Fujimoto's approximation

Step 4: The pinch 3 is near 4/5th mark and the left side of is roughly 4/5 of the paper. Pinch the left side in half.



FUJIMOTO'S APPROXIMATION Source: http://www.teachersofindia.org/sites/default/files/5_how_do_you_divide_a_strip_into_equal_fifths.pdf

Fujimoto's approximation

Step 5: The pinch 4 is near 2/5th mark. Pinch the left side side in half. The last pinch is very close to the 1/5th mark.



> Repeat steps to get better approximations

Fujimoto's approximation

> Summary:







Why it works?

> First guess pinch is at $\frac{1}{5} + e$. The right of pinch 1 has length $1 - (\frac{1}{5} + e) = \frac{4}{5} - e$.

+1 (Guess Pinch)



 \mathcal{T}

Why it works?



> Position of pinch 2 is
$$\left(\frac{1}{5} + e\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{5} - e\right) = \frac{3}{5} + \frac{e}{2}$$
.



Why it works?



- > More calculation shows at the fifth pinch, we are at the position $\frac{1}{5} + \frac{e}{16}$.
- Doing one round of Fujimoto approximation reduces the error by a factor of 16.

π

Some mathematics....

> A base 2 representation (其二進製) of a fraction (分數) 0 < x < 1 is $x = \frac{i_1}{2} + \frac{i_2}{4} + \frac{i_3}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \dots = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{i_j}{2j} ,$ where $i_i = 0$ or 1. $\rightarrow \frac{1}{c} < \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow i_1 = 0,$ $\rightarrow \frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{4} \rightarrow i_2 = 0,$ $> \frac{1}{5} = \frac{8}{40} > \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{40}$ $> i_3 = 1,$

Some mathematics....

> The base 2 representation (其二進製) of $\frac{1}{5}$ is $\frac{1}{5} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \cdots$ $> \frac{1}{5} = \frac{16}{80} > \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{15}{80}$ $> i_4 = 1,$ $> \frac{1}{5} = \frac{32}{160} < \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} = \frac{35}{160} \rightarrow i_5 = 0 \dots$

π

Base 2 representation of $\frac{1}{5}$

> So, the base 2 representation (其二進製) of $\frac{1}{5}$ is

$$\frac{1}{5} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{0}{32} + \frac{0}{64} + \dots = (0.\overline{0011})_2$$

> Label 1 as folding right and 0 as folding left, then reading backwards, we do:

(right x 2)-(left x 2)-(right x 2)-(left x 2) ...

Base 2 representation of $\frac{1}{5}$

- > (right x 2)-(left x 2)-(right x 2)-(left x 2) ...
- > Exactly as the Fujimoto's $1/5^{th}$ approximation:







(5)



 \mathcal{T}

π

Fujimoto's approximation for equal $\frac{1}{3}$ th

> Another example

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{i_1}{2} + \frac{i_2}{4} + \frac{i_3}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{l} & \frac{1}{3} < \frac{1}{2} & \rightarrow i_1 = 0, \\ & \frac{1}{3} > \frac{1}{4} & \rightarrow i_2 = 1, \\ & \frac{1}{3} = \frac{8}{24} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{9}{24} & \rightarrow i_3 = 0, \end{array}$$

Fujimoto's approximation for equal $\frac{1}{3}$ th

> Another example

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{0}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{l} & \lambda \frac{1}{3} = \frac{8}{24} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{9}{24} \quad \rightarrow i_3 = 0, \\ & \lambda \frac{1}{3} = \frac{16}{48} > \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{15}{48} \quad \rightarrow i_4 = 1, \\ & \lambda \frac{1}{3} = \frac{32}{96} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} = \frac{33}{96} \quad \rightarrow i_5 = 0 \dots \end{array}$$

 \mathcal{T}

Fujimoto's approximation for equal $\frac{1}{3}$ th

> So, the base 2 representation of $\frac{1}{3}$ is

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{0}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{0}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \dots = (0, \overline{01})_2$$

> Label 1 as folding right and 0 as folding left, then reading backwards, the action is:

(right)-(left)-(right)-(left) ...

 \mathcal{T}

Example: $\frac{1}{3} = (0, \overline{01})_2$

> After initial guess, we fold right-left-right-left-right-left-....



Source: Robert Lang - Origami and Construction

Another example

$$\frac{1}{7} = \frac{i_1}{2} + \frac{i_2}{4} + \frac{i_3}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{l} > \frac{1}{7} < \frac{1}{2} & \rightarrow i_1 = 0, \\ > \frac{1}{7} < \frac{1}{4} & \rightarrow i_2 = 0, \\ > \frac{1}{7} > \frac{1}{8} & \rightarrow i_3 = 1, \end{array}$$

π

Another example

$$\frac{1}{7} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{i_4}{16} + \frac{i_5}{32} + \frac{i_6}{64} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{l} > \frac{1}{7} = \frac{16}{112} < \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{21}{112} & \rightarrow i_4 = 0, \\ > \frac{1}{7} = \frac{32}{224} < \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{32} = \frac{35}{224} & \rightarrow i_5 = 0, \\ > \frac{1}{7} = \frac{64}{448} > \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{64} = \frac{63}{448} & \rightarrow i_6 = 1, \end{array}$$

 \mathcal{T}

Fujimoto's approximation for equal $\frac{1}{7}$ th

> So, the base 2 representation of $\frac{1}{7}$ is

$$\frac{1}{7} = \frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{0}{16} + \frac{0}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \dots = (0, \overline{001})_2$$

> Label 1 as folding right and 0 as folding left, then reading backwards, the action is:

(right)-(left x2)-(right)-(left x2) ...

 \mathcal{T}

General (一般性) procedure for $\frac{1}{N}$

Step 1: Write $\frac{1}{N}$ in terms of the binary representation.
 Example:

$$\frac{1}{3} = (0.\overline{01})_2, \qquad \frac{1}{7} = (0.\overline{001})_2, \qquad \frac{1}{9} = (0.\overline{000111})_2$$

- > Step 2: Make guess at where the $\frac{1}{N}$ th mark is.
- Step 3: Following the binary representation after the decimal point reading backwards. Fold left for 0 and fold right for 1.
Application to Miura map folding



π

Angle bisection (角度平分)

 Problem: Use only compass (圓規) and a straight edge (直尺) to divide an angle θ into half.





Source: http://mathforum.org/sanders/geometry/GP05Constructions.html

π

Angle trisection (角度三平分)

- Problem: Use only compass and a straight edge to divide an angle θ into equal thirds.
- Compass Ruler

> Pierre WANTZEL (1814-1848) showed that it is <u>impossible</u> to do it with only a compass and a straight edge.

> But we can do this with origami!

Source: https://3010tangents.wordpress.com/tag/pierre-wantzel/ https://paginas.matem.unam.mx/cprieto/biografias-de-matematicos-uz/237-wantzel-pierre-laurent



Acute angle (銳角) trisection with folding > ABE Tsune developed a method for angles θ < 90°. > Setting: Trisect the angle *PBC*



Source: Robert Lang - Origami and Construction

\mathcal{T}

(Acute) Angle trisection with folding

> Step 1: Fold any line parallel (平行) to BC and create newline EF



(Acute) Angle trisection with folding

> Step 2: Fold *BC* to *EF* to create newline *GH*. Then *BG*, *GE*, *CH* and *HF* have the same length.



(Acute) Angle trisection with folding

> Step 3: Fold corner *B* so that point *E* is on line *BP* and point *B* is on the line *GH*.



(Acute) Angle trisection with folding > Step 4: Create a new line by folding *B* to *E*. Then unfold.



 \mathcal{T}

\mathcal{T}

(Acute) Angle trisection with folding

> Step 5: Extend new line to get *BJ*, then bring line *BC* to *BJ*, and unfold again.





Source: Robert Lang - Origami and Construction

π

(Acute) Angle trisection with foldingThe angle is now trisected.





Source: Robert Lang - Origami and Construction

Revision - Congruent (全等) triangles

> Two triangles are congruent if they have the same three sides and exactly the same angles.



Source: https://www.mathsisfun.com/geometry/triangles-congruent.html

Why it works?

- > 1) EG = GB and so $E^*G^* = G^*B^*$.
- > 2) BG*perpendicular (垂直) to E*B*, so ΔBE*G* is congruent to ΔBG*B* (Side-Angle-Side).
- > 3) $B^*K = G^*B^*$ and $BG^* = BK$, so ΔBG^*B^* is congruent to ΔBB^*K (Side-Side-Side)



\mathcal{T}

Revision - equation of a straight line

- Equation of a line is y = mx + c:
- > m is the slope (坡度), and c is the intercept (交叉點).
- > Two points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) on the line, the slope is $m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$

> And intercept is

$$c = y_1 - mx_1 = y_1 - \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}x_1$$



Revision - quadratic equations (二次方程) > Find solutions *x* to the equation

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

> This has only two solutions/roots (根) x_1 and x_2 .
 > Quadratic formula (二次公式)

$$x_{1} = \frac{-b}{2a} + \frac{\sqrt{b^{2} - 4ac}}{2a}, \quad x_{2} = \frac{-b}{2a} - \frac{\sqrt{b^{2} - 4ac}}{2a}$$

> Example: $x^{2} - 1 = 0$ is $a = 1, b = 0, c = -1$ and has solutions $x_{1} = 1$ and $x_{2} = -1$.

 \mathcal{T}

Solving cubic equations (三次方程) > Find solutions *x* to the equation

$$x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$$

> This has three solutions/roots x_1 , x_2 and x_3 .

- > Example: $x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 1 = 0$ has solutions $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = -1$.
- > Cubic formula (三次公式)?

(too complex)

Strategy



a+b=c ab=d

> Aim: To find <u>one root</u> x_1 to $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$.

> Then, factorize (因式分解)

$$x^{3} + ax^{2} + bx + c = (x - x_{1})(x^{2} + ex + f)$$

> Use quadratic formula on $x^2 + ex + f = 0$ to find the other two roots x_2 and x_3 .

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Factorization

Solving $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ with one fold > <u>Step 1.</u> (c,b) Mark the point $p_1 =$ (a, 1) and $p_2 = (c, b)$ in (a,1) the xy plane. (0,0)Draw the lines $L_1 = \{y =$ -1} and $L_2 = \{x = -c\}.$

x = -c

y=-1

 \mathcal{T}

Solving $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ with one fold

> <u>Step 2.</u>

Fold p_1 to line L_1 and p_2 to line L_2 and create a new crease line.

> The **slope** of the crease line is a **root** to $x^3+ax^2+bx+c=0$.



Explanation of why it works???

Maybe we just skip this.....

Folding a parabola

 Fix a point (the focus) and a line (the directrix).

 Draw a curve where the distance between the focus and the curve is the same as the distance between the directrix and the curve.



π

Folding a parabola

> Mark one side of the paper as the directrix.

- Choose a point p anywhere inside the paper and fold the directrix to p over and over again.
- The point p will become the focus.





Revision - equation of a straight line

 $1_{-} - 1_{-}$

1

- > Equation of a line is y = mx + c:
- > m is the slope, and c is the intercept.
- > Given two points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) on the line, the slope is

$$m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$

> And intercept is

$$c = y_1 - mx_1 = y_1 - \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}x$$



 \mathcal{T}

Revision – perpendicular lines

> Blue line has slope

$$m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$

- Red line is perpendicular if the angles at the intersection are 90°
- > So red line has slope

$$\frac{-1}{m} = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{y_2 - y_1}$$



Equation of the parabola

- > Fold point p' = (t, -1) to p = (0,1) creates a new crease line M.
- > The red line has slope

$$m = \frac{-1 - 1}{t - 0} = \frac{-2}{t}$$

> So *M* has slope
$$\frac{-1}{m} = \frac{t}{2}$$



Equation of the parabola

- > *M* is perpendicular to pp', so *M* has slope $\frac{-1}{m} = \frac{t}{2}$.
- > The mid point of segment pp', point $(\frac{t}{2}, 0)$ lies on M, so $0 = \frac{t}{2}\frac{t}{2} + c$.
- > The intercept of *M* is $c = \frac{-t^2}{2}$, and equation of *M* is $y = \frac{t}{2}(x \frac{t}{2})$



Equation of the parabola > Equation of *M* is $y = \frac{t}{2}\left(x - \frac{t}{2}\right)$ > Point q lies on the parabola is at $(t, t^2/4)$ > So equation for the parabola IS $y = x^2/4$

p = (0,1)

_1

M

Explanation

- > The crease line C is given by the equation y = tx + u.
- The slope is t and the intercept is u.
- > We show $t^3 + at^2 + bt + c = 0.$

> Then t is a solution.



π

Explanation

- > Draw a parabola with focus $p_1 = (a, 1)$ and directrix $L_1 = \{y = -1\}.$
- > (v,w) lies on C and also the parabola.
- > Equation of parabola is

$$y = \frac{1}{4}(x-a)^2$$



Explanation > Equation of parabola is $y = \frac{1}{4}(x-a)^2$ > Slope of C at (v, w) is $\frac{1}{2}(v-a)$ > So equation for C is $y = \frac{1}{2}(v - a)x + \left(w - \frac{1}{2}(v - a)v\right)$



π

Revision - distance between two points

> Pythagoras' Theorem (畢氏 定理)

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

> Distance between (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) is

$$c = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$$



Explanation

> Distance((v, w), (v, -1)) =Distance((v, w), (a, 1)), so

$$\sqrt{(a-v)^2 + (1-w)^2} = \sqrt{(w+1)^2}$$

$$(a-v)^2 = 4w$$

> Set $t = \frac{1}{2}(v - a)$, then $w = t^2$ and equation for *C* is y = tx + u, $u = -t^2 - at$



π

Explanation

- > Draw another parabola with focus $p_2 = (c, b)$ and directrix $L_2 = \{x = -c\}$.
- > (g, h) lies on C and also on the parabola.
- > Equation of parabola is $cx = \frac{1}{4}(y - g)^{2}$



\mathcal{T}

Explanation

- > Equation of parabola is $cx = \frac{1}{4}(y - g)^{2}$
- > Slope of C at (g,h) is

$$\frac{2c}{(h-b)}$$

> So equation for C is

$$y = \frac{2c}{(h-b)}x + \left(h - \frac{2c}{h-b}g\right)$$



Explanation

> Distance((g,h),(-c,h)) =Distance((g,h),(c,b)),

$$\sqrt{(g-c)^2 + (h-b)^2} = \sqrt{(g+c)^2}$$

$$or (h-b)^2 = 4gc.$$

> So equation for C is $y = \frac{2c}{(h-b)}x + \left(h - \frac{2c}{h-b}g\right)$ simplifies to

$$y = tx + u$$
, $t = \frac{2c}{(h-b)}$, $u = b + \frac{c}{t}$.



Explanation

> First picture:

$$y = tx + u$$
, $t = \frac{1}{2}(v - a)$ $u = -t^2 - at$

- > Second picture: y = tx + u, $t = \frac{2c}{(h-b)}$, $u = b + \frac{c}{t}$
- > Intercept *u* is the same, so

$$-t^2 - at = b + \frac{c}{t}$$
 or $t^3 + at^2 + bt + c = 0$



Mathematics for (flat) Origami
Flat origami

 An origami is <u>flat foldable</u>(可以被摺平) if it can be compressed without making new creases.



> Useful if you want to put it into your pocket.





Source: https://www.wikihow.com/Fold-Paper-Flowers https://www.ce.gatech.edu/news/researchers-develop-new-zipperedorigami-tubes-fold-flat-deploy-easily-and-still-hold http://jasonku.mit.edu/butterfly1.html

FLAT FOLDABILITY

Is it flat?

 Can we judge whether an origami is <u>flat</u> just by looking at the crease pattern (摺痕)?

 We use some mathematical ideas and tools to investigate.



Mountains and Valleys

 In origami, there are only two ways to make a fold: Mountain (山) or Valley (谷)







Single vertex origami

 A vertex (頂點) is any point inside the paper where two or more crease lines (摺痕線) meet (交叉).

 A single vertex (單頂點) origami only has one vertex.

> We study when is a single vertex origami flat foldable



Maekawa's Theorem

- MAEKAWA Jun (前川 淳)- Japanese software engineer, mathematician, origami artist.
- > If an origami is flat vertex fold, then M V = 2 or M V = -2
- Meaning ?

 (a) The difference between mountain folds and valley folds is always 2.

(b)Total number of creases (摺痕總數) must be even (雙數)

$$M + V = 2V + 2 (or 2V - 2)$$





Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jun_Maekawa https://origamicaravan.org/archives/2011-artists/jun-maekawa/

The proof

> If origami is flat foldable at one vertex, then cutting off the vertex after folding gives a polygon (多邊形).



> If the total number of creases is n, then

$$M+V = n.$$

Revision - angle sum (角度總和) in a polygon

- Sum of all angles in any triangle (三角形) is always 180°.
- There are 2 triangles in a quadrilateral (四邊形), so the sum of all angles is always 360°.
- > For a polygon of n sides, we can fit n 2 triangles, so the sum of all angles is $(n 2) * 180^{\circ}$.

Source: https://www.mathsisfun.com/geometry/interior-angles-polygons.html

Sides	Sum of Interior Angles	Shape
3	180°	\bigtriangleup
4	360°	
5	540°	\bigcirc
6	720°	\bigcirc
n	(n -2) × 180°	n

FOLDING A PARABOLA

The proof

- > Label each valley fold V with 360° angle and each mountain fold M with 0° angle.
- > The angle sum is $360^{\circ} * V + 0^{\circ} * M = 360^{\circ} * V$



> But angle sum of *n*-sided polygon is $(n-2) * 180^{\circ}$

> So

 $360^{\circ} * V = (M + V - 2) * 180^{\circ}$ or 2V = M + V - 2or M - V = 2



> Reverse labelling (V with 0° and M with 360°) gives M - V = -2.

Question??

> If there is an odd number (單數) of creases (摺痕線) to a vertex origami (單頂點摺紙), can it be folded flat?





Question??

> If there is an odd number (單數) of creases (摺痕線) to a vertex origami (單頂點摺紙), can it be folded flat?



Kawasaki's Theorem

- › KAWASAKI Toshikazu (川崎 敏和)
 Japanese paperfolder, famous for the Kawasaki Rose.
- If the <u>alternating sum of</u>
 <u>consecutive angles (交替連續總</u>
 <u>和角度) = 0</u>°, e.g.

 $a - b + c - d = 0^{\circ}$

then the origami is flat foldable.

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toshikazu_Kawasaki https://origamicaravan.org/archives/2011-artists/toshikazukawasaki/







FLAT FOLDABILITY

Application of Kawasaki's Theorem > In the example,

 $a = 90^{\circ}, b = 45^{\circ}, c = 90^{\circ}, d = 135^{\circ}$

> The alternating sum is $a - b + c - d = 90^{\circ} - 45^{\circ} + 90^{\circ} - 135^{\circ} = 0^{\circ}$



So Kawasaki's theorem tells us it is flat foldable.

Application of Kawasaki's Theorem > In the example,

 $a = 90^{\circ}, b = 45^{\circ}, c = 90^{\circ}, d = 135^{\circ}$

> The alternating sum is

 $a - b + c - d = 90^{\circ} - 45^{\circ} + 90^{\circ} - 135^{\circ} = 0^{\circ}$

So Kawasaki's theorem tells us it is flat foldable.





What about with more vertices (多個頂點)?

> Both Maekawa's theorem and Kawasaki's theorem are for single vertex folds (單頂點摺紙).

> But these are not very interesting origami.

 π

> Is there a version for crease patterns with more than one vertex?

Positive example (正例子)

Investigate if the crease pattern gives a flat foldable origami.





Positive example (正例子)

> It is flat foldable as it is the crease pattern for a crane.





\mathcal{T}

Negative example (負例子)

- > Angles $a = b = c = 60^{\circ}$.
- > Each vertex has alternating sum $90^{\circ} 120^{\circ} + 90^{\circ} 60^{\circ} = 0^{\circ}$
- Kawasaki's theorem says the origami can be flat foldable at any one vertex.
- > What about the instruction for each crease?



Negative example (負例子)

 > If l₁, l₂ are both the same (e.g. mountain), then the origami cannot be fold flat – otherwise paper intersect itself (自我相交).

- > So l_1 must be folded differently to l_2 .
- > E.g. l_1 is a mountain, l_2 is a valley.



π

Negative example (負例子)

- > l_1 is a mountain, l_2 is a valley.
- > Same idea: l_2 and l_3 cannot be the same, so l_3 is a mountain.
- > Now, we have a contradiction (矛 盾) with l_1 and l_3 .



So the origami <u>cannot be folded</u> <u>flat!</u>

What's wrong?

› Kawasaki's theorem is for crease pattern with a single vertex.

> For multiple vertices, Kawasaki's condition is not sufficient. We saw one negative example !'

 Therefore, the answer to flat foldability (for multiple vertices) is still <u>unknown</u>. This is an <u>open</u> problem in mathematical origami.

Thank you for your attention!