

Lecture 17: Recall:

Definition: Let V_1, V_2 be vector spaces over F . A pair (Y, μ) , where Y is a vector space over F and $\mu: V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow Y$ is a linear map, is called the tensor product of V_1 and V_2 if the following condition holds:

(*) whenever β_1 is a basis for V_1 and β_2 is a basis for V_2 , then:

$\mu(\beta_1 \times \beta_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \mu(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) = \vec{x}_1 \in \beta_1, \vec{x}_2 \in \beta_2 \}$ is a basis for Y .

Remark: We write $V_1 \otimes V_2$ for Y .

We write $\vec{x}_1 \otimes \vec{x}_2$ for $\mu(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2)$.

Theorem: Let Y be a vector space and $\mu: V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map. Suppose there exist bases γ_1 for V_1 and γ_2 for V_2 such that $\mu(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2)$ is a basis for Y . Then (*) holds for any choice of basis.

Remark: • In general,

$$V \otimes W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_i a_i \vec{v}_i \otimes \vec{w}_i : a_i \in F, \vec{v}_i \in V, \vec{w}_i \in W \right\}.$$

• Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be vector space over a field F .

A pair (Y, μ) , where Y is a vector space over F and

$\mu: V_1 \times V_2 \times \dots \times V_k \rightarrow Y$ is a k -linear map (i.e. for any i and for any $\vec{v}_1 \in V_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}, \vec{v}_{i+1} \in V_{i+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V_k$, the map $\mu(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1}, \cdot, \vec{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_k)$ is linear), is called

the tensor product of V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k if:

(*) whenever β_i is a basis for V_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, k$),

$\left\{ \mu(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_k) : \vec{x}_i \in \beta_i \right\}$ is a basis for Y .

We write $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_k$ for Y

and $\vec{x}_1 \otimes \vec{x}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \vec{x}_k$ for $M(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_k)$.

Example: Let $V = P(F)$. Then: $V \otimes V = P(F^2)$ = space of polynomials in two variables under the product defined to be $f(x) \otimes g(x) = f(x_1) \cdot g(x_2)$.

(\because let $\beta = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ be a basis for V .
Then: $\beta \otimes \beta = \{x^i \otimes x^j : i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
 $= \{x_1^i x_2^j : i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
is a basis for $P(F^2)$.)

- If V is any vector space over F , then under \otimes as scalar multiplication ($\vec{v} \otimes a = a\vec{v}$),

$$V \otimes F = V$$

- Let $V = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in F\}$

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} : y_i \in F \right\}.$$

Under the product \otimes defined to be $\vec{v} \otimes \vec{w} = \vec{w} \vec{v}$,

$$V \otimes W = M_{m \times n}(F).$$

Theorem: Let V, W be finite dimensional vector spaces over F .
Let V^* be the dual space of V . Then:

$$V^* \otimes W = \mathcal{L}(V, W) = \text{space of linear transf. from } V \text{ to } W.$$

(with multiplication defined as $f \otimes \vec{w}: V \rightarrow W$ given by:

$$f \otimes \vec{w}(\vec{v}) = f(\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w} \in W.$$

\uparrow F \uparrow W

Proof: Let $\alpha = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be the dual basis for V^*
of basis $\beta = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ for V .

Let $\gamma = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ be a basis for W .

Then: consider $\Psi = \{f_i \otimes \vec{w}_j : f_i \in \alpha, \vec{w}_j \in \gamma\}$.

Ψ spans $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$: For any $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, T can be determined by:

$$(*) \quad T(\vec{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \vec{w}_i \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{T} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} f_j \otimes \vec{w}_i$$

$$\text{Then: } \tilde{T}(\vec{v}_j) = T(\vec{v}_j) \Rightarrow T = \tilde{T} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} f_j \otimes \vec{w}_i$$

\uparrow
 $\text{Span}(\Psi)$

$$\text{Also, } |\Psi| = mn = \dim(\mathcal{L}(V, W))$$

$\therefore \Psi$ is a basis!

Remark: If V and W are infinite-dimensional,

$$V^* \otimes W \stackrel{??}{=} \mathcal{L}(V, W) ??$$

No. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} V^* \otimes W &= \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : \dim(R(T)) < \infty \} \\ &= \text{set of finite rank linear transformations in } \mathcal{L}(V, W). \end{aligned}$$

Note that: $f \otimes \vec{w}$ is at most rank 1.

\therefore A linear combination of such transformations must have finite rank!

Trace

Consider $V^* \otimes V$. We can define a natural linear functional

$\text{Tr} : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow F$ as follows :

let $\beta = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a basis for V and
 $\beta^* = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ be a basis for V^* .

Then: $\beta^* \otimes \beta = \{f_i \otimes \vec{v}_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ form a basis for $V^* \otimes V$.

Define: $\text{Tr}(f_i \otimes \vec{v}_j) = f_i(\vec{v}_j)$

Tr is called the trace on V

Example: Consider a linear operator $T: V \rightarrow V$ on V over F .

Let $A = [T]_{\beta}$. Then: $T(\vec{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} \vec{v}_i$

In particular, $T = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} f_j \otimes \vec{v}_i$

Then: $\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \text{Tr}(f_j \otimes \vec{v}_i)$

$$= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} f_j(\vec{v}_i) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \delta_{ij}$$

$$= A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn}$$

(Same definition as in Math 1038!!)

Inner product and norm

Assume $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Definition: Let V be a vector space over F . An inner product on V is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow F$ s.t. $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V$

and $c \in F$, it satisfies:

$$(a) \quad \langle \vec{x} + \vec{z}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{z}, \vec{y} \rangle$$

$$(b) \quad \langle c\vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = c\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$$

$$(c) \quad \overline{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle} = \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle$$

$$(d) \quad \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0 \quad \text{if } \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$$

\uparrow
 \mathbb{R}

✓

Remark: • (a), (b) say that the inner product is linear in its argument.

• If $F = \mathbb{R}$, $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle$

Example: • For $\vec{x} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $\vec{y} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in F^n$
($F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$)

We have: standard inner product

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \bar{b}_i$$

• If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V , and $r > 0$,
then: $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$ is another inner product on V . ✓

- Let $V = C([0, 1])$ be vector space of real-valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Then: for $f, g \in V$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt \quad \text{defines an inner product on } V.$$

$(F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$

- Let $V = M_{n \times n}(F)$. For $A, B \in V$, we define:

$$\langle A, B \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr}(B^* A)$$

where B^* is the conjugate transpose of B defined by:

$$B^* = \overline{B}^T$$

For $A, B, C \in V$ and $\lambda \in F$, we check:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \langle A+B, C \rangle &= \text{tr}(C^*(A+B)) = \text{tr}(C^*A + C^*B) \\ &= \text{tr}(C^*A) + \text{tr}(C^*B) \\ &= \langle A, C \rangle + \langle B, C \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad \langle \lambda A, B \rangle &= \text{tr}(B^*(\lambda A)) = \text{tr}(\lambda(B^*A)) \\ &= \lambda \text{tr}(B^*A) \\ &= \lambda \langle A, B \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} \quad \overline{\langle A, B \rangle} &= \overline{\text{tr}(B^*A)} = \text{tr}(\overline{B^*A}) = \text{tr}(B^T \bar{A}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\underbrace{B^T}_{\overline{B}} \bar{A}) = \text{tr}(\bar{A}^T \underbrace{(B^T)^T}_B) \\ &= \text{tr}(A^*B) = \langle B, A \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Tr}(C) = \text{Tr}(C^T)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d) \quad \langle A, A \rangle &= \text{tr}(A^*A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (A^*A)_{ii} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{(A^*)_{ik}}_{\overline{A_{ki}}} A_{ki} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{A_{ki}} A_{ki}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle A, A \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |A_{ki}|^2 \geq 0$$

and $\langle A, A \rangle = 0$ iff $A_{ki} = 0 \quad \forall k, i$ (i.e. $A = 0$)

Definition: A vector space V equipped with an inner product is called an **inner product space**.

If $F = \mathbb{C}$, we call V a complex inner product space.

If $F = \mathbb{R}$, we call V a real inner product space.



Proposition: Let V be an inner product space. Then, $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V$

and $\forall c \in F$, we have:

$$(a) \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} + \vec{z} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{z} \rangle$$

$$(b) \langle \vec{x}, c\vec{y} \rangle = \overline{c} \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$$

$$(c) \langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} \rangle = \langle \vec{0}, \vec{x} \rangle = 0$$

$$(d) \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = 0 \text{ iff } \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

$$(e) \text{ If } \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{z} \rangle \text{ for } \forall \vec{x} \in V, \text{ then } \vec{y} = \vec{z}.$$



Proof: (a) $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} + \vec{z} \rangle = \overline{\langle \vec{y} + \vec{z}, \vec{x} \rangle}$
 $= \overline{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle + \langle \vec{z}, \vec{x} \rangle}$
 $= \overline{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle} + \overline{\langle \vec{z}, \vec{x} \rangle} = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{z} \rangle$

(b) $\langle \vec{x}, c\vec{y} \rangle = \overline{\langle c\vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle} = \overline{c\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle} = \overline{c} \overline{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle}$
 $= \overline{c} \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$

(c) $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} + \vec{0} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} \rangle + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} \rangle$

So, $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{0} \rangle = 0$. Similarly, $\langle \vec{0}, \vec{x} \rangle = 0$

(d) If $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, then $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = 0$ by (c)

If $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$, then $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0$ by definition.

(e) If $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{z} \rangle$ for all $\vec{x} \in V$.

then $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} - \vec{z} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V$.

In particular, we can choose $\vec{x} = \vec{y} - \vec{z}$.

Then: $\langle \vec{y} - \vec{z}, \vec{y} - \vec{z} \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{y} - \vec{z} = \vec{0}$ (by (d))
 $\Rightarrow \vec{y} = \vec{z}$.

Remark: (a) + (b) together say that the inner product is conjugate linear in the second argument.

✓

Definition: Let V be an inner product space. For $\vec{x} \in V$, we can define the length or norm of \vec{x} by:

$$\|\vec{x}\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle}$$

Proposition: Let V be an inner product space over F . Then,

$\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$ and $\forall c \in F$, we have:

(a) $\|c\vec{x}\| = |c| \cdot \|\vec{x}\|$

(b) $\|\vec{x}\| \geq 0$, and $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$ iff $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

(c) $|\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle| \leq \|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\|$ (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

(d) $\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| \leq \|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|$ (Triangle inequality)



✓

Proof: (a) $\|c\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle c\vec{x}, c\vec{x} \rangle} = \sqrt{c\bar{c}\langle\vec{x}, \vec{x}\rangle}$
 $\stackrel{\text{" } |c|^2 \text{ "}}{=} |c| \sqrt{\langle\vec{x}, \vec{x}\rangle} = |c| \|\vec{x}\|.$

(b) $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle\vec{x}, \vec{x}\rangle} \geq 0$ (by definition)

$\|\vec{x}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle\vec{x}, \vec{x}\rangle = 0$ iff $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

(c) and (d) are shown in the tutorial.

Orthogonality

Definition: Let V be an inner product space. We say $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$ are orthogonal (or perpendicular) if $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0$.

A subset $S \subset V$ is called orthogonal if any two distinct vectors in S are orthogonal.

A unit vector in V is a vector $\vec{x} \in V$ with $\|\vec{x}\| = 1$.

A subset $S \subset V$ is called orthonormal if S is orthogonal and all vectors in S are unit vectors.



e.g. Let H be the space of continuous complex-valued functions on $[0, 2\pi]$. We have inner product defined by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \quad \text{for } f, g \in H$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ^{integer},

let $\sqrt{f_1}$ $f_n(t) = e^{int} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cos nt + i \sin nt$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$

and consider $S = \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset H$

For any $m \neq n$, we have:

$$\langle f_m, f_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{imt} \overbrace{e^{-int}}^{e^{-int}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{i(m-n)} \right) e^{i(m-n)t} \Big|_0^{2\pi} = 0$$

$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(m-n)t dt + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(m-n)t dt$

$$\text{Also, } \langle f_n, f_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{int} \overline{e^{int}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 1 dt = 1$$

$\therefore S$ is orthonormal subset of H .

Definition: Let V be an inner product space. A subset of V is an orthonormal basis for V if it is an ordered basis which is orthonormal.

Proposition: Let V be an inner product space and $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be an orthogonal subset of V consisting of non-zero vectors.

Then: $\forall \vec{y} \in \text{Span}(S)$,

$$\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{v}_i \rangle}{\|\vec{v}_i\|^2} \right) \vec{v}_i$$



Proof: Write $\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{v}_i$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in F$.

Take inner product with \vec{v}_j on both sides gives:

$$\langle \vec{y}, \vec{v}_j \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j \rangle = a_j \|\vec{v}_j\|^2 //$$

Corollary 1: If, in addition to above, S is orthonormal, then $\forall \vec{y} \in \text{Span}(S)$, $\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \vec{y}, \vec{v}_i \rangle \vec{v}_i$



Corollary 2: Let S be an orthogonal subset of an inner product space V consisting of non-zero vectors. Then, S is linearly independent.

Proof: If $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$ for some $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in S$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in F$,
 $\in \text{Span}(\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\})$

By previous proposition,

$$a_i = \frac{\langle \vec{0}, \vec{v}_i \rangle}{\|\vec{v}_i\|^2} = 0 \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, k. //$$