

Review (Ch1-3 of the Textbook)

Ch1 Preliminaries to Cpx Analysis

§1 Cpx numbers & Cpx plane (Self reading)

Recall notations:

- open disc of radius r centered at z_0 : $D_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$
- closed disc of radius r centered at z_0 : $\bar{D}_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| \leq r\}$
- boundary of $D_r(z_0)$ (or $\bar{D}_r(z_0)$): $C_r(z_0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| = r\}$
- unit disc: $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$
- diameter of a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$: $\text{diam}(\Omega) = \sup_{z, w \in \Omega} |z - w|$
- region = open connected set in \mathbb{C}

§2 Functions of the Cpx plane

2.1 Self reading

2.2 Holomorphic functions

- Ω open set in \mathbb{C} ,
- f cpx-valued function on Ω .

Def: f is holomorphic at the point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0+h) - f(z_0)}{h} \text{ exists.}$$

($h \in \mathbb{C}$, $h \neq 0$ s.t. $z_0+h \in \Omega$)

And if it exists, it is called the derivative of f at z_0

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0+h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

- f is said to be holomorphic on Ω if f is holomorphic at z_0 , $\forall z_0 \in \Omega$.
- If C is a closed set in \mathbb{C} , then f is holomorphic on C if \exists open set Ω s.t. $C \subset \Omega$ and f is holomorphic on Ω .
- f is called entire if f is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}
- Cauchy-Riemann equations

If $f = u + iv$ holomorphic on Ω (open), (u, v \mathbb{R} -valued)

then

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v_y \\ u_y = -v_x \end{cases} \text{ on } \Omega$$

- Cplx differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ & $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

- Then $\boxed{\text{Cauchy-Riemann} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0}$.

Prop 2.3 $f = u + iv$ holomorphic at z_0 , then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_0) = f'(z_0) = 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}(z_0) \end{cases}$$

Also $F: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2: (x, y) \mapsto (u(x, y), v(x, y))$ is differentiable
 and $\det J_F(x_0, y_0) = |f'(z_0)|^2$, (as $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ mapping)

where J_F is the Jacobian matrix of F

Thm 2.4 $f = u + iv$ defined on an open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$,

(u, v are real-valued functions on Ω)

If $u, v \in C^1(\Omega)$ and satisfy Cauchy-Riemann eqt.

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v_y \\ u_y = -v_x \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

then f is holomorphic on Ω & $f' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$.

2.3 Power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$

- absolute convergence (at z) if the real-valued series
 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n$ converges

Thm 2.5 Given $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, define

$$R = \frac{1}{\limsup |a_n|^{1/n}} \quad (\in [0, \infty])$$

then (i) If $|z| < R$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ converges absolutely

(ii) If $|z| > R$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ diverges

- Remarks :
- no conclusion on $\{|z| = R\}$
 - R is called the radius of convergence
 - $\{|z| < R\}$ the disc of convergence

Thm 2.6

$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ holomorphic on the disc of convergence
(provided $R > 0$)

and

$f'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$ with the same radius of convergence.

Cor 2.7. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ infinitely (cpx) differentiable & higher derivatives can be calculated by termwise differentiation (in its disc of convergence)

Def $f: \Omega^{(\text{open})} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is (cpx) analytic at $z_0 \in \Omega$

if $\exists \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n$ with positive radius of convergence

such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z-z_0)^n \text{ in a nbd. of } z_0.$$

Clearly by Thm 2.6,

(cpx) analytic \Rightarrow holomorphic

§3 Integration along curves: Self reading.

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

$$\text{Useful notation: } \begin{cases} dz = dx + i dy \\ d\bar{z} = dx - i dy \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \bullet \int_{\gamma} f dz &= \int_{\gamma} (u+iv)(dx+idy) \\ &= \int_{\gamma} (u dx - v dy) + i \int_{\gamma} (v dx + u dy) \end{aligned}$$

- $df = du + i dv$
 $= f_x dx + f_y dy$
 $= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z}$

($\therefore f$ holo. $\Rightarrow df = f' dz$)

Ch 2 Cauchy's Theorem & Its applications

§1 Goursat's Theorem

Thm 1.1 & Cor 1.2

If • Ω open in \mathbb{C} ,

• f holomorphic on Ω ,

(note the different in terminology
in the text book)

• $\gamma =$ boundary of a triangle T or rectangle R

s.t. $\gamma \cup T$ or $\gamma \cup R \subset \Omega$,

then $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$

Remark: The main point in Goursat's Thm is that there is no need to assume f' is continuous. Cauchy's first observation used Green's Thm which need to assume u_x, u_y, v_x & v_y are continuous.

§2 Local existence of primitive & Cauchy's Theorem in a disc

(and Appendix B: Simply Connectivity and Jordan Curve Theorem)

Notation: For a simple closed piecewise smooth curve γ ,

$$\text{int}(\gamma) = \text{bounded component of } \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$$

(i.e. the interior of the Jordan curve of γ ,
not the interior of γ as a topological point set)

Thm 2.9 (on page 361 of the text book)

If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holo., Ω open,

• $\gamma =$ simple closed piecewise smooth curve s.t.

• $\gamma \cup \text{int}(\gamma) \subset \Omega$

Then
$$\int_{\gamma} f dz = 0.$$

§3 Evaluation of some integrals (self reading)

§4 Cauchy's Integral Formula

Thm 4.1 & Cor 4.2

If • f is holo. on Ω (open)

- C positive oriented simple closed piecewise smooth curve st.
- $C \cup \text{int}(C) \subset \Omega$

then $\forall z \in \text{int}(C)$ & $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} d\zeta.$$

Consequences

- Cor 4.3 Cauchy inequalities
- Thm 4.4 Holomorphic \Rightarrow analytic & Taylor's formula
- Cor 4.5 Liouville's Theorem
- Cor 4.6 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra
- Cor 4.7 Factorization of Polynomial
- Thm 4.8
& Cor 4.9 uniqueness of holomorphic function

Self reading.

§5 Further applications

5.1 Morera's Thm (converse of Cauchy's Thm)

Thm 5.1 • f cts. on Ω & (note the diff. in terminology
in the textbook)

- $\int_{\partial T} f = 0 \quad \forall$ triangle T with $T \cup \partial T \subset \Omega$,

then f is holomorphic on Ω .

5.2 Sequence of Holomorphic Functions

Thm 5.2 & Thm 5.3

If • f_n holo. on Ω ,

- $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on cpt. subsets

Then f holo on Ω and

$f'_n \rightarrow f'$ uniformly on cpt. subsets.

5.3 Holomorphic functions defined in terms of integrals

Thm 5.4

- Ω open in \mathbb{C} ,
- $F(z, s) : \Omega \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose (1) For each $s \in [a, b]$, $F(z, s)$ is holo. in z .

(2) $F \in C(\Omega \times [a, b])$.

Then

$$f(z) = \int_a^b F(z, s) ds$$

is a holomorphic function on Ω .

(The proof is not covered in MATH2230)

Pf: It is clear that one may assume $[a, b] = [0, 1]$.

Since Ω may be unbounded, we work on an arbitrary disc $D \subset \bar{D} \subset \Omega$.

For $n \geq 1$, consider Riemann sum

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n F(z, \frac{k}{n})$$

Then, (1) $\Rightarrow f_n(z)$ is holo. $\forall n \geq 1$.

By (2), $F \in C(\Omega \times [0, 1])$

$\Rightarrow F(z, s)$ is uniformly continuous on $\bar{D} \times [0, 1]$,

$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall z \in \bar{D}$

$$|F(z, s_1) - F(z, s_2)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall |s_1 - s_2| < \delta$$

(since $\text{dist}((z, s_1), (z, s_2)) = |s_1 - s_2| < \delta$)

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{z \in D} |F(z, s_1) - F(z, s_2)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall |s_1 - s_2| < \delta.$$

Therefore, if $n > \frac{1}{\delta}$, then $\forall z \in D \subset \bar{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(z) - f(z)| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n F(z, \frac{k}{n}) - \int_0^1 F(z, s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} F(z, \frac{k}{n}) ds - \int_0^1 F(z, s) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} (F(z, \frac{k}{n}) - F(z, s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} |F(z, \frac{k}{n}) - F(z, s)| ds \\ &< \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^{\frac{k}{n}} ds = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore f$ is the uniform limit of f_n on D

By Thm 5.2 & 5.3, f is holomorphic on D .

Since $D \subset \bar{D} \subset \Omega$ is arbitrary, f is holomorphic on Ω . $\#$