

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**MATH4010 Functional Analysis 2022-23 Term 1**  
**Solution to Course Examination**

1. (10 points) Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in the real null sequence space  $c_0$ . Show that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is weakly convergent in  $c_0$ , then  $(\|x_n\|_\infty)$  is bounded and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(k)$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* Let  $Q: c_0 \rightarrow c_0^{**}$  denote the canonical map. Since  $(x_n)$  is weakly convergent, then  $(Qx_n(x^*)) = (x^*(x_n))$  is convergent for  $x^* \in c_0^*$ , thus bounded for  $x^* \in c_0^*$ . Since  $c_0^*$  is complete, Uniform Boundedness Theorem implies some  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_n \|x_n\|_\infty = \sup_n \|Qx_n\|_\infty \leq M.$$

This shows that  $(\|x_n\|)$  is bounded.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$f_k(x) = x(k) \quad \text{for } x = (x(i)) \in c_0.$$

It is readily checked that  $f_k \in c_0^*$ . By the weakly convergence of  $(x_n)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x_n)$$

exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This means that  $(x_n(k))$  is convergent for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . □

2. (10 points) Let  $X$  be a normed space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to weakly closed if it satisfies the condition: an element  $x_0 \in A$  whenever if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in X^*$ , then there is an element  $a \in A$  such that  $|f_k(x_0) - f_k(a)| < \varepsilon$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

(i) Show that if  $A$  is a weakly closed subset of  $X$ , then it is normed closed. Find an example so that the converse does not hold.

(ii) If we further assume that  $A$  is a vector subspace, show that the converse of Part (i) hold.

*Proof.*

(i) It suffices to prove that the complement  $A^c$  is normed open. Let  $y \in A^c$ . Since  $A$  is weakly closed and  $y$  is not in  $A$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in X^*$  such that

$$y \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n \{x \in X : |f_k(x_0) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon\} \subset A^c.$$

Since  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are continuous, it follows that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{x \in X : |f_k(x_0) - f_k(x)| < \varepsilon\}$  is normed open. This shows that  $y$  is an interior point of  $A^c$ . Hence  $A^c$  is normed open, thus  $A$  is normed closed.

Consider  $X = (c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and

$$B := \{x \in c_0 : \|x\|_\infty = 1\}.$$

Then  $B$  is normed closed since  $\|\cdot\|_\infty : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is normed continuous. Next we show that  $B$  is not weakly closed. Suppose on the contrary that  $B$  is weakly closed. Since  $c_0^* = \ell^1$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e_m) = 0$  for  $f \in c_0^*$ , where  $e_m(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = m \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq m \end{cases}$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in c_0^*$ , there exists  $e_m \in B$  with  $m$  large enough such that

$$|f_k(0) - f_k(e_m)| = |f_k(e_m)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then  $0 \in B$  by the definition of weakly closedness, which contradicts  $0 \notin B$ .

(ii) Let  $A$  be a normed closed subspace of  $X$ . If  $A = X$ , then  $A$  is trivially weakly closed. Next we suppose  $A \subsetneq X$ . Let  $y \in X \setminus A$ . Since  $A$  is a convex normed closed set, Hyperplane Separation Theorem (or Hahn-Banach Theorem) implies some  $f \in X^*$  such that

$$\sup\{f(x) : x \in A\} < f(y).$$

Taking  $\varepsilon < |f(y) - \sup\{f(x) : x \in A\}|$  gives

$$\{x \in X : |f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\} \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Hence there is no  $a \in A$  such that  $|f(y) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$ . This shows that  $A$  is weakly closed since we have checked the contrapositive statement of the definition of weakly closedness.

□

3. (10 points) Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $(x_n)$  be an orthogonal sequence in  $X$ . Show that the series  $\sum x_n$  is convergent in  $X$  if and only if  $\sum \langle x_n, y \rangle$  is convergent for all  $y \in X$  if and only if  $\sum \|x_n\|^2 < \infty$ .

*Proof.*

- Suppose  $\sum x_k$  is convergent. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq m \geq N$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m}^n x_k \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $y \in X$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^n \langle x_k, y \rangle \right| = \left| \left\langle \sum_{k=m}^n x_k, y \right\rangle \right| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=m}^n x_k \right\| \|y\| \leq \varepsilon \|y\|.$$

Hence  $\sum \langle x_k, y \rangle$  is convergent for  $y \in X$  since the scalar field is complete.

- Suppose  $\sum \langle x_k, y \rangle$  is convergent for  $y \in X$ . Then  $\sum \langle y, x_k \rangle$  is convergent for  $y \in X$  since  $\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$  for  $x, y \in X$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$f_n(y) := \sum_{k=1}^n \langle y, x_k \rangle = \left\langle y, \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right\rangle \quad \text{for } y \in X.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,  $f_n \in X^*$  and  $\|f_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right\|$ . Since  $\sum \langle y, x_k \rangle$  is convergent for  $y \in X$ , we have  $(f_n(y))$  is bounded for  $y \in X$ . Then Uniform Boundedness Theorem implies some  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_n \|f_n\| \leq M.$$

Thus  $\sup_n \|f_n\|^2 \leq M^2$ . By the orthogonality of  $(x_k)$  and Pythagoras Theorem,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2 = \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^2 = \sup_n \|f_n\|^2 \leq M^2.$$

Hence  $\sum \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$ .

- Suppose  $\sum \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq m \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=m}^n \|x_k\|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

By the orthogonality of  $(x_k)$  and Pythagoras Theorem,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m}^n x_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k=m}^n \|x_k\|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\sum x_k$  is convergent since  $X$  is complete.

□

4. (20 points) Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $L(X)$  be the space of all bounded linear operators. We call an element  $S \in L(X)$  *bounded below* if  $\inf\{\|S(x)\| : x \in X; \|x\| = 1\} > 0$ .

(i) Let  $S \in L(X)$ . Show that  $S$  is invertible in  $L(X)$  if and only if  $S$  is bounded below and the image  $S(X)$  is dense in  $X$ .

(ii) Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Show that the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of  $T$  is exactly the union

$$\{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : T - \mu \text{ is not bounded below}\} \cup \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \bar{\mu} \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T^*\}.$$

*Proof.*

(i) ( $\implies$ ) Since  $S$  is invertible in  $L(X)$ , there exists  $T \in L(X)$  such that  $ST = TS = I$  where  $I$  denotes the identity map. Hence  $S(X) = X$  because  $X = ST(X) \subset S(X) \subset X$ . For  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ ,

$$1 = \|x\| = \|TS(x)\| \leq \|T\|\|S(x)\|.$$

Then

$$\inf\{\|S(x)\| : x \in X; \|x\| = 1\} \geq \|T\|^{-1} > 0.$$

This shows that  $S$  is bounded below.

( $\impliedby$ ) Since  $S$  is bounded below, by definition there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\delta\|x\| \leq \|S(x)\| \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (1)$$

Then  $S$  is injective because  $\|x\| \leq (1/\delta)\|S(x)\| = 0$  if  $S(x) = 0$ .

Next we show that  $S(X)$  is closed. Let  $(S(x_n))$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $S(X)$ . From (1) we see that  $(x_n)$  is also a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$  in  $X$ . By the continuity of  $S$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n) = S(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = S(x) \in S(X).$$

This shows that  $S(X)$  is complete, and so closed in  $X$ . Then  $S(X) = X$  since  $S(X)$  is assumed to be dense in  $X$ . Hence Open Mapping Theorem (or Bounded Inverse Theorem) implies that  $S$  is invertible in  $L(X)$ .

(ii) By (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T) &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : T - \mu \text{ is not invertible}\} \\ &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : T - \mu \text{ is not bounded below}\} \cup \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \mu)(X) \text{ is not dense}\}, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\overline{(T - \mu)(X)} = (((T - \mu)(X))^\perp)^\perp = (\ker(T - \mu)^*)^\perp = (\ker(T^* - \bar{\mu}))^\perp.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \mu)(X) \text{ is not dense}\} &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \overline{(T - \mu)(X)} \neq X\} \\ &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : (\ker(T^* - \bar{\mu}))^\perp \neq X\} \\ &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(T^* - \bar{\mu}) \neq 0\} \\ &= \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \bar{\mu} \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Together, we have finished the proof. □