THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Department of Mathematics MATH4010 Functional Analysis 2022-23 Term 1 Solution to Homework 8

1. (a) Let E_1 and E_2 be subspaces of an inner product space. Prove that $E_1 \perp E_2$ if and only if

$$||x_1 + x_2||^2 = ||x_1||^2 + ||x_2||^2$$
(1)

whenever $x_1 \in E_1, x_2 \in E_2$.

(b) In contrast to part (a), give an example of a Hilbert space H and vectors $x_1, x_2 \in H$ such that $||x_1 + x_2||^2 = ||x_1||^2 + ||x_2||^2$, but $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) (\implies) If $E_1 \perp E_2$, then $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$ for $x_1 \in E_1$ and $x_2 \in E_2$. Hence

$$|x_1 + x_2||^2 = \langle x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2 \rangle$$

= $\langle x_1, x_1 \rangle + 2\Re \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle$
= $||x_1||^2 + ||x_2||^2 + 2\Re \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$
= $||x_1||^2 + ||x_2||^2$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose (1) holds for $x_1 \in E_1$ and $x_2 \in E_2$. Then

$$||x_1 + ix_2||^2 = ||x_1||^2 + ||ix_2||^2 = ||x_1||^2 + ||-ix_2||^2 = ||x_1 - ix_2||^2$$

and similarly,

$$||x_1 + x_2||^2 = ||x_1 - x_2||^2.$$

Hence by Polartization identity,

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left(\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 - \|x_1 - x_2\|^2 + i\|x_1 + ix_2\|^2 - i\|x_1 - ix_2\|^2 \right) = 0.$$

Thus $E_1 \perp E_2$.

(b) Consider \mathbb{C} as a complex Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle := x\overline{y}$. Then

$$||1+i||^2 = 2 = ||1||^2 + ||i||^2$$
 but $\langle 1,i\rangle = -i \neq 0$

2. Let S be a bounded sesquilinear form on $X \times Y$. Define

$$||S|| \coloneqq \sup \{ |S(x,y)| : ||x|| = 1, ||y|| = 1 \}.$$

Show that

$$||S|| = \sup\left\{\frac{|S(x,y)|}{||x|| ||y||} : x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \ y \in Y \setminus \{0\}\right\}$$

and

$$|S(x,y)| \le ||S|| ||x|| ||y||,$$
(2)

for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Proof. Denote

$$||S||_* := \sup\left\{\frac{|S(x,y)|}{||x|| ||y||} : x \in X \setminus \{0\}, \ y \in Y \setminus \{0\}\right\}.$$

For $x \in X, y \in Y$ with ||x|| = 1 and ||y|| = 1, we have $||S(x,y)|| = \frac{|S(x,y)|}{||x|| ||y||} \le ||S||_*$. Hence $||S|| \le ||S||_*$. By the sesquilinearity of S, for $x \in X \setminus \{0\}, y \in Y \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\frac{|S(x,y)|}{\|x\|\|y\|} = |S(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|})| \le \|S\|$$

since x/||x|| and y/||y|| are unit vectors, thus $||S||_* \leq ||S||$. Hence $||S|| = ||S||_*$. This shows that (2) holds for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, $y \in Y \setminus \{0\}$. Since S is sesquilinear,

$$S(0, y) = S(0 + 0, y) = 2S(0, y) \implies S(0, y) = 0$$

$$S(x, 0) = S(x, 0 + 0) = 2S(x, 0) \implies S(x, 0) = 0.$$

Thus (2) also holds when x = 0 or y = 0.

3. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be defined by

$$T: (x(1), \dots, x(n), \dots) \mapsto (x(1), \dots, \frac{1}{n}x(n), \dots)$$

for $x = (x(i)) \in \ell^2$. Show that the range $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is not closed in ℓ^2 .

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed in ℓ^2 . Note that T is injective. It follows from Open Mapping Theorem that the inverse map

$$S: \mathcal{R}(T) \to \ell^2, (y(1), \dots, y(n), \dots) \mapsto (y(1), \dots, ny(n), \dots)$$

for $y = (y(i)) \in \mathcal{R}(T)$, is bounded. However, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $e_n = (e_n(i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $e_n(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = n \\ 0 & i \neq n \end{cases}$. Then $e_n \in \mathcal{R}(T)$ and $||e_n|| = 1$. Hence $||S|| \ge ||Se_n|| = n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, which contradicts the boundedness of S.

— THE END —