

Further example

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ A : \emptyset \neq A \subset [0,1] \text{ \& } A \text{ closed in } [0,1] \}$$

For $A, B \in \mathcal{K}$, define

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\},$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Recall that } d(a, B) = \inf_{b \in B} |a - b| \quad (\text{dist. from } a \text{ to set } B) \\ d(b, A) = \inf_{a \in A} |b - a| \quad (\text{dist. from } b \text{ to set } A) \end{array} \right)$$

Prop. 1 d_H is a metric on \mathcal{K} .

Pf: (i) clearly $d_H(A, B) \geq 0$.

If $d_H(A, B) = 0$, then

$$\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \leq d_H(A, B) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(a, B) = 0, \quad \forall a \in A$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall a \in A, \forall n > 0, \exists b_n \in B \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|a - b_n| < \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_n \rightarrow a \text{ in } [0,1]$$

Since B is closed, $a \in B$. $\therefore A \subset B$.

Similarly BCA .

$$\therefore A=B.$$

(ii) Clearly $d_H(A, B) = d_H(B, A)$ by definition

(iii) Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\forall a \in A, b \in B, \text{ \& } c \in C,$$

$$|a-b| \leq |a-c| + |c-b|$$

$$\Rightarrow \inf_{b \in B} |a-b| \leq |a-c| + \inf_{b \in B} |c-b|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } d(a, B) &\leq |a-c| + d(c, B) \\ &\leq |a-c| + \sup_{c \in C} d(c, B) \\ &\leq |a-c| + d_H(C, B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall n > 0, \exists c_n \in C \text{ s.t. } |a-c_n| < d(a, C) + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d(a, B) &\leq d(a, C) + \frac{1}{n} + d_H(C, B) \\ &\leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B) + \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B) + \frac{1}{n}$$

Similarly $\sup_{b \in B'} d(b, A) \leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B) + \frac{1}{n}$

$$\Rightarrow d_H(A, B) \leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B) + \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n=1, 2, \dots$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d_H(A, B) \leq d_H(A, C) + d_H(C, B).$$

(i), (ii) & (iii) together $\Rightarrow d_H$ is a metric on \mathcal{K} . ~~✗~~

Prop 2 (\mathcal{K}, d_H) is complete.

Lemma Let A_n be a seq. in \mathcal{K} ,

$$A = \{a \in [0, 1] : \exists a_n \in A_n \text{ s.t. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$A' = \left\{ a \in [0, 1] : \begin{array}{l} \exists \text{ subseq } n_k \text{ and } a_{n_k} \in A_{n_k} \\ \text{s.t. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} = a \end{array} \right\}.$$

If A_n is a Cauchy seq. in (\mathcal{K}, d_H) ,

then $A = A'$

Pf: Clearly $A \subset A'$.

To prove $A' \subset A$, we consider an $a \in A'$.

By def. of A' , \exists subseq n_k and $a_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$, $\forall k$

s.t. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_k} = a$.

If $a \notin A$, then

$\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a subseq n_l ($\neq \{n_k\}$, $\forall l$) s.t.

$$d(a, A_{n_l}) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Otherwise, $\forall \varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\exists n_0 > 0$ s.t.

$$d(a, A_n) < \varepsilon_0, \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \ \& \ n \notin \{n_k\}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq n_0 \ \& \ n \notin \{n_k\}$, $\exists z_n \in A_n$ s.t.

$$|a - z_n| < \varepsilon_0,$$

Hence combining with a_{n_k} , we have a convergent seq

$$a_n = \begin{cases} z_n, & \text{if } n \neq n_k \text{ for any } k \\ a_{n_k}, & \text{if } n = n_k \text{ for some } k \end{cases}$$

limiting to a (i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$)

$\Rightarrow a \in A$ which contradicts our assumption.

$\therefore \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a subseq n_ℓ ($\notin \{n_k\}, \forall \ell$) s.t.

$$d(a, A_{n_\ell}) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Hence $\varepsilon_0 \leq |a - z_{n_\ell}|, \quad \forall z_{n_\ell} \in A_{n_\ell}$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_0 \leq |a - a_{n_k}| + |a_{n_k} - z_{n_\ell}|$$

$$\leq |a - a_{n_k}| + d(a_{n_k}, A_{n_\ell}) \quad (\text{by take } \inf_{z_{n_\ell} \in A_{n_\ell}})$$

$$\leq |a - a_{n_k}| + d_H(A_{n_k}, A_{n_\ell})$$

As $a_{n_k} \rightarrow a$ and A_n is Cauchy,

$$\exists k_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } |a - a_{n_k}| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}, \quad \forall k \geq k_0$$

$$\text{and } d_H(A_{n_k}, A_{n_\ell}) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}, \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \\ \text{and } \forall n_\ell \geq n_{k_0}$$

Letting ℓ large enough s.t. $n_\ell \geq n_{k_0}$, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$$

which is also a contradiction.

$$\therefore a \in A.$$

Since $a \in A'$ is arbitrary, $A' \subset A$ & hence $A = A' \quad \#$

Pf of Prop 2: Let A_n be a Cauchy seq. in (\mathbb{K}, d_H)

and $A = \{a \in [0, 1] : \exists a_n \in A_n \text{ with } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a\}$.

Step 1 A is closed (& hence $A \in \mathbb{K}$)

Pf: Let $a \in [0, 1] \setminus A$, then

$$a \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \text{ for any seq. } a_n \text{ with } a_n \in A_n$$

$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and subseq n_k s.t. for any $a_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$

$$|a_{n_k} - a| \geq \varepsilon_0$$

Taking inf over A_{n_k} , we have

$\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and subseq n_k s.t.

$$d(a, A_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon_0$$

Let $b \in (a - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}, a + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2})$, then $\forall a_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$

$$|a - a_{n_k}| \leq |a - b| + |b - a_{n_k}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon_0 < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} + |b - a_{n_k}|, \quad \forall a_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \leq d(b, A_{n_k})$$

Hence, there is no seq a_n with $a_n \in A_n$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = b$$

$$\therefore b \in [0, 1] \setminus A.$$

Since $b \in (a - \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, a + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2})$ is arbitrary,

$$(a - \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, a + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}) \subset [0, 1] \setminus A.$$

As $a \in [0, 1] \setminus A$ is arbitrary, $[0, 1] \setminus A$ is open

$\therefore A$ is closed.

This completes the proof of Step 1.

Step 2 $A_n \rightarrow A$ in (\mathbb{K}, d_H)

Pf: By def. of A ,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall a \in A, \exists a_n \in A_n \ \& \ N_0 > 0 \ \text{s.t.}$$

$$|a - a_n| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(a, A_n) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{a \in A} d(a, A_n) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

(to be cont'd)