$Pf: Define E = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$ , where  $E_{k} = \left\{ X = \frac{1}{2^{k}} \begin{pmatrix} l_{i} \\ \vdots \\ l_{k} \end{pmatrix} \in \overline{G} : l_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i=1, \dots, m \right\}.$ 0 (0) G closed and bounded => Ek és finite. Hence E=UER à countable. Let {fn} be a sequence in E. E bounded  $\Rightarrow$ ∃M>O such that 11fnlloo≤M, Hn i.e. [fn(x)] & M, AN & AXEG In particular, VXEE,  $|f_n(x)| \leq M$ ,  $\forall n$ If we arrange the points of E in a seguence  $E = Z_{\tilde{j}} \leq Z_{\tilde{j}} \leq Z_{\tilde{j}}$ , then  $\forall j \geq 1$ , (fr(zj)) is a bounded sequence.



Hence one can apply Lemma 4.3 to find a subsequence 1 gus of 1 fn & (using the same notation "n" for the index) such that  $\forall \times \in E$ ,  $g_n(\times)$  is convergent. We claim that gn is the required convergent subsequence of for in the nettic space (C(G), dos). (Note that we only have pointaise convegence for ) constable many points at this moment. Since (C(G), dus) is complete, we only need to show that ( gn & is a Cauchy sequence in (C(E), dos). By equicationity of  $\mathcal{E}$ , ( $\Rightarrow$  equicationity of  $\{g_n\}$ ) 42>0, ZJ>0 such that |gn(x)-gn(y) < €, An & ∀x, yeG with 1x-y1<5.

Note that if k satisfies  $\frac{2\delta}{Jm}$ , then  $\forall x \in G$ ,  $\exists z_j \in E_k$  such that  $(x-z_j) < \delta$ . (See figure)



Hence  $\left[g_{n}(x) - g_{n}(z_{j})\right] < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ ,  $\forall n$ 

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left| g_{n(X)} - g_{m}(X) \right| &\leq \left| g_{n}(X) - g_{n}(z_{j}) \right| + \left| g_{n}(z_{j}) - g_{m}(z_{j}) \right| \\ &+ \left| g_{m}(z_{j}) - g_{m}(X) \right| \end{split}$$

$$<\frac{2e}{3}+|g_{n}(z_{j})-g_{m}(z_{j})|$$
.

Since  $\{g_n(z_j)\}$  is convergent  $\exists n_0 = n_0(z_j) \ge 0$  s.t.

$$|g_{i}(z_{j}) - g_{i}(z_{j})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{s}, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_{o}(z_{j}).$$

 $\Rightarrow \left[ g_{n}(x) - g_{m}(x) \right] < \varepsilon, \forall n, m > n_{0}(z_{j}). \quad (z_{j} \text{ depends on } x)$ 

Now take 
$$N_0 = \max_{z_j \in E_K} \max_{x_j \in E_K} \sum_{x_j \in E_K}$$

This completes the proof of the Therem. XF