

(iv) A reason for studying $C_b(X)$ instead of $C(X)$ is the fact that $C(X)$ may contain unbounded functions and supnorm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ doesn't define.

(eg: $X = \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$.)

However, in some cases, it is still possible to define a metric on $C(X)$.

eg $X = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\overline{B}_n(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x| \leq n\}$, $\forall n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$

$\forall f, g \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$, define

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|f - g\|_{\infty, \overline{B}_n(0)}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{\infty, \overline{B}_n(0)}}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \overline{B}_n(0)}$ is the supnorm on the closed ball $\overline{B}_n(0)$.

Then d is a complete metric on $C(\mathbb{R}^m)$. (Ex!)

(v) Recall:

Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (in \mathbb{R}^n):

Every bounded sequence (set) has (contains) a convergent subsequence (sequence).

• $C_b(X)$ may not have Bolzano-Weierstrass property.

eg. $C_b([0,1]) \neq C([0,1])$. Let $f_n(x) = x^n$, $x \in [0,1]$.

Then $\|f_n\|_\infty = 1$, $\forall n$.

Note that pointwise limit $f_n(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & x=1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

\Rightarrow no subsequence converges in $C_b([0,1])$.

In view of note (v), we need further condition to help us to find convergence sequence in subset of $C_b(X)$.

Def: Let (X, d) be a metric space. A set $E \subset X$ is called a precompact set if every sequence in E contains a convergent subsequence.

(with limit in X , not necessary in E)

If further required that the limit belongs to E , then it is called compact.

Note: Compact set is a closed precompact set.

Pf: Let $\{x_n\} \subset E$.

$$E \text{ precompact} \Rightarrow \exists x_{n_j} \rightarrow z \in X$$

$$E \text{ closed} \Rightarrow z \in E$$

Hence closed precompact \Rightarrow compact.

The other direction:

"compact \Rightarrow closed precompact"

is trivial. #

eg: Bolzano-Weierstrass \Rightarrow

" $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is precompact $\Leftrightarrow E$ is bounded." (Ex!)

Hence

" $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact $\Leftrightarrow E$ is closed & bounded."

Def: Let (X, d) be a metric space.

A subset \mathcal{C} of $C(X)$ is equicontinuous

if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in \mathcal{C} \text{ \& } d(x, y) < \delta \quad (x, y \in X)$$

Note: Clearly if \mathcal{C} is equicontinuous, then

any $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ is equicontinuous.

Eg: If $X = \bar{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $G \neq \emptyset$ open & bounded.

Then $\mathcal{F} \in C(\bar{G})$ is always uniformly continuous:

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x, y) = |x - y| < \delta \quad (x, y \in \bar{G})$$

The δ here usually depends on f .

Comparing to the definition of equicontinuity,

\mathcal{C} is equicontinuous, if we can find a

uniform $\delta > 0$ for all functions $f \in \mathcal{C}$,

i.e. δ is independent of points $x, y \in \bar{G}$
and functions $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

eg: A function f defined on a subset \bar{G} of \mathbb{R}^n ($G \neq \emptyset$, open & bounded)
is called

Hölder continuous

if $\exists \alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(*) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L |x - y|^\alpha, \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{G},$$

for some constant L .

• The number α is called the Hölder exponent.

• The function is called Lipschitz continuous if

(*) holds for $\alpha=1$.

• For a fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ & $L > 0$, the family

$\mathcal{E} = \{ f \in C(\bar{G}) : f \text{ Hölder/Lip. with exponent } \alpha \text{ and } L > 0 \}$

is an equicontinuous family.

Pf = $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ such that $L\delta^\alpha < \varepsilon$.

Then $\forall f \in \mathcal{E}$, $\forall x, y \in \bar{G}$ with $|x-y| < \delta$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x-y|^\alpha < L\delta^\alpha < \varepsilon. \quad \#$$

Prop 4.1: let \mathcal{C} be a subset $\mathcal{C}(\bar{G})$ where

\bar{G} is nonempty convex in \mathbb{R}^n (with G open & bounded).

Suppose that each function in \mathcal{C} is differentiable and there is a uniform bound on their partial derivatives.

Then \mathcal{C} is equicontinuous.

(ie. $\mathcal{C} = \{f \in C(\bar{G}) : f \text{ differentiable, } \|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\|_\infty \leq M, \forall i\}$
is equicontinuous provided \bar{G} is convex. (\uparrow for same M)
indep. of f))

Pf: $\forall x, y \in \bar{G}$,

\bar{G} convex $\Rightarrow x + t(y-x) \in \bar{G}, \forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Then $f(y) - f(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(x + t(y-x)) dt$

$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x + t(y-x)) (y_i - x_i) dt$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x+t(y-x)) dt \right) (y_i - x_i)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x+t(y-x)) dt \right|^2} |y-x|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n} M |y-x|,$$

where $M = \text{uniform b.d. on the partial derivatives.}$

Interchanging x, y , we have

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \sqrt{n} M |y-x|, \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{G}$$

Then by the above example, \mathcal{E} is equicontinuous. ~~✗~~

Eg 4.1 (Equicontinuous, but unbounded)

let $\mathcal{X} = [-1, 1]$ and consider

$$\mathcal{E} = \{x \in C[-1, 1] : x'(t) = t, \quad t \in [-1, 1]\}.$$

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{E}, \quad |x(t) - x(s)| \leq \|x'\|_{\infty} |t-s| \leq |t-s|.$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is equicontinuous (as above).

But \mathcal{E} is unbounded (in $C[-1,1]$):

$$x_n(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} + n \in \mathcal{E} \text{ has}$$

$$\|x_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2} + n \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

(Clearly, $\{x_n\}$ has no convergent subsequence.)

eg 4.5 (Closed & Bounded, but not Equicontinuous)

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{B} = \{f \in C[0,1] : |f(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in [0,1]\}$$

$$\left(= \overline{B_1^\infty(0)} \right)$$

Then \mathcal{B} is closed and bounded.

To show that \mathcal{B} is not equicontinuous, we only need to find a subset of \mathcal{B} which is not equicontinuous.

$$\text{Let } \{f_n(x) = \sin nx\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Claim: $\{f_n(x) = \sin nx\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not equicontinuous

Pf Suppose on the contrary that

$\{f_n(x) = \sin nx\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous.

Then for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$\forall n \geq 1$, & $x, y \in [0, 1]$ with $|x - y| < \delta$, we have

$$|\sin nx - \sin ny| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

However, for any $\delta > 0$, if $n > \max\left\{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}, \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}\right\}$,

we have $x = 0$ & $y = \frac{\pi}{2n} \in [0, 1]$ with $|x - y| < \delta$

$$\text{and } |\sin n \cdot 0 - \sin n \cdot \frac{\pi}{2n}| = |0 - 1| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$$

which is a contradiction.

$\therefore \{ \sin nx \}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not equicontinuous. ~~✗~~

Lemma 4.3 Let $A = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable set and

$f_n = A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of functions defined on A .

Suppose that for each $z_j \in A$,

$\{f_n(z_j)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} .

Then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

such that $\forall z_j \in A$,

$\{f_{n_k}(z_j)\}$ is convergent.

Pf: Since $f_n(z_1)$ is a bounded sequence (in \mathbb{R}),

\exists a subsequence f'_n such that

$f'_n(z_1)$ is convergent.

(Note that we have used the same index n to denote the subsequence f_{n_k} . The superscript 1 is to denote that it is convergent when evaluated at z_1 .)

For this subsequence f'_n (of original f_n),

$f'_n(z_2)$ is bounded (since $\{f'_n(z_2)\} \subset \{f_n(z_2)\}$).

Hence \exists a subsequence $\{f_n^2\}$ of $\{f'_n\}$ such that

$\{f_n^2(z_2)\}$ is convergent.

Note that since $\{f'_n\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n\}$,

$\{f_n^2\}$ is also a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$.

Also, $\{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ is a subseq. of the convergent subsequence

$\{f'_n(z_1)\}$,

$\therefore \{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ is also convergent.

Therefore, we've found a subseq. $\{f_n^2\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that

- $\{f_n^2\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n\}$, and

- $\{f_n^2(z_1)\}$ and $\{f_n^2(z_2)\}$ are convergent,

Repeating the process, one can obtain sequences

$$\{f_n^j\} \quad (j=0,1,2,\dots \text{ with } f_n^0 = f_n)$$

such that

(i) $\{f_n^{j+1}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n^j\}$, $\forall j=0,1,2,\dots$

(ii) $\{f_n^j(z_1)\}, \{f_n^j(z_2)\}, \dots, \{f_n^j(z_j)\}$ are convergent ($j \geq 1$)

f_1^1	f_2^1	f_3^1	\dots	f_n^1	\dots	convergent at
f_1^2	f_2^2	f_3^2	\dots	f_n^2	\dots	z_1, z_2
f_1^3	f_2^3	f_3^3	\dots	f_n^3	\dots	z_1, z_2, z_3
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
f_1^n	f_2^n	f_3^n	\dots	f_n^n	\dots	z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots

Define $g_n = f_n^n$, $\forall n \geq 1$. (the diagonal sequence),

then $\{g_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ and

for any fixed $j=1,2,\dots$, $g_n(z_j) = f_n^n(z_j)$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \geq j$ for sufficiently large n .

Hence $\{f_n^n(z_j)\}$ is a subsequence of the convergent sequence

$\{f_n^j(z_j)\}$ for all sufficiently large n .

Therefore $\{g_n(z_j)\}$ is convergent.

This completes the proof of the Lemma. $\#\#$

(This method of finding g_n is called the Cantor's diagonal trick.)

Thm 4.2 (Ascoli's Theorem)

Suppose that G is a bounded nonempty open set in \mathbb{R}^m .

Then a set $\mathcal{E} \subset C(\bar{G}) (= C_b(\bar{G}))$ is precompact

if \mathcal{E} is bounded (in supnorm) and equicontinuous.