

Notes 12: Sample Size Bounds via VC dimension

Is \mathcal{C} PAC-learnable?

How many samples are needed to learn \mathcal{C} ? (perhaps with an inefficient algorithm)

If \mathcal{C} is finite, and if confidence parameter δ is constant (e.g. $\delta = 1/100$)

then roughly $(\ln |\mathcal{C}|)/\varepsilon$ samples suffice (Consistent Hypothesis Algorithm)

What about lower bound?

What if \mathcal{C} is infinite?

VC dimension gives almost tight answer!

Let $d = \text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C})$

Any PAC learning algorithm for \mathcal{C} must use $\Omega(d/\varepsilon)$ samples

if $\text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C}) = \infty$, needs infinitely many samples (not PAC learnable)

Consistent Hypothesis Algorithm PAC-learns \mathcal{C} with $m = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(d \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$ samples
inefficient algorithm

$$C_1 \frac{d}{\varepsilon} \leq \# \text{samples to PAC learn (slowly)} \leq C_2 \frac{d \ln(1/\varepsilon) + \ln(1/\delta)}{\varepsilon}$$

1. LOWER BOUNDS

Claim 1 (No Free Lunch). Let $d = \text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C})$. Any PAC algorithm to learn \mathcal{C} with $\delta = 1/10$ (say) must use $\geq d/2 = \Omega(d)$ samples on some distribution \mathcal{D}

Proof. Some subset $S = \{x^1, \dots, x^d\}$ is shattered by \mathcal{C}

Every dichotomy $T \subseteq S$ is induced by some $c \in \mathcal{C}$

Idea: Every labeling is possible; $d/2$ seen samples give no information about unseen samples
 $\mathcal{D} =$ uniform distribution on S

Pick one of the dichotomies T and some c inducing it (2^d of them) uniformly at random

If algorithm A gets $d/2$ samples and outputs hypothesis h

$$\mathbb{E}_c[\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c)] \geq \mathbb{P}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}[x \text{ isn't among the } d/2 \text{ seen samples}] \mathbb{P}_c[h(x) \neq c(x)] \geq \frac{d/2}{d} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - \text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c)$ nonnegative random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq 3/4$

By averaging argument/Markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geq 7/8] \leq \mathbb{E}[X]/(7/8) \leq (3/4)/(7/8) = 6/7$$

i.e. $\mathbb{P}[\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) \geq 1/8] \geq 1/7$

□

Markov inequality: For any nonnegative random variable X , any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geq t] \leq \mathbb{E}[X]/t$$

$$\text{Reason: } \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{P}[X \geq t] \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X \mid X \geq t]}_{\geq t} + \underbrace{\mathbb{P}[X < t]}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X \mid X < t]}_{\geq 0} \geq t \mathbb{P}[X \geq t]$$

The lower bound can be boosted to $\Omega(d/\varepsilon)$

Claim 2. Let $d = \text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C})$. Any PAC algorithm to learn \mathcal{C} with $\delta = 1/10$ (say) must use $\Omega(d/\varepsilon)$ samples on some distribution \mathcal{D}

Proof. Some subset $S = \{x^1, \dots, x^d\}$ is shattered by \mathcal{C}

\mathcal{D} has weight $1 - 8\varepsilon$ on x^1 and weight $8\varepsilon/(d-1)$ on any of x^2, \dots, x^d

Idea: x^2, \dots, x^d are rare: every $1/(8\varepsilon)$ sample is one of them; slows down learning by $\Omega(1/\varepsilon)$

Again, pick one of the dichotomies T and some c inducing it (2^d of them) uniformly at random

If algorithm A gets $\leq (d-1)/2$ of the rare samples (i.e. one of x^2, \dots, x^d)

then with prob. $\geq 1/7$, A has error $\geq 1/8$ under the uniform distribution over rare samples

rare samples have total weight 8ε , so A has error $\geq \varepsilon$ under \mathcal{D}

How likely will A get $\leq (d-1)/2$ of rare samples?

If A uses $\frac{d-1}{32\varepsilon} = \Omega(d/\varepsilon)$ samples

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{\#rare samples}] = 8\varepsilon \frac{d-1}{32\varepsilon} = \frac{d-1}{4}$$

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{\#rare samples} \geq \frac{d-1}{2}] \leq e^{-(d-1)/12} \quad (\text{Chernoff; } pm = \frac{d-1}{4}, \gamma = 1)$$

$$\leq 1/100 \text{ (say)} \quad \text{when } d \geq 100$$

Overall with prob. $\geq \frac{99}{100} \frac{1}{7} \geq \frac{1}{10}$, A outputs hypothesis h with error $\geq \varepsilon$ □

2. UPPER BOUND

If $\text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C}) = d$, will show that $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(d \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$ samples suffice to PAC-learn \mathcal{C}

Similar bound as Consistent Hypothesis analysis in notes09

$\ln |\mathcal{H}|$ replaced with $\text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C}) \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$

Lower bound proof suggests too many dichotomies induced by \mathcal{C} make future prediction difficult

Upper bound proof will show that when m is much bigger than d , not many dichotomies are possible

Will prove in two steps:

- (1) When $m > d$, #dichotomies induced on m samples grow only polynomially, i.e. $O(m^d)$
- (2) With few dichotomies, a small number of samples is likely representative
and Consistent Hypothesis Algorithm works

Now measure #dichotomies on m samples as follows

Given subset of samples $S \subseteq X$

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\text{dichotomies induced on } S \text{ by } \mathcal{C}\} = \{c \cap S \mid c \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

e.g. $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{closed intervals}\}$, $S = \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq X = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(S) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\} \quad (\text{missing } \{1, 3\})$$

Key definition: **Growth function / Shatter coefficient**

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \text{\#dichotomies induced on subset of } m \text{ samples} = \max\{\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(S) \mid S \subseteq X, |S| = m\}$$

e.g. $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{closed intervals}\}$

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(1) = 2 \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(2) = 4 \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(3) = 7$$

Note: $\text{VCDim}(\mathcal{C}) \geq m \iff \Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) = 2^m$

$\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m)$ grows exponentially when $m \leq d$ (and that's why insufficient info to learn)

Next lecture: $\Pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) \leq \left(\frac{em}{d}\right)^d$ grows polynomially in m when $m > d$ and d fixed

