

ENGG1410-F Tutorial 6

Jianwen Zhao

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Problem 1. Matrix Diagonalization

Diagonalize the following matrix:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

The 2×2 matrix \mathbf{A} has two distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = 5$, which means it is diagonalizable.

We then obtain an arbitrary eigenvector \mathbf{v}_1 of λ_1 and also an arbitrary eigenvector \mathbf{v}_2 of λ_2 , say

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, apply the diagonalization method we discussed in class, form:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

by using \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 as the first and second column respectively.

Solution-cont.

Q has the inverse

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & -1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We thus obtain the following diagonalization of A :

$$A = Q \operatorname{diag}[-1, 5] Q^{-1}$$

Problem 2. Matrix Power

Consider again the matrix \mathbf{A} in Problem 1, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Calculate \mathbf{A}^t for any integer $t \geq 1$.

Solution

We already know that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag}[-1, 5] \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^t &= \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag}[(-1)^t, 5^t] \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^t & 0 \\ 0 & 5^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & -1/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (5^t + 2 \times (-1)^t)/3 & (5^t + (-1)^{t+1})/3 \\ (2 \times 5^t + 2 \times (-1)^{t+1})/3 & (2 \times 5^t + (-1)^{t+2})/3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3. Matrix Diagonalization

Diagonalize the following matrix:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution

A has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$. $EigenSpace(\lambda_1)$ includes all $[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^T$ satisfying $x_1 = u + v, x_2 = u, x_3 = v$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

The vector space $EigenSpace(\lambda_1)$ has dimension 2 with a basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ where $\mathbf{v}_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 0]^T$ (given by $u = 1, v = 0$) and $\mathbf{v}_2 = [1 \ 0 \ 1]^T$ (given by $u = 0, v = 1$).

Similarly, $EigenSpace(\lambda_2)$ includes all $[x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^T$ satisfying $x_1 = x_2 = -3u$ and $x_3 = u$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

The vector space $EigenSpace(\lambda_2)$ has dimension 1 with a basis $\{\mathbf{v}_3\}$ where $\mathbf{v}_3 = [-3 \ -3 \ 1]^T$ (given by $u = 1$).

Solution-cont.

So far, we have obtained three linearly independent eigenvectors v_1, v_2, v_3 of A . We then construct

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and Q has the inverse

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We thus obtain the following diagonalization of A :

$$A = Q \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, 2] Q^{-1}$$

Problem 4. Matrix Similarity

Suppose that matrices A and B are similar to each other, namely, there exists P such that $A = P^{-1}BP$.

Prove: if x is an eigenvector of A under eigenvalue λ , then Px is an eigenvector of B under eigenvalue λ .

Problem 5. Matrix Trace

Definition. The **trace** of an $n \times n$ square matrix \mathbf{A} , denoted by $tr(\mathbf{A})$, is defined to be the sum of the elements on the main diagonal of \mathbf{A} , i.e.,
$$tr(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

For example, if

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -3 & -3 \\ 3 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then $tr(\mathbf{A}) = 4 + (-2) + 2 = 4$.

Prove: $tr(\mathbf{AB}) = tr(\mathbf{BA})$, where \mathbf{A} is an $m \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{B} is an $n \times m$ matrix.

Solution

Proof. Denote by a_{ij} the element of \mathbf{A} at i -th row and j -th column, b_{ji} the element of \mathbf{B} at j -th row and i -th column, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$(\mathbf{AB})_{ii} = a_{i1}b_{1i} + a_{i2}b_{2i} + \dots + a_{in}b_{ni} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ji}$$

Similarly,

$$(\mathbf{BA})_{jj} = b_{j1}a_{1j} + b_{j2}a_{2j} + \dots + b_{jm}a_{mj} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ji}a_{ij}$$

Hence

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ji} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ji}a_{ij} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{BA})$$



Problem 6. Traces & Eigenvalues & Determinants

Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ diagonalizable matrix, namely, there exists \mathbf{Q} such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QBQ}^{-1}$, and \mathbf{B} is a diagonal matrix. Denote by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ the n eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} .

Prove: (1) $tr(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$, (2) $det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$.

Solution

Proof.

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{QBQ}^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{BQ}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Where the second equality used the fact that $\text{tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{BA})$ and the last equality used the facts (i) \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have exactly the same eigenvalues due to their similarity, and (ii) the eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix are simply its diagonal elements.

Solution-cont.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{A}) &= \det(\mathbf{QBQ}^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{B}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{Q}^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{B}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{Q}^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{B}) \cdot \det(\mathbf{QQ}^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{B}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality used the facts (i) \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} have exactly the same eigenvalues due to their similarity, and (ii) the eigenvalues of a diagonal matrix are simply its diagonal elements. □

In fact, the conclusion of this problem is true in general, **regardless of whether \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable.**

For any $n \times n$ square matrix \mathbf{A} , if its n eigenvalues are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, then $tr(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ and $det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$.

The proof is not difficult but a little tedious, students who are interested may refer to the proof at the following link:

<https://www.adelaide.edu.au/mathsllearning/play/seminars/evaluate-magic-tricks-handout.pdf>