### LR(0) Parsers

#### CSCI 3130 Formal Languages and Automata Theory

#### Siu On CHAN

Chinese University of Hong Kong

Fall 2016

Parsing computer programs

#### if (n == 0) { return x; }

First phase of javac compiler: lexical analysis

The alphabet of Java CFG consists of tokens like  $\Sigma = \{if, return, (, ), \{, \}, ;, ==, ID, INT_LIT, ... \}$ 

### Parsing computer programs



Parse tree of a Java statement

# CFG of the java programming language

Identifier:

IdentifierChars but not a Keyword or BooleanLiteral or NullLiteral Literal:

IntegerLiteral
FloatingPointLiteral
BooleanLiteral
CharacterLiteral
StringLiteral
NullLiteral
Expression:
LambdaExpression
AssignmentExpression
AssignmentOperator:
(one of) = \*= /= %= += -= <<= >>= &= ^= |=

from http:

//java.sun.com/docs/books/jls/second\_edition/html/syntax.doc.html#52996

### Parsing Java programs

}

```
class Point2d {
    /* The X and Y coordinates of the point--instance variables */
    private double x:
    private double y;
    private boolean debug: // A trick to help with debugging
   public Point2d (double px, double py) { // Constructor
  X = DX:
  v = pv:
  debug = false; // turn off debugging
    }
   public Point2d () { // Default constructor
  this (0.0, 0.0):
                                    // Invokes 2 parameter Point2D constructor
    // Note that a this() invocation must be the BEGINNING of
   // statement body of constructor
   public Point2d (Point2d pt) { // Another consructor
  x = pt.qetX();
  v = pt.getY():
```

#### Simple Java program: about 1000 tokens

## Parsing algorithms

#### How long would it take to parse this program?

try all parse trees	$\geqslant 10^{80}$ years
CYK algorithm	hours

Can we parse faster?

CYK is the fastest known general-purpose parsing algorithm for CFGs

Luckily, some CFGs can be rewritten to allow for a faster parsing algorithm!

# Hierarchy of context-free grammars



Java, Python, etc have LR(1) grammars

We will describe LR(0) parsing algorithm A grammar is LR(0) if LR(0) parser works correctly for it

# LR(0) parser: overview

$$S \rightarrow SA \mid A$$
 input: ()()  
 $A \rightarrow (S) \mid$  ()

1 •()()	2 (•)()	3 ()•()
4 A●() / \ ( )	5 S•()           	6 S(●)             
7 S()• A / \ ( )	$\begin{array}{c} 8  S  A \bullet \\ & \downarrow  / \\ A  ( \ ) \\ & / \\ ( \ ) \end{array}$	9 S• S A I / \ A () / \ ()

### LR(0) parser: overview

 $S 
ightarrow SA \mid A$  $A 
ightarrow (S) \mid$  ( )

input: ()()

Features of LR(0) parser:

- Greedily reduce the recently completed rule into a variable
- Unique choice of reduction at any time

# LR(0) parsing using a PDA

To speed up parsing, keep track of partially completed rules in a PDA PIn fact, the PDA will be a simple modification of an NFA NThe NFA accepts if a rule  $B \rightarrow \beta$  has just been completed and the PDA will reduce  $\beta$  to B  $\dots \Rightarrow \mathbf{2} (\bullet)() \Rightarrow \mathbf{3} ()\bullet() \stackrel{\checkmark}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{4} \qquad A \bullet () \stackrel{\checkmark}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{5} \qquad S \bullet () \Rightarrow \dots$ 

 $\checkmark$ : NFA N accepts

#### NFA acceptance condition

$$S 
ightarrow SA \mid A$$
  
 $A 
ightarrow (S) \mid$  ( )

A rule B 
ightarrow eta has just been completed if

```
Case 1 input/buffer so far is exactly \beta
Examples: 3 ()•() and 4 A•()
( )
Case 2 Or buffer so far is \alpha\beta and there is another rule C \rightarrow \alpha B\gamma
Example: 7 S()•
A
( )
This case can be chained
```

## Designing NFA for Case 1

$$S \rightarrow SA \mid A$$
  
 $A \rightarrow (S) \mid$  ()

Design an NFA N' to accept the right hand side of some rule  $B \to \beta$ 

### Designing NFA for Case 1

 $S \to SA \mid A$  $A \to (S) \mid ()$ 

Design an NFA N' to accept the right hand side of some rule  $B \to \beta$ 



# Designing NFA for Cases 1 & 2

$$S \to SA \mid A$$
$$A \to (S) \mid ()$$

Design an NFA N to accept  $\alpha\beta$  for some rules  $C\to \alpha B\gamma, \quad B\to \beta$  and for longer chains

### Designing NFA for Cases 1 & 2

$$S \to SA \mid A$$
$$A \to (S) \mid ()$$

Design an NFA N to accept  $\alpha\beta$  for some rules  $C\to \alpha B\gamma, \quad B\to \beta$  and for longer chains

For every rule 
$$C o lpha B\gamma$$
,  $B o eta$ , add  $C o lpha ullet B\gamma \longrightarrow B\gamma$   $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} B o ullet \beta$ 



### Summary of the NFA

For every rule 
$$B \to \beta$$
, add  
 $\rightarrow q_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} B \to \bullet \beta$ 

For every rule  $B \rightarrow \alpha X \beta$  (X may be terminal or variable), add

$$\underbrace{B \to \alpha \bullet X\beta} \xrightarrow{X} \underbrace{B \to \alpha X \bullet \beta}$$

Every completed rule 
$$B \to \beta$$
 is accepting  $B \to \beta \bullet$ 

For every rule 
$$C \to \alpha B \gamma, B \to \beta$$
, add  
 $C \to \alpha \bullet B \gamma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} B \to \bullet \beta$ 

The NFA  ${\cal N}$  will accept whenever a rule has just been completed

# Equivalent DFA D for the NFA ${\cal N}$





Observation: every accepting state contains only one rule: a completed rule  $B \to \beta \bullet$ , and such rules appear only in accepting states

# LR(0) grammars

A grammar G is LR(0) if its corresponding  $D_G$  satisfies:

Every accepting state contains only one rule: a completed rule of the form  $B \to \beta \bullet$ and completed rules appear only in accepting states

Shift state:

no completed rule

$$\begin{array}{c}
S \to S \bullet A \\
A \to \bullet(S) \\
A \to \bullet()
\end{array}$$

Reduce state:

has (unique) completed rule

$$A \to (S) \bullet$$

# Simulating DFA ${\cal D}$

#### Our parser P simulates state transitions in DFA D

$$(()\bullet) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (A\bullet) \\ / \land \\ ()$$

After reducing ( ) to A, what is the new state?

Solution: keep track of previous states in a stack go back to the correct state by looking at the stack

#### Let's label D's states



# LR(0) parser: a "PDA" P simulating DFA D

#### *P*'s stack contains labels of *D*'s states to remember progress of partially completed rules

#### At D's non-accepting state $q_i$

- 1. P simulates D's transition upon reading terminal or variable X
- 2. P pushes current state label  $q_i$  onto its stack

At *D*'s accepting state with completed rule  $B \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_k$ 

- 1. P pops k labels  $q_k, \ldots, q_1$  from its stack



3. P goes to state  $q_1$  (last label popped earlier), pretend next input symbol is B

# Example

	state	stack
1 •()()	$q_1$	\$
2 (•)()	$q_5$	\$1
3 ()•()	$q_8$	\$15
•A()	$q_1$	\$
(`)		
<b>4</b> <i>A</i> ●() / \	$q_4$	\$1
( )		
• S()	$q_1$	\$
, , , , , , , , , ,		

	state	stack
5 S•()	$q_2$	\$1
1		
A		
( )		
6 <i>S</i> (•)	$q_5$	\$12
I.		
A		
/ \		
( )		

# Example



parser's output is the parse tree

### Another LR(0) grammar

$$L = \{ w \# w^R \mid w \in \{ \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b} \}^* \} \qquad \qquad C \to \mathsf{a} C \mathsf{a} \mid \mathsf{b} C \mathsf{b} \mid \#$$



## Another LR(0) grammar

 $C \rightarrow aCa \mid bCb \mid \#$ 



input: ba#ab stack action state \$ 1 S S \$1 4 S 3 \$14 \$143 2 R 5 S \$143 7 R \$1435 \$14 6 S 8 R \$146

#### PDA for LR(0) parsing is deterministic

Some CFLs require non-deterministic PDAs, such as  $L = \{ww^R \mid w \in \{\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}\}^*\}$ 

What goes wrong when we do LR(0) parsing on L?

# Example 2

Example 2



$$C \to \mathbf{a} C \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{b} C \mathbf{b} \mid \varepsilon$$

#### shift-reduce conflicts

#### Parser generator



"PDA" for parsing  ${\cal G}$ 

Motivation: Fast parsing for programming languages

# LR(1) Grammar: A few words

# LR(0) grammar revisited

LR(1) grammars

LR(0) grammars

LR(0) parser: Left-to-right read, Rightmost derivation, O lookahead symbol

$$S 
ightarrow SA \mid A$$
  
 $A 
ightarrow (S) \mid$  ( )

Derivation  $S \Rightarrow SA \Rightarrow S() \Rightarrow A() \Rightarrow ()()$ 

Reduction (derivation in reverse) ()()  $\rightarrowtail A$ ()  $\rightarrowtail S$ ()  $\rightarrowtail SA \rightarrowtail S$ 

LR(0) parser looks for rightmost derivation Rightmost derivation = Leftmost reduction

### Parsing computer programs

if (n == 0) { return x; }



### Parsing computer programs



CFGs of most programming languages are not LR(0)

LR(0) parser cannot tell apart if ...then from if ...then ...else

# LR(1) grammar

LR(1) grammars resolve such conflicts by one symbol lookahead



We won't cover LR(1) parser in this class; take CSCI 3180 for details