CSCI4230 Computational Learning Theory Lecturer: Siu On Chan

The expectation becomes

Spring 2021 Based on Rocco Servedio's notes

## Notes 19: Lower bound for Statistical Query model

We saw that if C is efficiently learnable from SQ's, then C is efficiently PAC-learnable (even with RCN) Question: If C is efficiently PAC-learnable, must C be efficiently learnable from SQ's? Answer: No, a counterexample is  $C = \{\text{parity functions}\}$  over  $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ Recall a parity function  $c(x) = \bigoplus_{i \in S} x_i$  for some  $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ 

e.g.  $c(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_4$  outputs the parity of the 1st, 2nd, 4th bits when  $S = \{1, 2, 4\}$  $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{parity functions}\}$  is efficiently PAC-learnable using Gaussian elimination over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ 

However, C is not efficiently learnable from SQ's Fix  $\mathcal{D}$  = uniform distribution over  $X = \{+1, -1\}^n$  (note: switched from  $\{0, 1\}$  to  $\{+1, -1\}$ )  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[f(x)g(x)]$  defines an **inner product**  $\langle f, g \rangle$  between f and g, where  $f, g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ 

An orthogonal basis is  $\{\mathbb{1}_x \mid x \in \{+1, -1\}^n\}$ i.e.  $\mathbb{1}_x(z) = 1$  if x = z and  $\mathbb{1}_x(z) = 0$  if  $x \neq z$ Orthogonal because  $\langle \mathbb{1}_x, \mathbb{1}_y \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{z \in X}[\mathbb{1}_x(z)\mathbb{1}_y(z)] = 0$  whenever  $x \neq y$ 

Better (orthonormal) basis: **Fourier basis** of parity functions  $\{c_S \mid S \subseteq [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ Here  $c_S : X \to \{+1, -1\}$  is given by  $c_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ e.g.  $c_{\{1,2,4\}}(z) = z_1 z_2 z_4$  and  $c_{\{1,2,4\}}(+1, -1, -1, -1, +1) = (+1)(-1)(-1) = 1$  $c_{\emptyset}$  is the constant 1 function

We now show this basis is orthonormal, i.e.  $\langle c_S, c_S \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle c_S, c_T \rangle = 0$  for any  $S \neq T$ 

Expand 
$$\langle c_S, c_T \rangle = \underset{z \in \{+1, -1\}^n}{\mathbb{E}} [c_S(z)c_T(z)] = \underset{z \in \{+1, -1\}^n}{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \prod_{i \in S} z_i \prod_{i \in T} z_i \right]$$

Rewrite the factors inside the expectation as  $\prod_{i \in S} z_i \prod_{i \in T} z_i = \prod_{i \in S \triangle T} z_i$  (because  $z_i \in \{+1, -1\}$ ) e.g.  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}, T = \{3, 4\}, (z_1 z_2 z_3)(z_3 z_4) = z_1 z_2 z_3^2 z_4 = z_1 z_2 z_4$  when  $z \in \{+1, -1\}^n$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^n} \left[ \prod_{i \in S \bigtriangleup T} z_i \right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S \bigtriangleup T = \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } S \bigtriangleup T \neq \emptyset \end{cases} \quad (z_i \text{ is } +1 \text{ and } -1 \text{ with equal prob for any } i \in S \bigtriangleup T) \end{cases}$$

Above inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces (Euclidean) **norm**  $||f|| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$ Since every  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  has unique expansion  $f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} a_S c_S$  in Fourier basis,

$$||f||^{2} = \langle f, f \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} a_{S} c_{S}, \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} a_{T} c_{T} \right\rangle = \sum_{S, T \subseteq [n]} a_{S} a_{T} \langle c_{S}, c_{T} \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} a_{S}^{2} \qquad (\text{Parseval theorem})$$

Last equality uses orthonormality of Fourier basis Coefficient  $a_S = \langle f, c_S \rangle$  because  $\langle f, c_S \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} a_T c_T, c_S \right\rangle = \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} a_T \langle c_T, c_S \rangle = a_S$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the uniform distribution over  $X = \{+1, -1\}^n$ . Any algorithm for learning  $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{parity functions}\}$  to error  $\varepsilon < 1/2$  from statistical queries of tolerance  $\tau$  must query  $\operatorname{STAT}(c, \mathcal{D})$  at least  $(4|\mathcal{C}| - \gamma^{-2})\tau^2$  times, where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ 

Since  $|\mathcal{C}| = 2^n, \tau \ge 1/\operatorname{poly}(n)$  and  $\gamma \ge 1/\operatorname{poly}(n)$ , Theorem implies  $\#\operatorname{queries} \ge \exp(\Omega(n))$ 

*Proof.* Let  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  be the target concept, and  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_T$  all the query predicates to  $\operatorname{STAT}(c_S, \mathcal{D})$ Expand each predicate, say  $\varphi : X \times \{+1, -1\} \to \{0, 1\}$ , as  $\varphi(x, y) = f(x) + g(x)y$ Intuitively, only 2nd term g(x)y depends on label y and reveals information about  $c_S$ Each query corresponds to estimating

$$P_{\varphi} = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^n} [\varphi(x, c_S(x))] = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^n} [f(x) + g(x)c_S(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^n} [f(z)] + \langle g, c_S \rangle$$

Suppose STAT $(c_S, \mathcal{D})$  always answers every statistical query  $(\varphi, \tau)$  with response  $\hat{P}_{\varphi} = \mathbb{E}_z[f(z)]$ In other words, the response says that  $|P_{\varphi} - \hat{P}_{\varphi}| = |\langle g, c_S \rangle| \leq \tau$ 

After T queries, algorithm outputs hypothesis  $h: X \to \{+1, -1\}$ 

Will show that some  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  consistent with all answers has  $\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) > \varepsilon$ Which  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  are ruled out when algorithm knows  $|\langle g, c_S \rangle| \leq \tau$ ? Let  $A = \{S \subseteq [n] \mid |\langle g, c_S \rangle| > \tau\}$ 

Claim 2.  $|A| \leq ||g||^2 / \tau^2$ 

*Proof.* Let  $g_A = \sum_{S \in A} \langle g, c_S \rangle c_S$  (projection of g to span of those  $c_S$  with large inner product) By Parseval,  $\|g_A\|^2 = \sum_{S \in A} \langle g, c_S \rangle^2 \leqslant \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \langle g, c_S \rangle^2 = \|g\|^2$ On the other hand,  $\|g_A\|^2 = \sum_{S \in A} \langle g, c_S \rangle^2 \geqslant |A|\tau^2$  by definition of A

$$\begin{split} \|g\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^n}[g(z)^2] \leqslant 1/4 \qquad \text{because } |g(x)| = |(\varphi(x,1) - \varphi(x,-1))/2| \leqslant 1/2 \\ \text{By Claim, at most } 1/4\tau^2 \text{ many } c_S \in \mathcal{C} \text{ are ruled out by a single response } |\langle g, c_S \rangle| \leqslant \tau \\ \text{After } T \text{ queries, at most } T/4\tau^2 \text{ many parity functions are ruled out} \end{split}$$

How many  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  has  $\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c_S) \leq \varepsilon$ ?

$$\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c_S) = \underset{x \in \mathcal{D}}{\mathbb{P}}[h(x) \neq c_S(x)] = \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathcal{D}}[h(x)c_S(x)]}{2} = \frac{1 - \langle h, c_S \rangle}{2}$$

Define advantage  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ , then  $\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c_S) \leq \varepsilon \iff \langle h, c_S \rangle \geq 2\gamma$ Again need to bound number of  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  with large inner product with some function h $\|h\|^2 = 1$  because |h(x)| = 1 for all  $x \in X$ 

By calculations in Claim, at most  $1/4\gamma^2$  many  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  have  $\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c_S) \leqslant \varepsilon$ 

If  $\frac{T}{4\tau^2} + \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} < |\mathcal{C}|$ , some  $c_S \in \mathcal{C}$  consistent with all responses has  $\operatorname{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) > \varepsilon$ Algorithm needs  $\frac{T}{4\tau^2} + \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} \ge |\mathcal{C}| \implies T \ge (4|\mathcal{C}| - \gamma^{-2})\tau^2$