

## Notes 18: Random Classification Noise model

### 1. STATISTICAL QUERY AND RANDOM CLASSIFICATION NOISE

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is efficiently learnable from SQ's, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is efficiently PAC-learnable with RCN

**Theorem 1.** *If some efficient algorithm  $A$  learns  $\mathcal{C}$  to error  $\varepsilon$  from  $M$  statistical queries of tolerance  $\tau$ , then some efficient algorithm PAC-learns  $\mathcal{C}$  with Random Classification Noise of rate  $\eta$  using*

$$O\left(\frac{M}{\tau^2(1-2\eta)^2} \ln \frac{M}{\delta}\right) \text{ samples}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  makes a statistical query with predicate  $\varphi : X \times \{+1, -1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Any such  $\varphi$  can be decomposed (uniquely) as  $\varphi(x, y) = \underbrace{f(x)}_{\text{indep. of } y} + \underbrace{g(x) \cdot y}_{\text{linear in } y}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } \varphi(x, y) &= \varphi(x, 1)\mathbb{1}(y=1) + \varphi(x, -1)\mathbb{1}(y=-1) = \varphi(x, 1)\frac{1+y}{2} + \varphi(x, -1)\frac{1-y}{2} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(x, 1) + \varphi(x, -1)}{2} + \frac{\varphi(x, 1) - \varphi(x, -1)}{2} \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

Estimating  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[\varphi(x, y)]$  within  $\tau$  amounts to estimating expectations of both terms within  $\tau/2$

1st term (independent of  $y$ ) has the same expectation under  $\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})$  and under  $\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$

Since  $f(x) = (\varphi(x, 1) + \varphi(x, -1))/2$  takes a value between 0 and 1

With prob  $\geq 1 - \delta/2M$ , can estimate  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[f(x)]$  within  $\frac{\tau}{2}$  using  $O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2} \ln \frac{M}{\delta}\right)$  samples

2nd term (linear in  $y$ ) has expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x) \cdot y] = (1 - \eta) \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x) \cdot y] + \eta \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x) \cdot -y] = (1 - 2\eta) \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x) \cdot y]$$

i.e. expectation under  $\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D}) = (1 - 2\eta)$  times expectation under  $\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})$

To estimate expectation of 2nd term under  $\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})$  within  $\frac{\tau}{2}$

Suffices to estimate its expectation under  $\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$  within  $\frac{\tau}{2}(1 - 2\eta)$

and dividing this latter estimate by  $1 - 2\eta$

Since  $g(x)y = (\varphi(x, 1) - \varphi(x, -1))y/2$  takes a value between  $-1/2$  and  $1/2$

With prob  $\geq 1 - \delta/2M$ , can estimate  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y]$  within  $\frac{\tau}{2}(1 - 2\eta)$

using  $O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2(1-2\eta)^2} \ln \frac{M}{\delta}\right)$  samples (Hoeffding)

$A$  makes  $M$  queries, by union bound, with prob  $\geq 1 - \delta$ , all estimates  $\hat{P}_\varphi$  are within  $\pm\tau$  of  $P_\varphi$  □

### 2. GUESSING NOISE RATE

So far we assumed learning algorithm knows true noise rate  $\eta$  exactly (unrealistic assumption)

Above proof suggests that knowing an approximate value  $\eta'$  of  $\eta$  is enough

Algorithm pretends noise rate is  $\eta'$  (and suppose  $1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \frac{1-2\eta'}{1-2\eta} \leq 1 + \frac{\tau}{2}$ )

It wants to estimate  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y]$ , but cannot do so directly

It will first estimate  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y]$  (call this expectation  $P_\eta$ ) within  $\frac{\tau}{4}(1 - 2\eta')$

Denote algorithm's estimate by  $\hat{P}_\eta$

Algorithm then divides  $\hat{P}_\eta$  by  $1 - 2\eta'$  to get an estimate for  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y] = \frac{1}{1-2\eta} P_\eta$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} \hat{P}_\eta - \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y] \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} \hat{P}_\eta - \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} P_\eta + \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} P_\eta - \frac{1}{1-2\eta} P_\eta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} \left| \hat{P}_\eta - P_\eta \right| + |P_\eta| \left| \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} - \frac{1}{1-2\eta} \right| \end{aligned}$$

1st term is at most  $\frac{1}{1-2\eta'} \frac{\tau}{4}(1 - 2\eta') = \frac{\tau}{4}$

2nd term is at most

$$|P_\eta| \left| \frac{1}{1-2\eta'} - \frac{1}{1-2\eta} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{1-2\eta} P_\eta \right| \left| \frac{1-2\eta}{1-2\eta'} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})} [g(x)y] \right| \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau}{2} = \frac{\tau}{4}$$

Last inequality due to  $g(x)y = (\varphi(x, 1) - \varphi(x, -1))y/2$  taking a value between  $-1/2$  and  $1/2$   
So algorithm's actual estimate will be within  $\frac{\tau}{2}$  of  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[g(x)y]$  with high prob

What if only an upper bound  $\eta_*$  to the true noise rate  $\eta$  is known?  $(0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_* < 1/2)$

Algorithm can try noise rates  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_k$  such that

$$1 - 2\eta_j = \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{j-1} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{-j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < k \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_k \geq \eta_*$$

One of these noise rates, say  $\eta_\ell$ , will satisfy  $1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \frac{1-2\eta}{1-2\eta_\ell} \leq 1 + \frac{\tau}{2}$  (Exercise)

Algorithm gets hypotheses  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  from different noise rates  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$

Hypothesis  $h_\ell$  corresponding to  $\eta_\ell$  (that is close to  $\eta$ ) will have  $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h_\ell, c) \leq \varepsilon$  with high prob

How can algorithm find out which  $h_j$  is good?

Ideally, feed samples to  $h_j$  and estimate  $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h_j, c)$

But algorithm can only access noisy samples from  $\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})$ , not clean samples from  $\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})$

**Observation:**  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[h(x) \neq y] = \text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c)(1 - 2\eta) + \eta$

Reason: If  $\varepsilon = \text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c) = \mathbb{P}_{\text{EX}(c, \mathcal{D})}[h(x) \neq y]$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[h(x) \neq y] = (1 - \eta)\varepsilon + \eta(1 - \varepsilon) = \varepsilon(1 - 2\eta) + \eta$$

Transformation  $\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon(1 - 2\eta) + \eta$  mapping  $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h, c)$  to  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[h(x) \neq y]$  is monotone

Thus hypothesis  $h_j$  minimizing  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{EX}^\eta(c, \mathcal{D})}[h(x) \neq y]$  will also minimize  $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h_j, c)$

How many noise rates (and hypotheses) to try?

Since  $1 - 2\eta_k = \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{-k}$ , we want  $\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^k \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{-k} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) (1 - 2\eta_*)$

so  $k = \left( \ln \frac{1}{1-2\eta_*} - \ln \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \right) / \ln \left( \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) / \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \ln \frac{1}{1-2\eta_*}\right)$

because  $\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) / \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) = 1 + \Theta(\tau)$  for small  $\tau > 0$  and  $\ln(1 + y) = \Theta(y)$  for small  $y > 0$