

# BMEG3120 Final Exam Solutions

## Problem 1.

- (a)  $\Pi_{tname}(\sigma_{pname="Michael\ Jordan"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER} \bowtie \text{TEAM}))$   
(b)  $\Pi_{pname}(\sigma_{tname="Heat" \wedge year=2012}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER} \bowtie \text{TEAM}))$   
(c)

$T_1 \leftarrow \sigma_{pname="Michael\ Jordan"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER})$   
 $T_2 \leftarrow \sigma_{pname \neq "Michael\ Jordan"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER})$   
 $\Pi_{T_2.pname}(\sigma_{T_1.tid=T_2.tid \wedge T_1.year=T_2.year}(T_1 \times T_2))$

(d)

$T_1 \leftarrow \sigma_{pnation="China"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER})$   
 $T_2 \leftarrow T_1$   
 $T_3 \leftarrow \Pi_{T_2.year}(\sigma_{T_1.year < T_2.year}(T_1 \times T_2))$   
 $\Pi_{year}(T_1) - T_3$

(e)

$T_1 \leftarrow \Pi_{year}(\sigma_{pname="Michael\ Jordan"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER}))$   
 $T_2 \leftarrow \Pi_{pid,pname,year}(\sigma_{pname \neq "Michael\ Jordan"}(\text{PLAYER} \bowtie \text{REGISTER}))$   
 $\Pi_{pname}(T_2 \div T_1)$

## Problem 2.

- (a) select pname from PLAYER where nation = 'China'  
(b)

```
select pid, min(year), max(year) from REGISTER
group by pid
```

(c)

```
select pid from REGISTER
where year >= 1996 and year <= 2005
group by pid
having count(*) = 10
```

(d)

```
select year from REGISTER
where salary > 20000000
group by year
having count(*) >= 10
```

(e)

```
select pid from (
  select pid, sum(salary) as wealth, count(year) as lifetime
  from REGISTER
  group by pid) as T
where not exists (
  select * from T
  where wealth < T.wealth and lifetime > T.lifetime)
```

**Problem 3.**

Find the pids of all such players  $p$  that  $p$  made more money in one year (it does not matter which year) than the wealth of every player from Japan.

**Problem 4.**

- (a)  $profId \rightarrow stuId$
- (b)  $stuId \rightarrow projId$
- (c)  $projId \rightarrow profId$

**Problem 5.**

- (a)  $ABD$
- (b) From  $D \rightarrow A$ , we have  $CD \rightarrow AC$  by augmentation. By transitivity on  $CD \rightarrow AC$  and  $AC \rightarrow E$ , we have  $CD \rightarrow E$ .
- (c)  $AC$  and  $DC$
- (d)  $R$  is not in 3NF. This is because of  $A \rightarrow B$ , which is not a trivial functional dependency, its left side does not contain any key, and its right side is not included by any key.
- (e) No, because the common attribute  $C$  of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is a candidate key of neither. Note that  $R_1$  has candidate keys  $AC$  and  $DC$ , whereas  $R_2$  has only one candidate key  $CE$ .
- (f) First, we decompose  $R$  using  $A \rightarrow BD$  into  $R_1(ABD)$  and  $R_2(ACE)$ .  $R_1$  has candidate keys  $A$  and  $D$ .  $R_2$  has only one candidate key  $AC$ . Both tables are already in BCNF.