Math 2070 Week 7

Polynomials, Rings

7.1 Polynomials with Rational Coefficients

Notation:

 $\mathbb{Q} = \text{Set of rational numbers}$ $\mathbb{Q}[x] = \text{Set of polynomials with rational coefficients}$ $= \{a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, a_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Theorem 7.1 (Division Theorem for Polynomials with Rational Coefficients). For all $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, such that $f \neq 0$, there exist unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, satisfying deg $r < \deg f$, such that g = fq + r.

Proof. We first prove the existence of q and r, via induction on the degree of g. The base step corresponds to the case deg g < deg f. In this case, the choice q = 0, r = g works, since $g = f \cdot 0 + g$, and deg r = deg g < deg f.

Now, we establish the inductive step. Let f be fixed. Given g, suppose for all g' with $\deg g' < \deg g$, there exist $q', r' \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that g' = fq' + r', with $\deg r' < \deg f$. We want to show that there exist q, r such that g = fq + r, with $\deg r < \deg f$.

Suppose $g = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_m x^m$ and $f = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n$, where $a_m, b_n \neq 0$. We may assume that $m \geq n$, since the case m < n (i.e. $\deg g < \deg f$) has already been proved.

Consider the polynomial:

$$g' = g - \frac{a_m}{b_n} x^{m-n} f.$$

Then, $\deg g' < \deg g$, and by the induction hypothesis we have:

$$g' = fq' + r'$$

for some $q', r' \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\deg r' < \deg f$.

Hence,

$$g - \frac{a_m}{b_n} x^{m-n} f = g' = fq' + r',$$

which implies that:

$$g = f\left(q' + \frac{a_m}{b_n}x^{m-n}\right) + r'$$

This establishes the existence of the quotient $q = q' + \frac{a_m}{b_n} x^{m-n}$ and the remainder r = r'.

Now, we prove the uniqueness of q and r. Suppose g = fq + r = fq' + r', where $q, q', r, r' \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, with $\deg r, \deg r' < \deg f$. We have:

$$fq + r = fq' + r',$$

which implies that:

$$\deg f(q-q') = \deg(r'-r) < \deg f.$$

The above inequality can hold only if q = q', which in turn implies that r' = r. It follows that the quotient q and the remainder r are unique.

Definition 7.2. Given $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, a **Greatest Common Divisor** d of f and g is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ which satisfies the following two properties:

- 1. d divides both f and g.
- 2. For any $e \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which divides both f and g, we have $\deg e \leq \deg d$.

Claim 7.3. If g = fq + r, and d is a GCD of g and f, then d is a GCD of f and r.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Corollary 7.4. *The Euclidean Algorithm applies to* $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ *.*

Namely: Suppose deg $g \ge \text{deg } f$. let $g_0 = g$, $f_0 = f$, and let r_0 be the unique polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that:

$$g_0 = f_0 q_0 + r_0, \quad \deg r_0 < \deg f_0,$$

for some $q_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. For k > 0, let:

$$g_k = f_{k-1}, \quad f_k = r_{k-1}.$$

Let r_k be the remainder such that:

$$g_k = f_k q_k + r_k,$$

for some $q_k \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Since $\deg r_k < \deg f_k = \deg r_{k-1}$, we have:

 $\deg r_0 > \deg r_1 > \deg r_2 > \dots \ge 0 \ge -\infty$

(where by convention we let $\deg 0 = -\infty$).

Eventually, $r_n = 0$ for some n, and it follows from the previous claim and arguments similar to those used in the case of \mathbb{Z} that r_{n-1} is a GCD of f and g.

Example 7.5. 1. Find a GCD of $x^5 + 1$ and $x^3 + 1$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

$$x^{5} + 1 = (x^{3} + 1) (x^{2}) + (-x^{2} + 1)$$
$$x^{3} + 1 = (-x^{2} + 1) (-x) + (x + 1)$$
$$-x^{2} + 1 = (x + 1) (-x + 1) + (0)$$

So, a GCD is x + 1.

2. Find a GCD of $x^3 - x^2 - x + 1$ and $x^3 + 4x^2 + x - 6$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

$$x^{3} - x^{2} - x + 1 = (x^{3} + 4x^{2} + x - 6)(1) + (-5x^{2} - 2x + 7)$$
$$x^{3} + 4x^{2} + x - 6 = (-5x^{2} - 2x + 7)\left(-\frac{1}{5}x - \frac{18}{25}\right) + \left(\frac{24}{25}x - \frac{24}{25}\right)$$
$$-5x^{2} - 2x + 7 = \left(\frac{24}{25}x - \frac{24}{25}\right)\left(-\frac{125}{24}x - \frac{175}{24}\right) + (0)$$

So, a GCD is $\frac{24}{25}x - \frac{24}{25}$, and so is x - 1.

Corollary 7.6 (Bézout's Identity for Polynomials). For any $f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ which are not both zero, and d a GCD of f and g, there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that:

$$d = fu + gv.$$

Example 7.7. In , we have:

$$(x+1) = (x^{3}+1) - (-x^{2}+1)(-x)$$

= $(x^{3}+1) - ((x^{5}+1) - (x^{3}+1)(x^{2}))(-x)$
= $(x)(x^{5}+1) + (-x^{3}+1)(x^{3}+1)$

7.2 Factorization of Polynomials

Definition 7.8. A polynomial p in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is **irreducible** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. $\deg p > 0$,
- 2. if p = ab for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then either a or b is a constant.

Claim 7.9. If $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible and $p|f_1f_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, then $p|f_1$ or $p|f_2$.

Proof. Suppose p does not divide f_2 , then the only common divisors of p and f_2 are constant polynomials. In particular, 1 is a GCD of p and f_2 . Then, by , there exist $u, v, \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $1 = pu + f_2 v$. We have:

$$f_1 = puf_1 + f_1 f_2 v.$$

Since p divides the right-hand side of the above equation, it must divide f_1 . \Box

Theorem 7.10. A polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree greater than zero is either irreducible or a product of irreducibles.

Proof. Suppose there is a nonempty set of polynomials of degree > 0 which are neither irreducible nor products of irreducibles. Let p be an element of this set which has the least degree. Since p is not irreducible, there are $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degrees > 0 such that p = ab. But, a, b, having degrees strictly less than deg p, must be either irreducible or products of irreducibles. This implies that p is a product of irreducibles, a contradiction.

Remark: Compare this proof with that of Part 1 of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Theorem 6.14 (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)).

Theorem 7.11 (Unique Factorization for Polynomials). For any $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree > 0, if:

$$p = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m,$$

where f_i, g_j are irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, then n = m, and the g_j 's may be reindexed so that $f_i = \lambda_i g_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof. **Exercise** . See the proof of Part 2 of Theorem 6.14 (The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)). \Box

7.3 Rings

7.3.1 Definition of a Ring

Definition 7.12. A ring R (or $(R, +, \times)$) is a set equipped with two operations:

$$\times, + : R \times R \to R$$

which satisfy the following properties:

- 1. Properties of +:
 - (a) Commutativity: $a + b = b + a, \forall a, b \in R$.
 - (b) Associativity: a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c.
 - (c) There is an element $0 \in R$ (called the **additive identity element**), such that a + 0 = a for all $a \in R$.
 - (d) Every element of R has an additive inverse; namely: For all $a \in R$, there exists an element of R, usually denoted -a, such that a+(-a) = 0.
- 2. Properties of \times :
 - (a) Associativity: a(bc) = (ab)c.
 - (b) There is an element $1 \in R$ (called the **multiplicative identity element**), such that $1 \times a = a \times 1 = a$ for all $a \in R$.
- 3. Distributativity:
 - (a) $a \times (b+c) = a \times b + a \times c$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.
 - (b) $(a+b) \times c = a \times c + b \times c$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Note:

- 1. For convenience's sake, we often write ab for $a \times b$.
- 2. In the definition, commutativity is required of addition, but not of multiplication.
- Every element has an additive inverse, but *not necessarily* a multiplicative inverse. That is, there may be an element a ∈ R such that ab ≠ 1 for all b ∈ R.

Example 7.13. The following sets, equipped with the usual operations of addition and multiplication, are rings:

1. $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$

2. $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$ (Polynomials with integer, rational, real coefficients, respectively.)

3.

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k (\sqrt{2})^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{Q}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}$$
$$= \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

- 4. $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, the set of $n \times n$ real matrices, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 5. For a fixed n, the set of $n \times n$ matrices with integer coefficients.
- 6. $C[0,1] = \{f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous.}\}$

The following sets, under the usual operations of addition and multiplication, are not rings:

- 1. \mathbb{N} , no additive identity element, i.e. no 0.
- 2. $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, nonzero elements have no additive inverses.
- 3. $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, the set of $n \times n$ invertible real matrices, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 7.14. In a ring *R*, there is a unique additive identity element and a unique multiplicative identity element.

Proof. Suppose there is an element $0' \in R$ such that 0' + r = r for all $r \in R$, then in particular 0' + 0 = 0.

Since 0 is an additive identity, we have 0' + 0 = 0'. So, 0' = 0. Suppose there is an element $1' \in R$ such that 1'r = r or all $r \in R$, then in particular $1' \cdot 1 = 1$. But $1' \cdot 1 = 1'$ since 1 is a multiplicative identity element, so 1' = 1.

Exercise 7.15. Prove that: For any r in a ring R, its additive inverse -r is unique. That is, if r + r' = r + r'' = 0, then r' = r''.

7.3.2 WeBWorK

1. WeBWorK

2. WeBWorK

Claim 7.16. For all elements r in a ring R, we have 0r = r0 = 0.

Proof. By distributativity,

$$0r = (0+0)r = 0r + 0r.$$

Adding -0r (additive inverse of 0r) to both sides, we have:

$$0 = (0r + 0r) + (-0r) = 0r + (0r + (-0r)) = 0r + 0 = 0r$$

The proof of r0 = 0 is similar and we leave it as an **exercise**.

Claim 7.17. For all elements r in a ring, we have (-1)(-r) = (-r)(-1) = r.

Proof. We have:

$$0 = 0(-r) = (1 + (-1))(-r) = -r + (-1)(-r).$$

Adding r to both sides, we obtain

$$r = r + (-r + (-1)(-r)) = (r + -r) + (-1)(-r) = (-1)(-r).$$

We leave it as an **exercise** to show that (-r)(-1) = r.

Exercise 7.18. Show that: For all r in a ring R, we have:

$$(-1)r = r(-1) = -r.$$

Exercise 7.19. Show that: If R is a ring in which 1 = 0, then $R = \{0\}$. That is, it has only one element.

(We call such an *R* the zero ring .)