

1. Definition.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say c is a **common divisor of m, n** if both of m, n are divisible by c .

2. Definition.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(1) Suppose m, n are not both zero. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$. We say g is a **greatest common divisor of m, n** if both of the following conditions are satisfied:

(1a) g is a common divisor of m, n .

(1b) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, if d is a common divisor of m, n then $|d| \leq g$.

(2) (Suppose $m = n = 0$.) We define the greatest common divisor of $0, 0$ to be 0 .

Remark. Two questions arise naturally:

Existence question. Does each pair of integers have at least one greatest common divisor?

Uniqueness question. Does each pair of integers have at most one greatest common divisor?

3. Lemma (1). (Uniqueness of greatest common divisor.)

Each pair of integers which are not both zero has at most one greatest common divisor.

Proof of Lemma (1).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $m \neq 0$.

Let $g, g' \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose each of g, g' is a greatest common divisor of m, n .

[Hope to deduce: $g = g'$.]

By definition, each of g, g' is a common divisor of m, n .

Since g is a greatest common divisor of m, n

and g' is a common divisor of m, n ,

we have $g' = |g'| \leq g$.

Similarly, we also deduce that $g = |g| \leq g'$. Therefore $g = g'$. \square

Notation. From now on, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$, if g is a greatest common divisor of m, n then we write $\gcd(m, n)$.

Remark. The importance of Lemma (1) is that it guarantees the uniqueness of greatest common divisor: it makes sense to use the article 'the' when we write 'the greatest common divisor of so-and-so'. and to write ' $\gcd(m, n) = \dots$ '.

4. Lemma (2).

Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime number. The statements below hold:

- (1) If b is divisible by p then $\gcd(b, p) = |p|$.
- (2) If b is not divisible by p then $\gcd(b, p) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma (2).

Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime number.

p is divisible by these integers only: $1, -1, p, -p$.

(1) Suppose b is divisible by p .

Then the only common divisors of b, p are $1, -1, p, -p$.

The greatest of them is $|p|$.

So $\gcd(b, p) = |p|$.

(2) Suppose b is not divisible by p .

Then the only common divisors of b, p are $1, -1$.

The greatest of them is 1 .

So $\gcd(b, p) = 1$.

□

5. Lemma (3).

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The statements below hold:

$$(1) \quad \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(-a, b) = \gcd(a, -b) = \gcd(-a, -b).$$

$$(2) \quad \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, a).$$

$$(3) \quad \gcd(a, a) = a.$$

$$(4) \quad \gcd(a, 1) = 1.$$

$$(5) \quad \gcd(a, 0) = a.$$

Proof of Lemma (3). Exercise.

Remark. Lemma (2), Lemma (3) combine to tell us that we need only concern ourselves with the existence question of greatest common divisor for a pair of distinct positive integers both of which are not prime numbers. (Why?)

6. **Theorem (EAN).** (Euclidean Algorithm for positive integers.)

Let $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose $a_0 > a_1$.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$,

if $a_{j-1} \neq 0$, then define $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the remainder obtained after dividing a_{j-2} by a_{j-1} ;

if $a_{j-1} = 0$, then define $a_j = 0$.

Then, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that the following statements hold:

- (1) $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_N > 0$ and $a_j = 0$ whenever $j > N$.
- (2) There exist some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_N = sa_0 + ta_1$.
- (3) a_N is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 .
- (4) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, if d is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 then $|d| \leq a_N$.
- (5) $\gcd(a_0, a_1) = a_N$.

Proof of Theorem (EAN). Postponed.

7. Euclidean Algorithm.

1. We determine $\gcd(10000000011, 10101)$:

$$\begin{aligned}10000000011 &= 990000 \times 10101 + 10011 \\10101 &= 1 \times 10011 + 90 \\10011 &= 111 \times 90 + 21 \\90 &= 4 \times 21 + 6 \\21 &= 3 \times 6 + 3 \\6 &= 2 \times 3 + 0\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (EAN), we have $\gcd(10000000011, 10101) = 3$. From the definition, we also have

$$\gcd(-10000000011, 10101) = \gcd(10000000011, -10101) = \gcd(-10000000011, -10101) = 3.$$

2. We determine $\gcd(960, 825)$:

$$\begin{aligned}960 &= 1 \times 825 + 135 \\825 &= 6 \times 135 + 15 \\135 &= 9 \times 15 + 0\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (EAN), we have $\gcd(960, 825) = 15$. From the definition, we also have

$$\gcd(-960, 825) = \gcd(960, -825) = \gcd(-960, -825) = 15.$$

3. We determine $\gcd(2468008642, 1357997531)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2468008642 &= 1 \times 1357997531 + 1110011111 \\ 1357997531 &= 1 \times 1110011111 + 247986420 \\ 1110011111 &= 4 \times 247986420 + 118065431 \\ 247986420 &= 2 \times 118065431 + 11855558 \\ 118065431 &= 9 \times 11855558 + 11365409 \\ 11855558 &= 1 \times 11365409 + 490149 \\ 11365409 &= 23 \times 490149 + 91982 \\ 490149 &= 5 \times 91982 + 30239 \\ 91982 &= 3 \times 30239 + 1265 \\ 30239 &= 23 \times 1265 + 1144 \\ 1265 &= 1 \times 1144 + 121 \\ 1144 &= 9 \times 121 + 55 \\ 121 &= 2 \times 55 + 11 \\ 55 &= 5 \times 11 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (EAN), we have $\gcd(2468008642, 1357997531) = 11$. From the definition, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(-2468008642, 1357997531) &= \gcd(2468008642, -1357997531) \\ &= \gcd(-2468008642, -1357997531) = 11. \end{aligned}$$

$$a_0 = \underline{2468008642}, a_1 = \underline{1357997531}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 2468008642 & = & 1 \cdot 1357997531 + 1110011111 \\
 a_0 & & q_1 \quad a_1 \quad a_2 \\
 1357997531 & = & 1 \cdot 1110011111 + 247986420 \\
 a_1 & & q_2 \quad a_2 \quad a_3 \\
 1110011111 & = & 4 \cdot 247986420 + 118065431 \\
 a_2 & & q_3 \quad a_3 \quad a_4 \\
 247986420 & = & 2 \cdot 118065431 + 11855558 \\
 a_3 & & q_4 \quad a_4 \quad a_5 \\
 118065431 & = & 9 \cdot 11855558 + 11365409 \\
 a_4 & & q_5 \quad a_5 \quad a_6 \\
 11855558 & = & 1 \cdot 11365409 + 490149 \\
 a_5 & & q_6 \quad a_6 \quad a_7 \\
 11365409 & = & 23 \cdot 490149 + 91982 \\
 a_6 & & q_7 \quad a_7 \quad a_8 \\
 490149 & = & 5 \cdot 91982 + 30239 \\
 a_7 & & q_8 \quad a_8 \quad a_9
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 91982 & = & 3 \cdot 30239 + 1265 \\
 a_8 & & q_9 \quad a_9 \quad a_{10} \\
 30239 & = & 23 \cdot 1265 + 1144 \\
 a_9 & & q_{10} \quad a_{10} \quad a_{11} \\
 1265 & = & 1 \cdot 1144 + 121 \\
 a_{10} & & q_{11} \quad a_{11} \quad a_{12} \\
 1144 & = & 9 \cdot 121 + 55 \\
 a_{11} & & q_{12} \quad a_{12} \quad a_{13} \\
 121 & = & 2 \cdot 55 + 11 \\
 a_{12} & & q_{13} \quad a_{13} \quad a_{14} \\
 55 & = & 5 \cdot 11 + 0 \\
 a_{13} & & q_{14} \quad a_{14} \quad a_{15} \\
 \hline
 & & & & \text{Stop.} \\
 a_{14} & & q_{15} \quad a_{15} \quad a_{16} \\
 & & & & \\
 a_{15} & & q_{16} \quad a_{16} \quad a_{17}
 \end{array}$$

Claim (α): Each of a_0, a_1 is divisible by a_N .

Here $N = \underline{14}$.

$$a_{N-1} = q_N a_N$$

$$a_{N-2} = q_{N-1} a_{N-1} + a_N$$

$$a_{N-3} = q_{N-2} a_{N-2} + a_{N-1}$$

$$a_{N-4} = q_{N-3} a_{N-3} + a_{N-2}$$

⋮

$$a_4 = q_5 a_5 + a_6$$

$$a_3 = q_4 a_4 + a_5$$

$$a_2 = q_3 a_3 + a_4$$

$$a_1 = q_2 a_2 + a_3$$

$$a_0 = q_1 a_1 + a_2$$

a_{N-1} is divisible by a_N .

Then a_{N-2} is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_{N-3} is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_{N-4} is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

⋮

Then a_4 is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_3 is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_2 is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_1 is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Then a_0 is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Claim (β): There exist some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_N = sa_0 + ta_1$.

Here $N = \underline{14}$.

$$a_{N-1} = q_N a_N$$

$$a_{N-2} = q_{N-1} a_{N-1} + a_N$$

$$a_{N-3} = q_{N-2} a_{N-2} + a_{N-1}$$

$$a_{N-4} = q_{N-3} a_{N-3} + a_{N-2}$$

⋮

$$a_4 = q_5 a_5 + a_6$$

$$a_3 = q_4 a_4 + a_5$$

$$a_2 = q_3 a_3 + a_4$$

$$a_1 = q_2 a_2 + a_3$$

$$a_0 = q_1 a_1 + a_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_N &= 1 \cdot a_{N-2} - q_{N-1} a_{N-1} \\
 &= 1 \cdot a_{N-2} - q_{N-1} (a_{N-3} - q_{N-2} a_{N-2}) \\
 &= -q_{N-1} a_{N-3} + (1 + q_{N-1} q_{N-2}) a_{N-2} \\
 &= -q_{N-1} a_{N-3} + (1 + q_{N-1} q_{N-2}) (a_{N-4} - q_{N-3} a_{N-3}) \\
 &= (1 + q_{N-1} q_{N-2}) a_{N-4} \\
 &\quad - [q_{N-1} + (1 + q_{N-1} q_{N-2}) q_{N-3}] a_{N-3} \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &= sa_0 + ta_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

in which each of s, t is a sum of products of integers with q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{N-1} .
So s, t are integers as well.

Claim (8): $a_N = \gcd(a_0, a_1)$.

- Each of a_0, a_1 is divisible by a_N .
Then a_N is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 .
- We verify that for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, if d is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 ,
then $|d| \leq a_N$.

* Pick any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose d is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 .

Then there exist some $s', t' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_0 = s'd$ and $a_1 = t'd$.

Now $a_N = sa_0 + ta_1 = ss'd + tt'd = (ss' + tt')d$.

Note that $a_N > 0$. Then $ss' + tt' \neq 0$.

Then $a_N = |a_N| = |ss' + tt'| \cdot |d| \geq 1 \cdot |d| = |d|$.

- It follows that $a_N = \gcd(a_0, a_1)$.

8. Proof of Theorem (EAN).

Let $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose $a_0 > a_1$.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, if $a_{j-1} \neq 0$, then define $a_j \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the remainder obtained after dividing a_{j-2} by a_{j-1} ; if $a_{j-1} = 0$, then define $a_j = 0$.

(0) We apply proof-by-contradiction to argue that there exists some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a_M = 0.$$

Idea of argument:
Repeated application of Division Algorithm gives:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_0 = q_1 a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 = q_2 a_2 + a_3 \\ a_2 = q_3 a_3 + a_4 \\ a_3 = q_4 a_4 + a_5 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} \text{ in which:}$$

$$a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > a_3 > \dots$$

Ask: Will this ever 'stop'?

Answer:

$$\begin{array}{l} a_0 > a_1. \text{ Then } a_1 \leq a_0 - 1. \\ a_1 > a_2. \text{ Then } a_2 \leq a_1 - 1 \leq a_0 - 2. \\ a_2 > a_3. \text{ Then } a_3 \leq a_2 - 1 \leq a_1 - 2 \leq a_0 - 3. \\ a_3 > a_4. \text{ Then } a_4 \leq a_3 - 1 \leq a_2 - 2 \leq a_1 - 3 \leq a_0 - 4. \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

Hence $a_{a_0} \leq a_{a_0-1} - 1 \leq a_{a_0-2} - 2 \leq \dots \leq a_0 - a_0 = 0.$

Define $S = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : a_j = 0\}$.

Note that $a_{a_0} = 0$. (Why?)

Then $S \neq \emptyset$.

By the Well-ordering Principle for Integers, S has a least element, say ν .

Take $N = \nu - 1$. Then $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_N > a_\nu = 0$.

(1) From the argument above, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ is a strictly decreasing finite sequence of positive integers.

By definition of N , $a_k = 0$ whenever $k > N$.

(2) By definition, there exist some $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0 &= q_1 \times a_1 + a_2, \\
 a_1 &= q_2 \times a_2 + a_3, \\
 &\vdots \\
 a_{N-3} &= q_{N-2} \times a_{N-2} + a_{N-1}, \\
 a_{N-2} &= q_{N-1} \times a_{N-1} + a_N, \\
 a_{N-1} &= q_N \times a_N + 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $a_N = 1 \cdot a_{N-2} - q_{N-1}a_{N-1}$. Here $1, -q_{N-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$a_N = a_{N-2} - q_{N-1}(a_{N-3} - q_{N-2}a_{N-2}) = -q_{N-1}a_{N-3} + (1 + q_{N-1}q_{N-2})a_{N-2}.$$

Here $-q_{N-1}, 1 + q_{N-1}q_{N-2} \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Repeating this argument finitely many times, we deduce that there exist some $s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $a_N = sa_0 + ta_1$.

(3) a_{N-1} is divisible by a_N .

Since $a_{N-2} = q_{N-1}a_{N-1} + a_N$, a_{N-2} is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Since $a_{N-3} = q_{N-2}a_{N-2} + a_{N-1}$, a_{N-3} is divisible by a_N . (Why?)

Repeating this argument for finitely many times, we deduce that a_0, a_1 are both divisible by a_N .

(4) Pick any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose d is a common divisor of a_0, a_1 .

Then there exist some $s', t' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_0 = s'd$ and $a_1 = t'd$.

Now $a_N = sa_0 + ta_1 = (ss' + tt')d$.

Note that $ss' + tt' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $a_N > 0$, we have $ss' + tt' \neq 0$.

Then $a_N = |a_N| = |ss' + tt'| |d| \geq |d|$.

(5) The result follows from (3) and (4) combined.

9. Theorem (4). (Bézout's Identity.)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exist some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sm + tn = \gcd(m, n)$.

Proof of Theorem (4). A very tedious exercise.

[Needed: { Lemma (3).
Statement (2) of Theorem (EAN).}]

10. Lemma (5).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

c is a common divisor of m, n iff $\gcd(m, n)$ is divisible by c .

Proof of Lemma (5). Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

• [' \Rightarrow -part'.]

Suppose c is a common divisor of m, n .

Then there exist some $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = hc$ and $n = kc$.

By Theorem (4),

there exist some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sm + tn = \gcd(m, n)$.

Then $\gcd(m, n) = sm + tn = s \cdot hc + t \cdot kc = (sh + tk)c$.

Since $h, k, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $sh + tk \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore $\gcd(m, n)$ is divisible by c .

• [' \Leftarrow -part'.] Exercise. (Apply some basic properties of divisibility.)

[Ask: How to relate $\gcd(m, n)$ with m, n through an equality?]

[Hope: Name some appropriate $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies $\gcd(m, n) = rc$!]

11. **Theorem (6).** (Alternative definition of greatest common divisor.)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$.

The statements (\dagger) , (\ddagger) are logically equivalent:

(\dagger) $g = \gcd(m, n)$.

(\ddagger) g is a common divisor of m, n and g is divisible by every common divisor of m, n .

Proof of Theorem (6). Exercise. (Apply Lemma (5).)

12. Euclid's Lemma.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime number. Suppose ab is divisible by p . Then at least one of a, b is divisible by p .

Proof of Euclid's Lemma. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime number.

Suppose ab is divisible by p . [Want to deduce: at least one of a, b is divisible by p .]

b is divisible by p or b is not divisible by p .

- (Case 1). Suppose b is divisible by p . Then at least one of a, b , namely b , is divisible by p .
- (Case 2). Suppose b is not divisible by p . [Hope to deduce: a is divisible by p .]

By Lemma (2), since p is a prime number,
we have $\gcd(b, p) = 1$.

By Theorem (4), there exist some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$
such that $\gcd(b, p) = sb + tp$.

So $1 = \gcd(b, p) = sb + tp$.

Then $a \cdot 1 = a \cdot \gcd(b, p) = a \cdot (sb + tp) = s \cdot ab + at \cdot p$.

Since ab is divisible by p ,

there exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ab = kp$.

Then $a = s \cdot kp + at \cdot p = (sk + at) p$.

Since $s, k, a, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $sk + at \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore a is divisible by p .
... \square

Euclid's Lemma.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime number. Suppose ab is divisible by p . Then at least one of a, b is divisible by p .

Corollary to Euclid's Lemma. (Generalization of Euclid's Lemma.)

Let p be a prime number.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose $a_1 a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$ is divisible by p .

Then at least one of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is divisible by p .

13. **Theorem (7). (A characterization of prime numbers.)**

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The statements (\dagger) , (\ddagger) are logically equivalent:

(\dagger) p is a prime number.

(\ddagger) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, if ab is divisible by p then at least one of a, b is divisible by p .

Proof of Theorem (7). Exercise.

14. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

Let $n \in \llbracket 2, +\infty \rrbracket$. The statements below hold:

- (1) n is a prime number or a product of several prime numbers.
- (2) Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t$ be prime numbers. Suppose $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_s$ and $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_t$. Further suppose $n = p_1 p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_s$ and $n = q_1 q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_t$. Then $s = t$ and $p_1 = q_1, p_2 = q_2, \dots, p_s = q_s$.

Proof. Exercise in mathematical induction. (You need Euclid's Lemma at some stage.)

Remark. The statement of this result can be 'condensed' as:

Let $n \in \llbracket 2, +\infty \rrbracket$. There is a factorization of n as a product of positive prime numbers, uniquely determined up to the ordering of the prime factors.

Illustration : $1050 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 7 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 = \dots$

15. Appendix.

As an exercise, check the formal definitions for

‘common multiple’,

‘lowest common multiple’, and

‘relatively prime’

are, and their basic properties.

Something resembling all the above will appear in *polynomials over fields*.

You will see why it is the case in your *abstract algebra* course.