1. Definition. (Least element of a set of real numbers.)

Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $\lambda \in S$.

We say λ is a **least element of** *S* if

(for any
$$x \in S$$
, $\lambda \leq x$).

Remark.

In plain words, λ is a least element of S exactly when λ is smaller than every other element of S.

Well-Ordering Principle for integers. (WOPI).

Let S be a subset of N. Suppose $S \neq \emptyset$. Then S has a least element.

Remark.

A more formal way to express 'S has a least element' is:

there exists some $\lambda \in S$ such that λ is a least element of S.

Further remark.

The Well-ordering Principle for integers is usually regarded as an axiom in mathematics that we can *choose* to *believe* its validity, or *not believe*.

2. Theorem (DAN). (Division Algorithm for natural numbers.)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$.

Then there exist some unique $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < n$.

Remark on terminology.

In the statement of Theorem (DAN), the numbers q, r are called the **quotient** and **remainder** in the division of m by n.

Digression on logic: Existence-and-uniqueness statement.

The 'conclusion part' of Theorem (DAN) is of the form

'There exist some unique blah-blah-blah such that bleh-bleh'.

For this reason, Theorem (DAN) is called an existence-and-uniqueness statement.

As a whole Theorem (DAN) is made up of two parts: the 'existence part' (Lemma (E)) and the 'uniqueness part' (Lemma (U)). It is the conjunction of Lemma (E) and Lemma (U).

Proof of Theorem (DAN).

The result follows from Lemma (E) and Lemma (U). The argument for Lemma (E) relies on the Well-Ordering Principle for integers.

3. Lemma (E). (Existence part of Theorem (DAN).) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. Then there exist some $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < n$.

Lemma (U). (Uniqueness part of Theorem (DAN).) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. Let $q, r, q', r' \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < n$ and m = q'n + r' and $0 \leq r' < n$. Then q = q' and r = r'.

Remark.

Another way of stating Lemma (U) is:—

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. Then there is at most one $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and at most one $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < n$.

While this formulation of Lemma (U) make it easier heuristically to understand its content, it is the original formulation which makes it clear what should be done when we try to prove Lemma (U).

What is Theorem (DAN) about, in plain words? Answer. It tells us that, given any MENN, NEIN-E03, there is one and only one correct answer to the school maths question on 'long division' below: ? I gudient'

?? + 'remainder'

The argument for the 'existence part' of Theorem (DAN) suggests an 'algorithm' for finding the answer to the question above. This 'algorithm' is probably what we came across as instituation for the notion of division (for natural numbers) in school maths: 'Solution': School matters problem: 100-13 = 87 How many apples will remain from a 87 - 13 = 74 bag with 100 apples if they are Stop the distribution 74-13=61 to be distributed fairly to at this point. 61 - 13 = 48 48 - 13 = 35 13 children and the maximum (9 - 13 = ???)35 - 13 = 22 number of apples is given away? 9 apples remain. 22 - 13 = (9.)4How many apples does each child receive? Each child receives Tapples.

4. Proof of Lemma (E).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$.

[Idea for the argument.

Remember that we want to name appropriate natural numbers q, r satisfying both m = qn + r and $0 \le r < n$.

We put these two conditions in the form $0 \le m - qn = r < n$.

This suggests we look for a candidate for r from the list of natural numbers

$$m - 0 \cdot n, m - 1 \cdot n, m - 2n, m - 3n, \cdots$$

This is a descending arithmetic progression. Does it terminate or not? It has to terminate; otherwise, it would 'descend into the negative integers'. A candidate for r is 'located' where this list terminates. (Why?) With this candidate for r we also obtain a candidate for q. Now we are ready to proceed with the formal argument.]

Proof of Lemma (E).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$.

(Ea) Define $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \text{There exists some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x = m - kn \}.$

By definition, S is a subset of N.
[Ask: Is S the empty set or not?]
Note that
$$m=m-0.n$$
 and $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $m \in S$. Then $S \neq \emptyset$.
Hence S is a non-empty subset of N. By(WOPI), S has a least element, say, r.
(Eb) Since $r \in S$, we have $r \in \mathbb{N}$.
Also, Since $r \in S$, there exists some $q \in \mathbb{K}$ and that $r = m-gn$.
So $m = gn+r$ for these $q \cdot r$.
(Ec) Stack: $0 \leq r < n$?]
By definition, $r \geq 0$.
We verify that $r < n$:
 $\sum_{i=r-n}^{i=r-n} m - gn-n$
 $\sum_{i=m-(q+i)n}^{i=r-n} m - gn-n$.
Since $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q + i \in \mathbb{N}$.
We would have $\hat{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\hat{r} < r$.

5. Proof of Lemma (U).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. Suppose $q, r, q', r' \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < n$ and m = q'n + r' and $0 \leq r' < n$.

We have

$$q_{n+r} = m = q'_{n+r'}$$
.
Then $(q-q')n = r'-r$.
Therefore $|q-q'| \cdot n = |r'-r|$. $(why?)$
Since $0 \le r \le n-1$ and $0 \le r' \le n-1$,
 $|r'-r| \le n-1$.
Now $0 \le |q-q'| \cdot n = |r'-r| \le n-1$. (A)
Since $|q-q'| \in N$, we have $|q-q'| \cdot n = 0$ or $|q-q'| \cdot n \ge n$.
By (A), we have $|q'-q| \cdot n = 0$. Then $|q'-q| = 0$.
Therefore $q'=q$. Hence $r'=r$ also.

6. Corollary (DAZ1). (Division Algorithm for integers.)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose n > 0.

Then there exist some unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \le r < n$. **Proof of Corollary (DAZ1).**

(a) ['Existence argument'.] Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose n > 0. Note that $m \ge 0$ or m < 0.

- (Case 1). Suppose $m \ge 0$. Then, by Theorem (DAN), there exists some $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \le r < n$.
- (Case 2). Suppose m < 0. [*Idea*. Is there an integer in the list

$$m+0\cdot n, m+1\cdot n, m+2n, m+3n, \cdots$$

which is non-negative? If yes, can we apply Theorem (DAN) to such an integer?]

Note that
$$-m \in \mathbb{N}$$
. Since noo, we have $m + (-m)n = -m (n-1) \in \mathbb{N}$.
By Theorem (DAN), there exists some $p, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
 $m + (-m)n = pn + r$ and $0 \leq r < n$.
Now define $q = p + m$. Since $p, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $p + m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
For these q, r , we have $m = -(-m)n + pn + r = (m + p)n + r = qn + r$.

(b) ['Uniqueness argument'.] Exercise.

Corollary (DAZ1). (Division Algorithm for integers.)

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose n > 0.

Then there exist some unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \le r < n$.

Corollary (DAZ2). (Division Algorithm for integers.) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. Then there exist some unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that m = qn + r and $0 \leq r < |n|$.

Proof of Corollary (DAZ2). Exercise.

Remark on terminology.

In each of Corollary (DAZ1) and Corollary (DAZ2), the numbers q, r are called the **quotient** and **remainder** in the division of m by n.

7. Theorem (DIV).

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $n \neq 0$. m is divisible by n iff the remainder is 0 in the division of m by n.

Proof of Theorem (DIV). Exercise.

Remark. This result provides the connection between the definition of divisibility and Division Algorithm.

8. **Definition.**

Suppose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then:

(a) n is said to be **even** if n is divisible by 2.

(b) n is said to be **odd** if n is not divisible by 2.

Theorem (O). (Equivalent formulation of the definition of odd-ness for integers.)

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The statements (\dagger) , (\ddagger) are logically equivalent:

 $(\dagger) n \text{ is odd.}$

(‡) There exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that n = 2k + 1.

Proof of Theorem (O). Exercise.