Solution 10

Section 9.1

2. Denote by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ a conditionally convergent series, $a_n^+ := \max\{a_n, 0\}, a_n^- := \max\{-a_n, 0\}.$ Then we have $|a_n| = a_n^+ + a_n^-$, $a_n = a_n^+ - a_n^-$. Now $\sum_{a_n \ge 0} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^+, \sum_{a_n < 0} a_n = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^-.$ Then $\forall N, \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^+ + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^- = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|.$ Assume $\sum a_n^+, \sum a_n^- < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^+ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^- < \infty$, which is contradiction. Hence, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^+ < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^- = \infty$, but since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^- = \lim \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^- = \lim \sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_n^+ - a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^+ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$,
which is contradiction. Similarly, it is impossible to have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^- < \infty$.

Together,
$$\sum_{a_n \ge 0} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^+ = \infty$$
, $\sum_{a_n < 0} a_n = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^- = -\infty$.

3. Since $\sum a_n$ converges conditionally, $\sum_{a_n \ge 0} a_n = \infty$, $\sum_{a_n < 0} a_n = -\infty$ and $a_n \to 0$. Hence $\exists K \text{ s.t. } |a_n| < 1/2, \forall n \ge K$. \vdots From $\{a_n : a_n \ge 0\}$, it is possible to pick $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n_1} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} b_n > 1$. Then, from $\{a_n : a_n < 0\}$, pick $b_{n_1+1}, b_{n_1+2}, \ldots, b_{n_2} \text{ s.t. } 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{n_2} b_n \le 1$. Next, from $\{a_n : a_n \ge 0\} \setminus \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n_1}\}$, pick $b_{n_2+1}, b_{n_2+2}, \ldots, b_{n_3} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{n=1}^{n_3} b_n > 2$. Then, from $\{a_n : a_n < 0\} \setminus \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{n_2}\}$, pick $b_{n_3+1}, b_{n_3+2}, \ldots, b_{n_4} \text{ s.t. } 1 < \sum_{n=1}^{n_4} b_n \le 2$. Continuing this process, every terms in a_n 's will eventually be picked and hence we obtain a rearrangement (b_n) s.t. $\forall k, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n > k$. Hence $\sum b_n$ diverges to ∞ .

7. (a)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n b_n| \le M \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n| \le M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|, \text{ where } |b_n| \le M, \forall n.$$

Let $N \to \infty$,
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n b_n| \le M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty, \text{ hence } \sum a_n b_n \text{ converges absolutely.}$$

(b) Take
$$a_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$$
, $b_n := (-1)^n$, then $a_n b_n = \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \sum a_n b_n = \sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.

8. Take
$$a_n := \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \sum a_n = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 converges by alternating series test (Theorem 9.3.2). But $\sum a_n^2 = \sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.

Remark It can also be used to answer the question: Give an example of two convergent serieses $\sum a_n$, $\sum b_n$ such that $\sum a_n b_n$ diverges.

9. Denote
$$s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$
. Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_{2n} - s_n \ge na_{2n} = \frac{1}{2}(2n)a_{2n} > 0$, and
 $s_{2n+1} - s_n \ge (n+1)a_{2n} \ge \frac{1}{2}(2n+1)a_{2n+1} > 0.$
Let $n \to \infty$, $\lim(2n)a_{2n} = 0$, $\lim(2n+1)a_{2n+1} = 0 \implies \lim na_n = 0.$

10. Take
$$a_n := \frac{1}{n \ln n}$$
, by Cauchy condensation test (by question 12 in Section 3.7 p.95),

$$\sum a_n = \sum \frac{1}{n \ln n} \text{ diverges. Hence } \lim na_n = \lim n \left(\frac{1}{n \ln n}\right) = \lim \frac{1}{\ln n} = 0.$$
13. (a) $\frac{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n})} > \frac{1}{2(n+1)}$
Since Harmonic series is divergent, so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}})$ is also divergent.
(b) $\frac{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{n} = \frac{1}{n(\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n})} < \frac{1}{2n^{1.5}}.$
Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/over2n^{1.5}$ is convergent, so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{n})$ is also convergent.

15. First we assume (i) is correct. Note that $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}$, let $i' = \max\{i | a_{ij} = c_k, k = 1, \dots, M\}$. Then we have,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k \le \sum_{i=1}^{i'} A_i \le B$$

That means $\sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k$ is upper bounded, hence (ii) holds.

Then assuming (ii) is correct. We want to prove A_i exists first, then applying similar technique to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Because $\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}$ is sum of subset of enumeration, hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \le \sum_{k=1}^\infty \le C$$

That means A_i exists. So $\forall \epsilon$ and i, $\exists N(i, \epsilon)$ such that $\forall n > N(i, \epsilon), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} > A_i - \frac{\epsilon}{2^i}$. So, $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N(i,\epsilon)} a_{ij} > \sum_{i=1}^{M} A_i - \epsilon$$

Note that the left part of the equation is less than C. Let $\epsilon \to 0$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} A_i \le C$$

So (i) holds.

Let $M \to \infty$ in the proof above, we have $B \ge C$ and $C \ge B$. That is B = C.

Section 9.2

1. (a) Method 1

Denote
$$x_n := \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$
. Then $\left| \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| = \frac{n+1}{n+3} = 1 - \frac{2}{n+3}$
Hence $\lim n\left(1 - \left| \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| \right) = \lim \frac{2}{1+3/n} = 2 > 1$.
By Raabe's test, $\sum x_n$ converges absolutely.

Method 2 Now $x_n := \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \le \frac{1}{n^2}$. Since $\sum \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$, by Comparison test, $\sum x_n$ converges absolutely since each x_n is positive.

- (c) Since $\lim 2^{-1/n} = 2^0 = 1 \neq 0$. By *n*th term test, $\sum 2^{-1/n}$ diverges.
- 2. (b) Now $x_n := (n^2(n+1))^{-1/2} \le n^{-3/2}$. Since $\sum \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} < \infty$, by Comparison test, $\sum x_n$ converges.
 - (c) $x_n = \frac{n!}{n^n} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots 21}{nn\cdots nn} \le \frac{2}{n^2}$. Therefore by comparison test, the series diverges. (d) Denote $x_n := (-1)^n \frac{n}{n+1}$. Then $\lim x_{2n} = 1$ and $\lim x_{2n-1} = -1$. By *n*th term test, $\sum x_n$ diverges.
- 3. (b) Now $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x^{e^x}}{e^{2x}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{e^x \ln x 2x} \ge \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{(1+x)\ln x 2x} \ge \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{\ln x + x(\ln x 2)} = \infty$. By sequential criterion, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\ln n)^n}{n^2} = \infty \implies \exists K \text{ s.t. } \frac{(\ln n)^n}{n^2} > 1$, for $n \ge K$. Hence, for $n \ge K$, $(\ln n)^{-n} < \frac{1}{n^2}$. Since $\sum_{n=K}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$, by Comparison test, $\sum (\ln n)^{-n}$ converges (absolutely) (for sufficiently large n).

(d) Now
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{(e^x)^x}{e^{e^x}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{e^{x^2}}{e^{e^x}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{x^2 - e^x}$$
.
By Taylor theorem, for $x \ge 3$, $e^x \ge x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3!} \ge x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^2 \cdot 3}{3!} = x + x^2$.
Hence, $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{(e^x)^x}{e^{e^x}} = \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{x^2 - e^x} \le \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{x^2 - (x + x^2)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} e^{-x} = 0$.
By sequential criterion, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\ln n)^{\ln \ln n}}{n} = 0 \implies \exists K \text{ s.t. } \frac{(\ln n)^{\ln \ln n}}{n} < 1$, for $n \ge K$.
Hence, for $n \ge K$, $(\ln n)^{-\ln \ln n} > \frac{1}{n}$. Since $\sum_{n=K}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges,

by Comparison test, $\sum (\ln n)^{-\ln \ln n}$ diverges (for sufficiently large n).

Remark Basically what we did above is to try to compare the *tail of sequence* by $(1/n^2)$ if we want to show convergence, and by (1/n) if we want to show divergence instead. However, it is difficult to prove the divergence of $\sum \frac{1}{n \ln n}$ by the method as mentioned above, because it is too ugly that $\frac{1}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{n \ln n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for large n.

- 4. (b) Denote $x_n := n^n e^{-n}$. Then $\left| \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| = \frac{n+1}{e} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \ge \frac{2(n+1)}{e} \to \infty$. By ratio test, $\sum x_n$ diverges.
 - (d) Now $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{e^{x/2}/x}}{e^{2x}} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{e^{x/2}-2x}}{x}$. By Taylor theorem, for $x \ge 10$, $e^{x/2} \ge (x/2) + \frac{(x/2)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(x/2)^5}{5!} \ge \frac{5x}{2}$. Hence, $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{e^{x/2}/x}}{e^{2x}} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{e^{x/2}-2x}}{x} \ge \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{x/2}}{x} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{e^{x/2}/2}{1} = \infty$. By sequential criterion, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{e^{\sqrt{n}}/\ln n}{n^2} = \infty \Rightarrow \exists K \text{ s.t. } \frac{e^{\sqrt{n}}/\ln n}{n^2} > 1$, for $n \ge K$. Hence, for $n \ge K$, $(\ln n)e^{-\sqrt{n}} < \frac{1}{n^2}$. Since $\sum_{n=K}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$, by Comparison test, $\sum (\ln n)e^{-\sqrt{n}}$ converges (absolutely) (for sufficiently large n).

6. Define
$$f(x) := (ax+b)^{-p}$$
. Then $f'(x) := -ap(ax+b)^{-p-1} < 0$, for $x \ge 1$. Moreover

$$\int_{1}^{R} f = \int_{1}^{R} \frac{dx}{(ax+b)^{p}} = \begin{cases} \frac{(ax+b)^{1-p}}{a(1-p)} \Big|_{1}^{R}, & \text{for } p \ne 1 \\ \ln(ax+b)\Big|_{1}^{R}, & \text{for } p = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a(1-p)} \left(\frac{1}{(aR+b)^{p-1}} - \frac{1}{(a+b)^{p-1}} \right), & \text{for } p \ne 1 \\ \ln(aR+b) - \ln(a+b), & \text{for } p = 1 \end{cases}$$
If $p > 1$, then $\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{1}^{R} f = \frac{(a+b)^{1-p}}{a(p-1)}$, by integral test, $\sum (an+b)^{-p} < \infty$.
If $p \le 1$, then $\int_{1}^{R} f$ diverges as $R \to \infty$, by integral test, $\sum (an+b)^{-p}$ diverges.

7. (a) Denote
$$x_n := \frac{n!}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+1)}$$
. Then $\left| \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \right| = \frac{n+1}{2n+3} \to \frac{1}{2} < 1$.
By ratio test, $\sum x_n$ converges absolutely.

Note that this series is a rearrangement of a, a²,..., aⁿ⁻¹, aⁿ,..., which we already know is absolutely convergent.

Root test:

$$|x_n|^{1/n} = \begin{cases} a^{(n-1)/n}, & n = 2k; \\ a^{n/(n-1)}, & n = 2k-1 \end{cases}$$

In both cases $|x_n|^{1/n} < 1$. By root test, the infinite series is convergent. Ratio test:

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1/a > 1 \quad \forall n = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = a^2 < 1 \quad \forall n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

We can't use ratio test to judge if this series is convergent.

15.
$$c_{n+1} - c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} - (\ln(n+1) - \ln n) = \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{\xi} < 0$$
, for some $\xi \in (n, n+1)$, by MVT.

Hence (c_n) is a decreasing sequence. Now we know

$$\int_{k}^{k+1} \frac{dx}{x} < \frac{1}{k} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ln n = \int_{1}^{n} \frac{dx}{x} < \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} < 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_n > 0.$$

Hence (c_n) is bounded from below by 0, $C := \lim c_n$ exists. Now we have $b_n = c_{2n} - c_n + \ln 2 \implies \lim b_n = C - C + \ln 2 = \ln 2.$

16. Group the terms according to the # of digits in the denominators, hence the partial sum

$$s_n \leq \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{1} + \dots + \frac{1}{9}\right)}_{\text{no } 1/6} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{11} + \dots + \frac{1}{99}\right)}_{\text{no terms with digit } 6} + \dots + \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{10 \cdots 0}}_{N \text{ digits}} + \dots + \underbrace{\frac{1}{99 \cdots 9}}_{N \text{ digits}}\right)}_{\text{no terms with digit } 6}$$

where n is an N-digit natural number.

For a k-digit natural number without a digit 6, there are 8 choices for the 1st digit and 9 choices for the other (k-1) digits.

Hence # of k-digit natural numbers without a digit $6 = 8 \times 9^{k-1}$.

$$s_n \leq \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{1} + \dots + \frac{1}{1}\right)}_{8 \text{ terms}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \dots + \frac{1}{10}\right)}_{8 \times 9 \text{ terms}} + \dots + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{10^{N-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{10^{N-1}}\right)}_{8 \times 9^{N-1} \text{ terms}}$$
$$= 8 + 8 \times \left(\frac{9}{10}\right) + \dots + 8 \times \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{N-1} < \frac{8}{1 - 9/10} = 80.$$

Since (s_n) is increasing and bounded above by 80, then $\sum \frac{1}{n_k}$ converges to a limit < 80.[†]

Now
$$m_k = 10k - 4$$
, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10k - 4} \ge \frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges.

Now $\{p_k\}$ is the collection of numbers not ended in 6, hence it contains a subcollection of numbers ended in 1, namely $p_{k_j} := 10j + 1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_{k_j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10j+1} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10j+j} = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \text{ diverges.}$$

Remark We can get the same result if 6 is replaced by a fixed digit among $1, 2, \ldots, 9$. If 6 is replaced by 0, we can get the bound 90 instead of 80.

19. We adopt the notation in the question. Since $b_1 = \sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_1}$ and $b_n = \sqrt{A - A_{n-1}} - \sqrt{A - A_n} > 0$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k = \sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A - A_N} \to \sqrt{A} \text{ as } N \to \infty.$$

Hence the series converges. Now, we check that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 0$. For n > 1,

$$b_n = \sqrt{A - A_{n-1}} - \sqrt{A - A_n} = \frac{A_n - A_{n-1}}{\sqrt{A - A_{n-1}} + \sqrt{A - A_n}} = \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{A - A_{n-1}} + \sqrt{A - A_n}}.$$

Using the fact that $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = A$, we conclude that

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \sqrt{A - A_{n-1}} + \sqrt{A - A_n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

20. Let $b_n = a_n/\sqrt{A_n}$ where A_n is the *n*th partial sum of $\sum a_n$. It is clear that

$$\lim \left(b_n / a_n \right) = \lim 1 / \sqrt{A_n} = 0$$

since $\sum a_n$ is divergent. Now we prove $\sum b_n$ is also divergent.

$$\sum b_n \ge \sum_{n=1}^M b_n \ge \sum_{n=1}^M a_n / \sqrt{A_M} = \sqrt{A_M} \quad \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$$

Letting $M \to \infty$, we have the desired conclusion.

Section 9.3

1. (b) Denote $x_n := \frac{1}{n+1} > 0$. Note $x_{n+1} - x_n = \frac{-1}{n(n+1)} < 0$, i.e. (x_n) is decreasing and $\lim x_n = \lim \frac{1}{n+1} = 0$. By Leibniz Test (alternating series test), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+1} < \infty$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(-1)^{n+1}x_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+1} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges.

Hence it converges conditionally.

(d) Denote $x_n := \frac{\ln n}{n}$. Define $f(x) := \frac{x}{e^x}$. Then $f'(x) = \frac{e^x - xe^x}{e^{2x}} = \frac{1 - x}{e^x} < 0$ if x > 1.

Hence f is decreasing if x > 1. By L'Hopital's rule, $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^x} = 0$.

By sequential criterion, $\lim x_n = \lim f(\ln n) = 0$ and $\forall n \ge 3, x_n > 0$ and $x_{n+1} = f(\ln(n+1)) < f(\ln n) = x_n$. By Leibniz Test (alternating series test), $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\ln n}{n} < \infty$.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^{n+1} x_n \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\ln n}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n}{n} \ge \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{ diverges.}$$
 Hence it converges conditionally.

2. Now $s_{2(n+1)} - s_{2n} = z_{2n+1} - z_{2n+2} \ge 0$, and $s_{2n+1} - s_{2n-1} = -(z_{2n} - z_{2n+1}) \le 0$, $s_{2n} - s_{2n-1} = -z_{2n} \le 0$, i.e. $s_{2n} \le s_{2n-1}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Together, $s_2 \le s_4 \le s_6 \le \cdots \le s_{2n} \le s_{2n-1} \le \cdots \le s_5 \le s_3 \le s_1$. Hence s lies between s_n and s_{n+1} , so $|s - s_n| \le |s_{n+1} - s_n| \le z_{n+1}$.

8. (a) Denote
$$x_n := \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1}$$
. Since $n \mapsto \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$ is increasing,
 $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(1+1/(n+1))^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{n+2} \le \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} = x_n$.
Now $\lim x_n = \lim \frac{1}{(1+1/n)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{e} \cdot 0 = 0$.
By Leibniz Test (alternating series test), $\sum (-1)^n \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}}$ converges.

(c) Now
$$\lim \left| (-1)^n \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^n} \right| = e \neq 0$$
. By n^{th} term test, $\sum (-1)^n \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^n}$ diverges.

Supplementary Exercises

1. (a) Since $\sum_{k=1} \log a_k$ converges, we have by n-th term test, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \log a_k = 0$. Therefore by continuity of e^x

$$1 = e^{\lim_{k \to \infty} \log a_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} e^{\log a_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} a_k.$$

(b) The first inequality follows from

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1+p_k) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \le n} p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_m} \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k.$$

For the second one, we observe that

$$e^{p_k} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(p_k)^j}{j!} + 1 + p_k \ge 1 + p_k.$$

Hence, $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + p_k)$ is convergent implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k$ is bounded above, so the series converges. Now if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k$ converges, then

$$0 \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log(1+p_k) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k < \infty,$$

therefore $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log(1+p_k)$ is convergent.

2014-15 Second Term MAT2060B

2. (a) Now We shall follow the hint given in the question. Let a > 1

$$\frac{1}{2^a} \sum_{k=1} \frac{1}{k^a} = \sum_{k=1} \frac{1}{(2k)^a}$$

and therefore

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{2^a}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^a}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k-1)^a}=1+\frac{1}{3^a}+\frac{1}{5^a}+\cdots$$

Similarly

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^a}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^a}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^a} = \sum_{2 \nmid k \text{ and } 3 \nmid k} \frac{1}{k^a}$$

and

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{a}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{3^{a}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{5^{a}}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{k^{a}} = \sum_{2\nmid k,3\nmid k \text{ and } 5\nmid k}\frac{1}{k^{a}}$$

Since every integer ≥ 2 is either a prime or product of primes. We have let N be any large integer > 2

$$\prod_{p < N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^a} \right) \sum_{k=1} \frac{1}{k^a} = 1 + \sum_{k > 1, p \nmid k, \forall p < N} \frac{1}{k^a}$$

Hence

$$\left|\prod_{p < N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^a}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k^a} - 1\right| \le \sum_{k=N}^{N} \frac{1}{k^a}$$

where RHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Result follows.

(b) Method 1, suppose there are finitely many prime, say $p_1, \dots, p_N \ge 2$, then RHS of the identity in 2a) defines a continuous function f on $[1, \infty)$, which is given by

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{\prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^a}\right)}.$$

In particular, it is bounded on [1,2]. However, by integral test and 2a), we conclude that for a < 2

$$f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^a} \ge 1 + \int_2^\infty \frac{1}{t^a} dt = 1 + \frac{2^{1-a}}{a-1} \ge \frac{1}{2(a-1)},$$

which is unbounded on (1, 2]. Contradiction.

Method 2, suppose there are finitely many prime, say p_1, \dots, p_N . We consider the following integer

$$k := \prod_{n=1}^{N} p_n + 1.$$

Every integer ≥ 2 is either a prime or product of primes. Now $k \geq 2$ is not divisible by p_i for all $i \leq N$ and therefore is a prime $\neq p_i, \forall i$, which is impossible.