

Thm 4.8 (Arzela's Theorem) Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then a compact subset of $C(K)$ is closed, bounded, and equicontinuous.

PF: Let \mathcal{F} be a compact subset of $C(K)$.

Then we've shown that \mathcal{F} is closed and bounded.

Since compactness \Rightarrow totally boundedness, we have

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{\varepsilon}(f_i) \quad (\text{where } N = N_{\varepsilon})$$

$\therefore \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\exists f_{\bar{i}}, \bar{i} = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$|f(x) - f_{\bar{i}}(x)| \leq \|f - f_{\bar{i}}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

As $f_{\bar{i}}$ is continuous on cpt. K , it is uniformly continuous

$\Rightarrow \exists \delta_i > 0$ such that

$$|f_{\bar{i}}(x) - f_{\bar{i}}(y)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall |x - y| < \delta_i \quad (x, y \in K)$$

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N\} > 0$, then $\forall |x - y| < \delta \quad (x, y \in K)$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f_i(x)| + |f_i(x) - f_i(y)| + |f_i(y) - f(y)|$$

$$< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon \quad \#$$

Conversely, we have

Thm 4.9 (Ascoli's Theorem) Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then a closed, bounded, and equicontinuous subset in $C(K)$ is compact.

Pf: Let \mathcal{F} be a closed, bounded and equicontinuous subset in $C(K)$, and $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{F} .

Step 1: Since K is compact in \mathbb{R}^n , it is totally bounded

$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \exists$ finitely many points

$x_1^{(\varepsilon)}, \dots, x_{N_\varepsilon}^{(\varepsilon)}$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_i^{(\varepsilon)}).$$

Then the set $A = \bigcup_{\varepsilon=1}^{\infty} \{x_1^{(\varepsilon)}, \dots, x_{N_\varepsilon}^{(\varepsilon)}\}$

is countable.

And by the boundedness of \mathcal{F} , $\exists M > 0$ such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M, \quad \forall x \in A \text{ \& } n \geq 1.$$

In particular

$$|f_n(x_k^{(i)})| \leq M, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, k=1, \dots, N_i, \forall n$$

Step 2 (Lemma 4.10)

Claim: There exists a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$, denoted by $\{g_n\}$, such that $\{g_n(x)\}$ is convergent $\forall x \in A$.

PF: By step 1, A is countable and hence can be listed as a sequence $A = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$.

Since $\{f_n(x_1)\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} , there exists a subsequence, denoted by $\{f_n^{(1)}\}$, of $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{f_n^{(1)}(x_1)\}$ is convergent.

For x_2 , $\{f_n^{(1)}(x_2)\}$ is bounded, \exists subseq. $\{f_n^{(2)}\}$ of $\{f_n^{(1)}\}$ such that $\{f_n^{(2)}(x_2)\}$ is convergent.

Note that $\{f_n^{(2)}\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n^{(1)}\}$, $\{f_n^{(2)}(x_1)\}$ is also

convergent.

Repeat the process for $x_3, x_4, \dots, x_k, \dots$,
we will find a sequence of subsequences $\{f_n^{(k)}\}$
of $\{f_n\}$ such that

- $\{f_n^{(k)}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n^{(k-1)}\}$, and
- $\{f_n^{(k)}(x_1)\}, \{f_n^{(k)}(x_2)\}, \dots, \{f_n^{(k)}(x_k)\}$ are convergent

Then the diagonal trick gives us a subseq

$$\{g_n = f_n^{(n)}\} \text{ of } \{f_n\}$$

such that $\{g_n(x_i)\}$ is convergent $\forall x_i \in A$.

($\{g_n(x_i)\}$ is a subseq. of $\{f_n^{(i)}(x_i)\}$) ~~✗~~

Step 3 $\{g_n\}$ constructed in Step 2 is a Cauchy sequence
in $C(K)$.

Pf: By equicontinuity of \mathcal{F} ,

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| < \epsilon, \forall |x-y| < \delta \quad (x, y \in K)$$

Pick $\bar{\delta} > \frac{1}{8}$. Then by the construction of the A in Step 1, $\forall x \in K$, \exists some $k = 1, 2, \dots; N_{\bar{\delta}}$ such that

$$|x - x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}| < \frac{1}{\bar{\delta}} < \delta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(x) - g_m(x)| &\leq |g_n(x) - g_n(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})})| + |g_n(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}) - g_m(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})})| \\ &\quad + |g_m(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}) - g_m(x)| \\ &< 2\varepsilon + |g_n(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}) - g_m(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})})| \end{aligned}$$

By Step 2, $\exists n_0 = n_0(k) \geq 1$ such that

$$|g_n(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}) - g_m(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})})| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0$$

Let $N_0 = \max_{k=1, \dots, N_{\bar{\delta}}} \{n_0(k)\} \geq 1$, then

$\forall x \in K$ and $\forall n, m \geq N_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(x) - g_m(x)| &< 2\varepsilon + |g_n(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})}) - g_m(x_k^{(\bar{\delta})})| \\ &< 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|g_n - g_m\|_{\infty} < 3\varepsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq N_0$$

$\therefore \{g_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C(K)$.

Final Step: By completeness of $C(K)$ and closedness of \mathcal{F} , $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly for some $g \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence \mathcal{F} is compact. ~~XX~~

Thm 4.11 A sequence in $C(K)$, where K is compact in \mathbb{R}^n , has a convergent subsequence if it is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

Pf: Let $\mathcal{F} = \text{closure of } \{f_n\}$.

Since $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded, $\exists M > 0$ such that $|f_n(x)| \leq M, \forall x \in K \text{ \& } n \geq 1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $f = f_n$ for some n , or

$\exists \{f_{n_j}\}$ s.t. $\|f_{n_j} - f\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$

$\therefore \|f\|_{\infty} \leq M, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is bounded in $C(K)$.

By equicontinuity of $\{f_n\}$, $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such

that $\forall n \geq 1$, $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \varepsilon$, $\forall |x-y| < \delta$ ($x, y \in K$)

If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \neq f_n$, then $\exists f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$ in $C(K)$

Taking $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ in $|f_{n_j}(x) - f_{n_j}(y)| < \varepsilon$,

we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$, $\forall |x-y| < \delta$ ($x, y \in K$).

$\therefore \mathcal{F}$ is equicontinuous.

By Arzela-Ascoli's Thm, \mathcal{F} is compact

& hence $\{f_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. ~~✗~~

Application of Arzela-Ascoli Theorem

Thm 4.12 (Cauchy-Peano Theorem)

Let f be a continuous function on

$R = [t_0 - a, t_0 + a] \times [x_0 - b, x_0 + b]$. Then $\exists a' \in (0, a)$

and a C^1 -function $x : [t_0 - a', t_0 + a'] \rightarrow [x_0 - b, x_0 + b]$

solving the (IVP) $\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x) \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases}$.

Pf: Step 0: WLOG, we may assume $t_0 = x_0 = 0$

Step 1: By Weierstrass approximation theorem,

\exists polynomials (of z variables) $p_n(t, x)$

approximating f in $C([-a, a] \times [-b, b])$

Since every $p_n(t, x)$ satisfies Lip condition

on $[-a, a] \times [-b, b]$ as in Picard-Lindelöf Theorem.

$\therefore \forall n \geq 1$, \exists unique solution x_n , $|x_n| \leq b$, of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = p_n(t, x) \\ x(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

defined on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ with $\alpha < \min\left\{a, \frac{b}{M_n}, \frac{1}{L_n}\right\}$

where $M_n = \max_{[-a, a] \times [-b, b]} |p_n(t, x)|$,

$L_n = \text{Lip const. for the Lip condition of } p_n(t, x)$.

Step 2: Use ODE theory to conclude that the unique soln. x_n found in Step 1 actually extends to a soln on the interval

$$I_n = (-a_n, a_n) \text{ where } a_n = \min \left\{ a, \frac{b}{M_n} \right\}.$$

(Pf: Omitted as it is not related to Arzela-Ascoli.)

Steps: Note that $p_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[-a, a] \times [-b, b]$,

we have $M_n \rightarrow M = \|f\|_\infty$.

$$\text{Therefore } \left| \frac{dx_n}{dt} \right| = |p_n(t, x_n)| \leq M_n \rightarrow M$$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded (as $\|x_n\|_\infty \leq b$)
and equicontinuous sequence (uniformly bdd derivatives)
on $[-a', a']$ where $a' < \min \left\{ a, \frac{b}{M} \right\}$.

Arzela-Ascoli's Thm $\Rightarrow \exists$ subseq $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$
converges uniformly on $[-a', a']$. Moreover, the

subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges to a continuous function

$x(t)$ defined on $[-a', a']$ satisfying $x(0) = 0$

and $x(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds$ (as $x_{n_j}(0) = 0$

and $x_{n_j}(t) = \int_0^t p_{n_j}(s, x_{n_j}(s)) ds \quad \forall n_j$.)

§4.5 Completeness and Baire Category Theorem

Def: Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset E is called nowhere dense if its closure does not contain any metric ball.

eg: $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R} . However,

\mathbb{Q} has empty interior but $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$ has non-empty interior, so \mathbb{Q} is not nowhere dense.

Notes: (i) Equivalently, E is nowhere dense if $\exists \bar{E}$ is dense in X .

(ii) E is nowhere dense in $X \Leftrightarrow \bar{E}$ is nowhere dense in X .

(iii) Every subset of nowhere dense set is nowhere dense.

Thm 4.13 (Baire Category Theorem)

Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nowhere dense subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{E}_i$

has empty interior.

(Note: $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{E}_i$ may not be closed, may not be nowhere dense.)