

$$\text{Similarly for } \begin{cases} x = x(u, v, w) \\ y = y(u, v, w) \\ z = z(u, v, w) \end{cases}$$

$$\boxed{dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(u, v, w)} du \wedge dv \wedge dw} \quad (\text{EX!})$$

(using  $dx = x_u du + x_v dv + x_w dw, \dots$ )

- "Oriented" change of variables formula
- " $dx \wedge dy$ " oriented area element
- " $dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ " oriented volume element.

Exterior differentiation "d" on a form "ω"

0-form $f$	$df$ (1-form)
1-form $\omega = \omega_1 dx + \omega_2 dy + \omega_3 dz$	$d\omega = d\omega_1 \wedge dx + d\omega_2 \wedge dy + d\omega_3 \wedge dz$ (2-form)
2-form $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 dy \wedge dz + \Sigma_2 dz \wedge dx + \Sigma_3 dx \wedge dy$	$d\Sigma = d\Sigma_1 \wedge dy \wedge dz + d\Sigma_2 \wedge dz \wedge dx + d\Sigma_3 \wedge dx \wedge dy$ (3-form)
3-form $f dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$	$df \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$ (4-form) in $\mathbb{R}^3$

eg.  $d(dx) = d(dy) = d(dz) = 0$  . ( $d^2x = d^2y = d^2z = 0$ )

eg1 (in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )  $\omega = Mdx + Ndy$  ( $M = M(x,y), N = N(x,y)$ )

then  $d\omega = dM \wedge dx + dN \wedge dy$

$$= (M_x dx + M_y dy) \wedge dx + (N_x dx + N_y dy) \wedge dy$$

$$= (N_x - M_y) dx \wedge dy \quad \text{(+) oriented area}$$

In this notation, Green's Thm  $\oint_{C=\partial R} Mdx + Ndy = \iint_R (N_x - M_y) dx dy$

can be written as  $\left. \begin{array}{l} \oint_{C=\partial R} \omega = \iint_R d\omega \end{array} \right\}$

Remark: If we let  $\vec{F} = M\hat{i} + N\hat{j} \leftrightarrow \omega = Mdx + Ndy$

then  $(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \hat{n} dA = (N_x - M_y) \underbrace{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}}_{dx \wedge dy} dA = d\omega$

( $\hat{n} = \hat{k}$ )



$$\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n} dA = \begin{cases} dx \wedge dy & \text{if } \hat{n} = \hat{k} \\ dy \wedge dx & \text{if } \hat{n} = -\hat{k} \end{cases}$$

orientation of the "surface"

eg2:  $\zeta = \zeta_1 dy \wedge dz + \zeta_2 dz \wedge dx + \zeta_3 dx \wedge dy$

then  $d\zeta = d\zeta_1 \wedge dy \wedge dz + d\zeta_2 \wedge dz \wedge dx + d\zeta_3 \wedge dx \wedge dy$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial \zeta_1}{\partial x} dx + \dots \right) \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

$$+ \left( \dots + \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial y} dy + \dots \right) \wedge dz \wedge dx$$

$$+ \left( \dots + \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial z} dz \right) \wedge dx \wedge dy$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial z} \right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

$$= \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

$$\text{where } \vec{F} = \xi_1 \hat{i} + \xi_2 \hat{j} + \xi_3 \hat{k}$$

Hence the divergence theorem can be written as:

$$\iiint_D dS = \iiint_D \left( \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \xi_3}{\partial z} \right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \quad \text{(+ve) oriented volume}$$

$$= \iiint_D \operatorname{div} \vec{F} \, dV = \iint_{S=\partial D} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} \, d\sigma \quad \text{outward}$$

To see the relation between  $\vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} \, d\sigma$  and  $S$ ,

we parametrize  $S$ :

$$\vec{r}(u,v) = x(u,v) \hat{i} + y(u,v) \hat{j} + z(u,v) \hat{k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \vec{r}_u = x_u \hat{i} + y_u \hat{j} + z_u \hat{k} \\ \vec{r}_v = x_v \hat{i} + y_v \hat{j} + z_v \hat{k} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v = \begin{vmatrix} y_u & y_v \\ z_u & z_v \end{vmatrix} \hat{i} + \begin{vmatrix} z_u & z_v \\ x_u & x_v \end{vmatrix} \hat{j} + \begin{vmatrix} x_u & x_v \\ y_u & y_v \end{vmatrix} \hat{k}$$

If  $\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v$  is outward, then

$$\hat{n} = \frac{\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v}{|\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v|} \quad \text{and} \quad d\sigma = |\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v| \, du \, dv = |\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v| \, du \, dv$$

(correct orientation)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{then } \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma &= \vec{F} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v}{|\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v|} (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v) du dv \\
 &= \left( \zeta_1 \frac{\partial(y,z)}{\partial(u,v)} + \zeta_2 \frac{\partial(z,x)}{\partial(u,v)} + \zeta_3 \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)} \right) du dv \\
 &= \zeta_1 dy dz + \zeta_2 dz dx + \zeta_3 dx dy \\
 &= \zeta
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \iint_S \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma &= \iint_{(u,v)} \zeta_1 dy dz + \zeta_2 dz dx + \zeta_3 dx dy \\
 &= \iint_{S=\partial D} \zeta
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence divergence thm is

$$\boxed{\iiint_D d\zeta = \iint_{S=\partial D} \zeta} \quad \zeta = z\text{-form}$$

eg 3 Stokes' Thm

$$\vec{F} = M\hat{i} + N\hat{j} + L\hat{k} \iff \omega = Mdx + Ndy + Ldz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{then } d\omega &= (L_y - N_z) dy dz + (M_z - L_x) dz dx \quad (\text{Ex!}) \\
 &\quad + (N_x - M_y) dx dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma \quad (\text{Ex!})$$

Stokes Thm becomes

$$\oint_{C=\partial S} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} \rightarrow \oint_{C=\partial S} \omega = \iint_S d\omega \leftarrow \iint_S (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \hat{n} d\sigma$$

# Generalization to manifold of $n$ -dimension with boundary (Skipped)

- $M = n$  dim'l Manifold (oriented)
- $\partial M =$  boundary (oriented with induced orientation)
- $\omega = (n-1)$ -form on  $M$  (smooth)

Then

$$\boxed{\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega}$$

↑  
 $n$ -dim'l  
integral

↑  
 $(n-1)$ -dim'l  
integral

Note:  $\partial M$  is always closed, i.e. no boundary.

$$\therefore \boxed{\partial(\partial M) = \partial^2 M = 0}$$

boundary has no boundary



Hence if  $\omega = d\eta$ , for some  $(n-2)$ -form  $\eta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \int_M d(d\eta) &= \int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega \\ &= \int_{\partial M} d\eta = \int_{\partial(\partial M)} \eta = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{for any } \eta.)$$

This suggests  $\boxed{d^2\eta = 0}$ ,  $\forall$  differential form

Ex: Verify this for 0-form and 1-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and observes that these are just

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} f &= 0 & (d^2f = 0) \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) &= 0 & (d^2\omega = 0) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

eg: let  $\omega = \frac{-y}{x^2+y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} dy$

check:  $d\omega = 0$

But  $\omega \neq df$  for any smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$

(since  $\omega = d\theta$  and  $\theta$  is not defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ )

Hence  $d\omega = 0 \not\Rightarrow \omega = d\eta$  in general

( $\Leftarrow$ )  
↑  
yes

Note: Theorem can be written as:

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  simply-connected, then

$d\omega = 0 \Leftrightarrow \omega = d\overset{\text{smooth}}{f}$  for some function  $f$  on  $\Omega$ .