

Key definitions, techniques & theorems so far:

① Definition: Multiplication of two matrices.

Let  $A \in M_{mn}$ ,  $B \in M_{np}$ . Then

$$AB \in M_{mp}$$

is the matrix with entries

$$[AB]_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n [A]_{ik} [B]_{kj} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

② Definition: Multiplication of a matrix and a vector.

Let  $A \in M_{mn}$ ,  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$A\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

is the vector with entries

$$[A\vec{v}]_i = \sum_{j=1}^n [A]_{ij} [\vec{v}]_j.$$

③ Theorem: Let  $A = [\vec{u}_1 | \vec{u}_2 | \vec{u}_3 | \dots | \vec{u}_n]$ . In other words,  $\vec{u}_i$  are the columns of the matrix

$A$ . Let  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$A\vec{x} = x_1\vec{u}_1 + x_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{u}_n.$$

④ Theorem: Let  $A \in M_{mn}$ ,  $B \in M_{np}$ , with

$$B = [\vec{u}_1 | \dots | \vec{u}_p].$$

Then  $AB = [A\vec{u}_1 | \dots | A\vec{u}_p]$ .

⑤ Definition: Let  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . A linear combination of  $\vec{u}_i$  is a sum  $\alpha_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{u}_n$  for some choice of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

⑥ Definition: The span of  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the set of all linear combinations of  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n$ . We write the span as  $\langle \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ .

⑦ By theorem ③,  $\langle \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  equals the set of vectors of the form  $A\vec{x}$  where  $A = [\vec{u}_1 | \dots | \vec{u}_n]$  and  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}$ .

⑧ By ⑦  $\vec{v} \in \langle \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \rangle$  is equivalent to the existence of  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{v} \quad \text{where } A = [\vec{u}_1 | \dots | \vec{u}_n]$$

This can be checked by row reduction.

⑨  $1 \perp \cap - M \quad \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \perp \perp$

④ Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We want to find  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ .

Let  $[A | \vec{b}]$  be the augmented matrix.

Perform row operations on  $[A | \vec{b}]$  to bring it to row-reduced echelon form:

$$[A | \vec{b}] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} [B | \vec{c}].$$

If the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $B$  is zero but  $[\vec{c}]_i \neq 0$ , then there are no solutions. We say the equation is inconsistent.

Suppose  $[\vec{c}]_i = 0$  for each zero row of  $B$ .

Then there is at least one solution  $\vec{x}$ , and we say the equation is consistent.

Let  $r = \#$  of pivots of  $B$ .

If  $r = n$ , there is a unique solution.

If  $r < n$ , there are infinitely many solutions depending on  $n - r$  free variables.

You should be able to write these down by

solving the system of equations associated to

$B \cdot \vec{x} = \vec{c}$ . Since  $B$  is RREF, this is much easier than  $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ .

⑨ Definition: Let  $A \in M_{m,n}$ . The nullspace  $N(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of vectors  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A \vec{x} = \vec{0}_m$ .

⑩ Let  $\vec{x}_0$  be a solution of  $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ . Then the full solution set is given by

$$\{ \vec{x}_0 + \vec{v} \mid \vec{v} \in N(A) \}.$$

We call  $\vec{x}_0$  a "particular solution" and  $\vec{v}$  a "homogenous solution".

⑪ A set of vectors  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is called linearly independent if  $\alpha_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{u}_n = \vec{0}_m$  implies  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ .

⑫ Theorem:  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are linearly independent  $\iff$  the nullspace of  $A = [\vec{u}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{u}_n]$  equals  $\{ \vec{0}_n \}$ .

(13) Definition: Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ . We say  $A$  is invertible if there exists  $B \in M_{n \times n}$  such that  $AB = BA = I_n$ . We call  $B$  the inverse of  $A$  and write  $B = A^{-1}$ .

(14) Theorem: An invertible matrix has a unique inverse.

In other words: If  $BA = AB = I_n$   
 $\& CA = AC = I_n$   
 then  $B = C$ .

Moreover: if  $BA = I_n$  then  $AB = I_n$   
 $\&$  if  $AB = I_n$  then  $BA = I_n$ .

(15) The following are equivalent:

a)  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent

b)  $A = [\vec{u}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{u}_n]$  is non-singular.

c)  $A^t = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_1^t \\ \vdots \\ \vec{u}_n^t \end{bmatrix}$  is non-singular

d)  $A$  is invertible.

(16) Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ . Consider the augmented matrix  $[A \mid I_n]$ . Let's perform row reduction on this augmented matrix.

$\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$  RREF  $[I_n \mid A^{-1}]$

Case 1:  $[A | I_n] \rightsquigarrow [I_n | B]$ .

Then  $A$  is invertible &  $B = A^{-1}$ .

Case 2:  $[A | I_n] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} [A' | B]$

where  $A'$  has a row of zeros.

Then  $A$  is not invertible.

(17) Theorem: Let  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ .

Then  $AB$  is invertible if and only if  $A$  &  $B$  are invertible. In this case,  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

(18) Consider the system of linear equations

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

& suppose  $A$  is a square invertible matrix.

Then multiplying both sides on the left by  $A^{-1}$ , we find

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}.$$

(19) Definition: the determinant of a square matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  is defined inductively by

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} [A]_{1i} \det(A(i|1))$$

where  $A(i|1)$  is obtained from  $A$  by removing the 1<sup>st</sup> row &  $i^{\text{th}}$  column.

(20) The above is called 'expanding along the 1<sup>st</sup> row'. You can also expand along the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row or  $k^{\text{th}}$  column:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} [A]_{ji} \det(A(j|i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} [A]_{ik} \det(A(i|k)). \end{aligned}$$

Some determinants are easier to compute: if

$A$  is upper triangular ( $[A]_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ )

or lower triangular ( $[A]_{ij} = 0$  for  $i < j$ )

Then  $\det A = \prod_{i=1}^n [A]_{ii}$ .

(21) If  $A$  &  $B$  differ by a row operation, the determinant changes in a simple way?

$$\begin{array}{l}
 A \xrightarrow{R_i + \alpha R_j} B \quad \det(A) = \det(B) \\
 A \xrightarrow{R_i \leftrightarrow R_j} B \quad \det(A) = -\det(B) \\
 A \xrightarrow{\alpha R_i} B \quad \alpha \det(A) = \det(B)
 \end{array}$$

(22) Theorem:  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$ .  
for  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ .

Careful: if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\det(\alpha A) = \alpha^n \det(A)$ .  
This is compatible with the previous theorem, since  $\alpha A = (\alpha I_n) A$   
&  $\det(\alpha I_n) = \alpha^n$ .

(23) Theorem: let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ .

$$\det(A) \neq 0 \iff A \text{ is non-singular.}$$

(24) In particular, if  $A$  has a zero row/column, or repeated rows/columns, or more generally a linear dependence among its rows or columns, then  $\det(A) = 0$ .

(25) Definition: let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$



let  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

if 1)  $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}_n$ .

$$2) A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}.$$

(26) let  $E_A(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the set of all  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ .

Thus it consists of eigenvectors + the zero vectors.

(27) Theorem:  $E_A(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(A - \lambda I_n)$ .

(28)  $E_A(\lambda) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$  if and only if

$$\det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0.$$

(29) Definition: let  $p_A(x) = \det(A - xI_n)$ .

We call it the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .

(30) Theorem: let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ . Then  $p_A(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $x$ .

(31) Definition: Let  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ .  
We say  $A$  is similar to  $B$  if  
there exists  $S \in M_{n \times n}$  invertible,  
s.t.  $A = S^{-1}BS$ .

(32) Theorem: Similarity is an equivalence relation:

1)  $A$  is similar to itself.

2)  $A$  similar to  $B \iff B$  similar to  $A$ .

3)  $A$  similar to  $B$ ,  $B$  similar to  $C \implies A$  similar to  $C$ .

(33) Definition:  $A$  is diagonalisable if it is  
similar to a diagonal matrix  $D$   
( $[D]_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ).

(34) conceptual  
version Theorem: Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ .  $A$  is diagonalisable  
if and only if there exists a basis  
of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors  
of  $A$ .

(34) computational  
version Theorem. Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$ .  $A$  is  
diagonalisable if and only if  
 $\dim E_A(\lambda_1) + \dots + \dim E_A(\lambda_r) = n$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the roots of  $P_A(x)$ .

Note that you can compute  $\dim E_A(\lambda)$  for any  $\lambda$ , since  $E_A(\lambda) = \text{Nul}(A - \lambda I_n)$  & you know how to compute  $\dim$  of a nullspace via row-reduction.

(35) Let  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

Let  $S = [\vec{x}_1 | \dots | \vec{x}_n]$ . Then

$$S^{-1}AS = D$$

where  $D$  is diagonal with  $[D]_{ii} = \lambda_i$ .

(36) Let  $A$  be diagonalisable. Then we can compute  $A^N$  very efficiently.

Let  $A = S^{-1}DS$  where  $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\text{Then } A^N = (S^{-1}DS)^N = S^{-1}D^N S$$

$$= S^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} S.$$

(37) Definition: Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\text{Then } \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n [\vec{x}]_i [\vec{y}]_i.$$

(38) 1)  $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle.$

2)  $\langle \alpha \vec{x}_1 + \beta \vec{x}_2, \vec{y} \rangle = \alpha \langle \vec{x}_1, \vec{y} \rangle + \beta \langle \vec{x}_2, \vec{y} \rangle.$

3)  $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle > 0$  for  $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}_n.$

(39) Definition:  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is "orthogonal" if  $\langle \vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  &  $\vec{x}_i \neq \vec{0}_n$  for all  $i$ .

(40) Definition:  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r\} \subset V$  is an orthogonal basis of  $V$  if it is both orthogonal & a basis, i.e.:

1)  $\langle \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r \rangle = V$

2)  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r$  are linearly independent.

3)  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r$  are orthogonal.

(Actually, 3) implies 2).

(41) Theorem: Let  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r$  be orthogonal.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \alpha_1 \vec{x}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{x}_r, \beta_1 \vec{x}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \vec{x}_r \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \beta_i \langle \vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(42) Theorem: Let  $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_r$  be orthogonal,

$$\& \text{ let } \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{x}_i.$$

$$\text{Then } \alpha_i = \frac{\langle \vec{x}_i, \vec{u} \rangle}{\langle \vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_i \rangle}.$$

(43) Theorem: Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a subspace.

Then there exists an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . (use Gram-Schmidt).